I. Call to Order

A. The meeting was called to order at 3:10 p.m.; the minutes of the previous Executive Committee meeting (2-18) were distributed prior to the call to order. A few minutes were allowed for the members to skim the minutes.

B. The minutes of the February 18, 1986 meeting of the Academic Senate Executive Committee were approved as distributed.

II. Announcements

A. The Chair called upon Tim Kersten to update everyone concerning the visit by Bernard Goldstein to Cal Poly.

B. Tim noted that Reg Gooden was scheduled to take Goldstein on a campus tour; Lloyd Lamouria would give him a special tour of the agricultural facilities. Meetings with the Provost and CFA Chapter President A. E. Harmon-Elliott had been arranged. In addition, the visit includes:

1. Lunch with the Senate Executive Committee
   Monday: March 3 @ 12:00 - 1:30 p.m. Vista Grande

2. An Open Coffee Hour
   Tuesday: March 4 @ 2:00 - 3:00 p.m.

3. Invited Address to the Academic Senate
   Tuesday: March 4 @ 3:00 - 5:00 p.m.
III. Reports

A. Provost’s Report

The Provost announced that space reservations for Fall 1986 are being processed and will be completed and sent out this week.

B. Statewide Senators

There were no reports.

IV. Business Items

A. Modifications to Cal Poly’s Academic Senate Constitution and Bylaws

1. The Chair introduced John Rogalla (Chair: C&B) who gave a verbal report of the work that had been done in attempting to remedy certain inconsistencies in and between the Bylaws Amendment (passed by the Senate on October 1, 1985) and the Leave with Pay Guidelines (passed by the Senate on November 5). This work was jointly done with Ray Terry (Chair: UPLC).

2. Ray Terry indicated that the UPLC is simultaneously amending the document "Leave with Pay Guidelines". Once the C&B amendments to the bylaws and the UPLC amendments to the guidelines are effected by the Senate, both documents will be consistent.

3. Ray Terry distributed a memo to the Executive Committee which contained the proposed amendments to the University Leave with Pay Guidelines.

4. It was agreed to place the joint report of the C&B Committee and the UPLC on the agenda of the April 8, 1986 Senate meeting.

5. The Chair noted the necessity for additional Senate meetings, as well as Executive Committee meetings in March and April. He announced the following amended meeting schedule:

   Normal Senate Meeting  March 04, 1986
   Special Senate Meeting  March 11, 1986
   Normal Senate Meeting  April 01, 1986
   Special Senate Meeting  April 08, 1986
   Normal Executive Committee Meeting  April 15, 1986
   Normal Senate Meeting  April 22, 1986

6. A discussion of the need for additional meetings took place. The Chair demonstrated how the paper-
work had increased geometrically in the last couple of years. The Senate activity of the 1983-1984 academic year was contained in one partially-filled binder; the 1984-1985 activity was recorded in one fully-packed binder. The 1985-1986 Senate activity (with three and a half months yet to go) already fills four fully-packed binders.

7. The increased paperwork and increased need for meetings was attributed to the greater activity on the part of Senate committees and the increased workload resulting from the Senate's asserting its rights and willingly assuming its responsibilities as outlined in the CSU Collegiality document. This increased workload is facing the local senates of each of the 19 CSU campuses. Indeed, the Cal Poly Senate is one of the few that still meet only once per month.

B. Resolution on Distribution of Assigned Time

1. The Chair passed the gavel to the Vice Chair so as to speak freely in favor of the Resolution. The Vice Chair called for discussion.

2. It was established that the Provost distributes assigned time among the several schools (in accord with need and judgment) after a reserve is held for medical emergencies.

3. Ray Terry asked the Provost to clarify the meaning of 0.75 released time by reviewing the method of computation discussed in a recent memo.

4. After adequate discussion had occurred, the Executive Committee adopted the Resolution by consensus.

5. The Vice Chair returned the gavel to the Chair.

C. Resolution on Time Frame for Submission of Satisfactory Progress Grades

1. The Chair announced a correction on page 4 of the agenda package. In the title and once in each of the three whereas clauses, the phrase "senior project" shall be replaced by the phrase "satisfactory progress."

2. The Chair introduced Clarissa Hewitt who discussed the problem addressed by this resolution.

3. Al Cooper indicated his opposition to a two-year limit on SP grades assigned for M.S. thesis work. He asserted that the determination of an appropri-
ate time limit should be left to the thesis commit-
tee. He later accepted the need for a common time-
limit, but still believed that two years was too 
short for graduate work, due to the many factors 
that can interrupt a student’s work.

4. The Provost injected the need for addressing the 
"grandfather issue": i.e., what to do with SP 
grades assigned 5, 10, 15 or more years ago.

5. C. Hewitt restated the position that the Resolution 
simply effects an Executive Order that came from 
the Chancellor’s Office some time ago.

6. The Provost gave an example to show how delaying 
things for too long can be destructive.

7. Reg Gooden moved addition of the resolution to the 
Senate agenda.

D. Resolution on Support and Maintenance of a Teacher Ef-
fectiveness Program at Cal Poly

1. The Chair announced corrections to the resolution. 
On page 5, the fourth whereas clause should read:

"ED 581 (Maintaining Teacher Effectiveness) which 
has proven to be an effective program assisting 
faculty in this important aspect of professional 
growth and development has not been taught since 
1984; and".

On page 6 the designation ED 412 shall be deleted 
from the last resolved clause.

2. The Chair recognized C. Hewitt to present the 
resolution.

3. Speaking in favor of the resolution were Mike 
Botwin, Barbara Hallman and Ray Terry.

4. Opposed to the resolution were Reg Gooden, Al 
Cooper, Lynne Gamble.

5. After heated discussion, Tim Kersten moved the 
addition of the resolution to the Senate agenda. 
The motion, seconded by Ray Terry, was passed: 
6 Yes, 3 No, 0 Abstain.

D. Resolution on Giving of Finals During Final’s Week

1. The Chair recognized C. Hewitt to present the reso-
lution.
2. Ray Terry indicated his intention to amend the resolution (when it comes to the Senate floor) by the addition of another resolved clause, to be inserted between the two existing resolved clauses, requiring that a list of dean-approved changes in final exam schedules be circulated among Department Heads (Chairs).

3. MSP (Kersten /Terry) to add the Resolution to the Senate agenda. The vote was 8 Yes, 0 No, 1 Abstain.

V. Discussion Items

A. Continuation of the Long Range Planning Committee’s Status Report

Lack of time precluded treatment of this item.

VI. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 5:10 p.m.