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I. Preparatory

A. The meeting was called to order at 3:15 p.m. upon the arrival of the Chair.

B. The minutes of the July 12, 1988 Academic Senate meeting were approved as mailed.

C. The Chair introduced Dr. Hazel Scott, the newly-appointed Dean of Student Affairs.
   1. Dr. Scott made a short statement, which was followed by a number of questions from members of the Executive Committee.
   2. Dr. Scott shared with the Executive Committee some of her perceptions of the relation of the Dean of Student Affairs to the Senate and to the students.

II. Communications

A. The Chair noted an addition to the list of materials available for reading in the Senate Office:  
   "Profile of CSU Employees - Fall 1987" (CSU)

B. A. Charles Crabb's appointment as Interim Associate Dean to the School of Agriculture
   1. The Chair announced that he has already assumed some duties of the administrative position. However, he will continue to
serve as Chair until the first meeting of the Fall Quarter since the Vice Chair is unavailable to meet during the Summer Quarter.

2. Discussion was initiated as to whether the Vice Chair becomes Chair upon the resignation of the Chair.

3. The Chair favored giving the Senate a series of options; e.g., (1) The Vice Chair will become Chair; an election for Vice Chair will be held; (2) Hold new elections for Chair, Vice Chair and Secretary.

4. Ray Terry suggested that the Parliamentarian interpret the Bylaws and that the Senate be bound to his interpretation.

5. Tim Kersten proposed a three-step procedure: (1) Request / obtain a ruling from the Parliamentarian; (2) The Chair will rule as to whether to follow the Parliamentarian's advice; (3) The Senate will decide the issue by its vote on a motion to overrule the Chair.

6. The Chair assured the Executive Committee that he has solicited input from a number of Senators already, that he is open to additional suggestions and that the ultimate procedure to resolve the 'succession crisis' should be in place by Fall Conference Week.

III. Reports

A. President's Office: None
B. Academic Affairs Office: None
C. CSU Senators: None

IV. Consent Agenda: None

V. Business Items

A. Proposal to Establish the Irrigation Training and Research Center, 2nd reading.

1. The Chair introduced Glenn Dobbs, Interim Director for the Irrigation Training and Research Center, who noted a minor change to the organizational chart on p. 13 of the agenda package.

2. It was established that the Agricultural Engineering Department Head is a member of the ITRC Advisory Board of Directors.
3. A question was asked concerning the current use of Shop #6 of the AE Department, in which the ITRC will be located. Construction and remodeling of Shop #6 is proposed to meet the needs of the proposed facility.

4. M/S/P (unanimously): To approve the proposal to establish the ITRC.

B. Resolution on Guidelines for State Faculty Support Grants, 2nd reading.

1. M/S: To adopt the Resolution.

2. The Chair introduced Bob Lucas, who reviewed the background of the Resolution, which was recommended by the Academic Senate Research Committee.

3. Bob Lucas indicated that $2.5 million is still in the budget to fund the program; Cal Poly would receive approximately $159,000.

4. The Chancellor’s Office has indicated that awards should be made competitively at the University level, with strong faculty representation.

5. Three types of support are provided: (1) a minigrant; (2) summer fellowship; (3) one-quarter leave.

6. Ray Terry (former Chair of the University-wide Professional Leave Committee) argued that the UPLC should be consulted concerning the granting of one-quarter leaves. Bob Lucas agreed.

7. It was established that travel expenses would be covered under a minigrant, but not under a summer fellowship; however, a faculty member could apply for both types of support.

8. Some members of the Executive Committee felt that the guidelines that accompany the present resolution needed to be modified and strengthened, especially concerning the criteria by which grants are made.

9. After some discussion, it was agreed by consensus to amend the existing "Resolved" clause of the Resolution and to add a second "resolved" clause, so that the "resolved" portion of the Resolution shall read as follows:

RESOLVED: "That the attached guidelines developed by the Academic Senate Research Committee be adopted by the Academic Senate as interim guidelines and recommended to the President for approval; and, be it further
RESOLVED: That the final recommendations for permanent guidelines shall be developed by the Academic Senate Research Committee before the end of Winter Quarter 1989."

10. It was stipulated that the interim guidelines would remain in effect until the permanent guidelines shall have been approved by the Academic Senate.

11. Both the amendment and the Resolution on Guidelines for State Faculty Support Grants were adopted unanimously by the Executive Committee (acting as the Senate).

C. Appointments to the Distinguished Teaching Awards Committee

1. The Executive Committee agreed by consensus to appoint James Bermann (Agricultural Engineering), Don Koberg (Architecture) and Susan McBride (Education) to the three vacancies existing on the committee.

2. The two continuing members of the committee are Euel Kennedy (Mathematics) and James Simmons (English).

D. Appointment to the University-wide Committee on Animal Welfare

1. The Executive Committee reaffirmed its recommendation of Ray Terry to be the Senate representative to the committee.

2. In the event this nomination is not accepted by the President, the Senate will inquire as to the availability of Larry Houlgate (Philosophy), who has also expressed an interest in serving on the Animal Welfare Committee.

VI. Discussion Item: Acquaintance Rape Policy for Cal Poly

A. The Chair introduced Elie Axelroth (PCS) who initiated a discussion of the report.

B. The definitions in the report were taken from the California Penal Code. A copy of the report has been sent to the Chancellor's attorneys for comment.
C. It was established that the report is not ready to be approved. When it is ready, the report will probably be disseminated by the Committee to the faculty for input.

D. It was agreed that this report is being generated by an ad hoc university committee; approval of the report is governed by a separate procedure. The Academic Senate should not be involved further, except on an informational basis.

VII. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned upon completion of all business and the end of discussion.