I. Call to Order
   A. The meeting was called to order at 3:10 p.m.
   B. The minutes of the Executive Committee meetings of February 4, 1986 and February 7, 1986 were approved as mailed.

II. Announcements
   A. Tim Kersten announced that Bernard Goldstein, Chair of the Statewide Academic Senate, would be on-campus on March 2/3, 1986. He suggested that the President and the Provost may want to meet (have lunch) with him.
   B. The Chair announced that Diane Michelfelder would be replacing Susan Currier as the representative of SCAH on the Personnel Policies Committee.

Al Cooper indicated that SSAM had not yet found a replacement for the position left vacant by the resignation of Ray Terry from the PPC.

The Chair directed Al Cooper (Caucus Chair: SSAM) to get together with the Secretary to find a new representative that would be acceptable to the SSAM caucus.

III. Reports
   A. Provost's Report

   The Provost reported on the activities of the recent Dean's Council meeting.

   1. The Deans are concerned about the multi-criteria admissions plan under which we operate. The Provost traced the development of the present system
and suggested that the topic is appropriate for University discussion also.

2. Another topic of concern to the Deans which is appropriate for University discussion is the changing nature of the ratio of first time freshmen admitted to transfer admittees. This ratio should be 40:60; but was 55:45 last year.

IV. Business Items

A. Formation of an Ad Hoc Committee on Foundation Board Selection Procedures

1. The Chair recognized Harvey Greenwald who expressed concern that nominees to the Foundation Board of Directors are elected by the Board, a process that could result in a lack of broad representation on the Board.

2. Reg Gooden spoke in favor of the sentiments expressed in Harvey Greenwald's memo of 1-31-86 to the Senate Chair (p. 8 of the agenda package).

3. MSP (Bonds /Riener) that an ad hoc committee be formed to examine the election process of the Foundation Board of Directors and recommend possible changes in this process. The vote was 11-0-1.

4. The Executive Committee then discussed Harvey Greenwald's recommendation that the ad hoc committee consist of himself, Richard Kranzdorf and Gail Wilson.

5. MS (Hallman /Bonds) that the Ad Hoc Committee on Foundation Board Selection Procedures consist of Harvey Greenwald, Richard Kranzdorf and Gail Wilson.

6. The Chair moved that the name of Gail Wilson be replaced by that of Art Dickerson of the School of Engineering. Lezlie Labhard seconded the motion.

7. Al Cooper, Reg Gooden, Harvey Greensward and others spoke against excluding an individual from a committee because they have an interest, are outspoken or are disliked by the Administration.

8. The Chair changed his amendment to include Art Dickerson as a fourth member of the committee.

9. Tim Kersten moved that Ken Riener be named a fifth member of the committee, but withdrew his motion when the Secretary proposed naming an eight-person
committee with one representative from each school and PCS, as is usually done.

10. It was agreed that Harvey Greenwald should be Chair of the committee and that the committee should report back to the Executive Committee by April 15.

11. The motion to appoint Harvey Greenwald (Chair), Richard Kranzdorf, Gail Wilson and Art Dickerson to the Ad Hoc Committee and to require a report by April 15 passed. The vote was 10-0-2.

B. The Chair directed the Executive Committee's attention to pp. 12-14 of the agenda package concerning the upcoming Academic Senate elections.

C. Modification of MPPF Rules and Regulations (Cf. pp. 15-17 of the agenda package.)

1. The Chair recognized Charles Andrews (Chair: PPC) who presented the content of his Feb. 12 memo to the Executive Committee (p. 15) and the text of the newly-drafted "Procedures for MPPF Awards."

2. Charles Andrews noted the changes between the new and old documents; e.g., (1) Nominations and applications go directly from the Departments to the School committees, bypassing the deans altogether; (2) The timelines have been tightened. The Executive Committee was asked to determine if the timelines are to be firm or flexible. If they are to be firm, the Administration must enforce them.

