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I. Minutes

II. Announcements

III. Business Items

A. Resolution Regarding the Time-Table for Personnel Action for Faculty Reappointment, Tenure and Promotion

IV. Discussion Items

A. Resolution on the Role of the Personnel Review Committee in Relation to Tenure Recommendations of the Negative Kind

B. Resolution Regarding Challenge Exams

C. Procedure for Selecting School Council Representatives

D. Procedure for Conducting Executive Committee Meetings and for Handling Resolutions

E. Fall Conference

F. Resolution Regarding the Appointment of Temporary Faculty

G. Teaching Overloads
WHEREAS, Section 340 of the Campus Administrative Manual for Cal Poly, San Luis Obispo specifically includes tenure as one of its considerations; and

WHEREAS, Section 341.1.A, paragraph three states: "The Personnel Review Committee of the Academic Senate shall serve as a university-wide level of review of faculty personnel actions relating to retention, tenure, . . . " etc., and this same committee "may review and make recommendations . . . in those cases where there is disagreement among the recommendations made by the department committees, department heads, and school deans;" and

WHEREAS, Section 344.2.F specifies "a list of all non-recommended personnel" to be submitted by the Vice President for Academic Affairs to the Chairperson of the Personnel Review Committee "for review by the Committee;" and

WHEREAS, The administration of this campus, under the influence of President Robert E. Kennedy, has consistently interpreted, and continues to interpret, paragraph 340 et seq. of the Campus Administrative Manual to exclude some names of faculty members as described above from the list described above; therefore be it

RESOLVED: That the Academic Senate of Cal Poly, San Luis Obispo finds that in cases when faculty members have been denied tenure, but recommended for a fifth or sixth probationary year, and when there is disagreement among the department committees, department heads, and school deans, then the University administration must follow the specifications and spirit of the Campus Administrative Manual by including such cases in the list of all non-recommended personnel submitted to the Chairperson of the Personnel Review Committee for review by the Committee.

Submitted by the Personnel Policies Committee.