D. Internationalizing General Education

1. The Chair introduced Stan Dundon to discuss the content of his proposal requesting Senate status for his Committee on Internationalizing General Education.

2. The Chair suggested that Dundon's committee become a sub-committee of the GE&B Committee.

3. George Lewis noted some dangers in doing this, but indicated a lack of alarm. He expressed the view that both Dundon's committee and the GE&B Committee would benefit from such an affiliation as proposed.

4. Glenn Irvin supported the temporary affiliation of the Committee on Internationalizing GE&B with the Senate GE&B Committee. He noted that this liaison could become a model for thematizing GE&B in future years.
5. Mike Botwin praised the interdisciplinary nature of the cluster approach.

6. Lezlie Labhard conjectured that the Committee on Internationalizing GE&B may perhaps be better located as an ad hoc subcommittee of the Instruction Committee. Lynne Gamble noted that George Lewis was willing to take the new committee on as a subcommittee. She suggested that the Executive Committee try this approach first.

7. The Chair announced that the request was being referred to the GE&B Committee for recommendation.

8. George Lewis indicated that he would bring the matter before the GE&B Committee at its next meeting on the evening of Feb. 19, 1986.


E. Resolution on Adequate Time for Consultation

This item was taken up after discussion item IV.B. There was no discussion. The item moves forward to a second reading in March.

F. Resolution on "Accuracy in Academia"

Tim Kersten announced the editorial change in the first "whereas" clause, which was also announced in the Feb. 11 Senate meeting; viz., replacing "The California State University system" by "The California Polytechnic State University."

There was no discussion. The item moves forward to a second reading in March.

G. Resolution on Academic Senate Assigned Time

This item was taken up after item V.C. The resolution was modified to meet the changes announced by the Chair in the Feb. 11 Senate meeting.

V. Discussion Items

A. Review of Collegiality

Tim Kersten noted that inclusion of the Senate document on collegiality was merely a timely presentation. There was no specific purpose in distributing it.

B. Long Range Planning Committee Status Report
1. This item was taken up after Item IV.D. so as not to inconvenience the visitors.
2. The Chair introduced Steve French (Chair: LRP Committee) who discussed some of the matters under consideration by his committee: the ultimate size of the University, the ratio of first-time freshmen to transfer admittees, affirmative action, school quotas, etc.

3. Steve French indicated that his committee would like to obtain a sample of faculty opinion on these and other issues, but does not feel equipped to conduct the survey and doubted if it were proper for a faculty committee to construct a questionnaire, distribute it, collect it, compile the data and analyse it.

4. Tim Kersten asked if there were any liaison between the LRP Committee and the parallel Administration committee.

The answer was essentially "no"; Steve French suggested that planning was a management task.

Glenn Irvin took exception with this view. He emphasized the need for faculty consultation as full partners in the collegial process.

5. The Provost informed the Executive Committee that a series of meetings had been arranged with the School Councils. Two such meetings have occurred with SAGR and one with SAED.

6. The Chair asked the location of the Administration's Planning Office. The Provost pointed to Vice Provost Irvin.

7. The Secretary asked if the LRP Committee planned to ask the Executive Committee for permission to arrange a campus-wide poll.

Steve French replied that the appropriate administrative body should conduct such a poll; the LRPC will be happy to help in doing this.

8. The role of the LRPC was discussed. Everyone seemed agreed that the LRPC should be concerned with broad issues of policy, not with technical issues.

9. Various senators were supportive of the necessity for a campus survey of opinion.

C. Faculty Library Committee Status Report

1. The Chair introduced Nishan Havandjian who discussed various aspects of his committee's work, in-
cluding an update on the Library shuttle to Santa Barbara.

2. The Chair thanked N. Havandjian for his report.

VI. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 5:05 p.m.

VII. Miscellaneous

The exact sequence of events was: IV.A, IV.B, IV.C, IV.D, V.B., V.C., IV.E, IV.F, V.A, IV.G.