I. Minutes:
   Approval of the January 10, 1989 Minutes of the Academic Senate (pp. 2-5)

II. Communication(s):
   A. Reading Materials (p. 6)
   B. The Academic Senate office of Secretary will be vacant Spring Quarter '89. Nominations are requested.

III. Reports:
   A. President - Budget Status Report
   B. Academic Affairs Office
   C. Statewide Senators

IV. Consent Agenda:

V. Business Item(s):
   A. Resolution to Amend the Bylaws Making the Research Committee an Elected Committee-Rogalla, Chair of the Constitution and Bylaws Committee, Second Reading (pp. 7-9).
   B. Resolution to Provide a Generic Set of Operating Procedures for Academic Senate Standing and Ad Hoc Committees-Rogalla, Chair of the Constitution and Bylaws Committee, Second Reading (pp. 10-11).
   C. Resolution in Support of Human Corps and of Service/Learning at Cal Poly-Lutrin, Chair of the Human Corps Task Force, Second Reading (pp. 12-14).
   D. Resolution on Minor Capital Outlay-Rogers, Chair of the Budget Committee, Second Reading (pp. 15-16).
   E. Resolution on the Curriculum Review Process-Bailey, Chair of the Curriculum Committee, Second Reading (pp. 17-18).
   F. Resolution to Amend the Bylaws to Clarify Succession of Vice Chair to Chair and Election of a Replacement Vice Chair When the Chair is Permanently Vacated-Rogalla, Chair of the Constitution and Bylaws Committee, First Reading (pp. 19-20).
   G. Resolution to Amend the Bylaws to Prohibit Concurrent Membership on the GE&B Committee and the GE&B Subcommittees-Rogalla, Chair of the Constitution and Bylaws Committee, First Reading (p. 21).
   H. Resolution to Amend the Bylaws to Move Nominations for the Academic Senate from March to February-Rogalla, Chair of the Constitution and Bylaws Committee, First Reading (pp. 22-23).

VI. Discussion Item(s):

VII. Adjournment: time certain 4:55pm
Materials Available for Reading in the Academic Senate Office (FOB 25H)
Winter Quarter 1988-1989

(New reading materials highlighted in bold)

11/15/88  "A Quarter Century of Graduates" (CSU)

11/17/88  "Unfinished Business" (Achievement Council) - information re the large achievement gap that has separated minority and low-income students from other young Californians.

11/22/88  Status Report, Faculty Software Library Lottery Funds (Cal Poly)


12/7/88  "Statement on Competencies in Languages Other Than English Expected of Entering Freshmen: Phase I-French, German, Spanish" (CSU Academic Senate)

12/7/88  1989/90 Amended Trustees' Support Budget (CSU)

12/28/88  Changing America: The New Face of Science and Engineering (Task Force on Women, Minorities, and the Handicapped in Science and Technology)

1/9/89  1987 Statistical Abstract [of enrollments] (CSU)
ACADEMIC SENATE
OF
CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY
San Luis Obispo, California

Background Statement: The June 14, 1988 directive from the Chancellor's Office specifies that a faculty committee which evaluates State Funded Faculty Support Grants must be elected by the faculty. This provision was negotiated with CFA. The directive did not specify that elected faculty only should serve on the committee; however, the resolution is drafted to make it an elected faculty committee. The Research Committee has the expertise and has expressed a desire to be the committee to evaluate these proposals. This will require changing the membership from appointive to elected positions.

Several concerns were expressed as this request was being discussed. They are reported here as an aid to Senate deliberation. This will create a powerful committee which evaluates all competitive grants on this campus; the operating procedures should provide assurance that the evaluation of different grants will be accomplished using distinct sets of criteria to assure that all types of proposals will have a chance of acceptance. The present practice of committee members abstaining from competition for grants during their tenure on the committee should be codified in the operating procedures as well.

An election is requested for this committee early in 1989 in order for operating procedures and criteria for evaluating State Funded Faculty Support Grants to be developed by the start of Spring Quarter. This will allow award winners a full year for completing their grants. Regular elections would put off awards until the Fall Quarter and grantees would have but six months to complete these school-year grants.

The Constitution and Bylaws Committee deliberated on this proposal October 4 and October 11, 1988. The recommendation was passed with 5 positive and 1 negative vote. Members from SAED and SSM and the student representative positions were vacant at the time of voting.

RESOLUTION TO AMEND THE BYLAWS MAKING THE
RESEARCH COMMITTEE AN ELECTED COMMITTEE

WHEREAS, The committee evaluating State Funded Faculty Support Grants must be elected; and

WHEREAS, The Research Committee has the expertise to perform this service; therefore, be it

RESOLVED: That the Bylaws of the Academic Senate be amended as follows:
VII. COMMITTEES
I. COMMITTEE DESCRIPTIONS
5. Elections Committee
   b. Responsibilities
   
The Elections Committee shall be responsible for supervising and conducting the election process for membership to the Academic Senate, Research Committee, University Professional Leave Committee, Senate offices, the statewide Academic Senate, appropriate recall elections for the preceding as per Section VIII of these bylaws, and ad hoc committees created to search for such university positions as president, vice president, and school deans, and similar type administrative positions.

(2) Election of Academic Senate members, Research Committee, and University Professional Leave Committee.

(a) At the March meeting of the Senate, the committee shall announce impending vacancies in the Senate membership (according to the filled full-time equivalent faculty positions as of the first week of February, as listed by the University Personnel office), in the Research Committee, and in the University Professional Leave Committee. At the same time, each caucus shall be notified in writing of its vacancies.

Whenever the normal election process fails to provide full membership or when a vacancy occurs:

(3) The appointed senator shall serve until the next regular election end of the term of the position being filled.

(3) Election of members to the statewide Academic Senate:

The procedures and timetable for election of CSU Academic Senate members will be the same as that for the Senate, the University Professional Leave Committee, and the Research Committee, except that nomination shall be by petition of not less than ten members of the faculty and shall include a consent to serve statement signed by the nominee.

12. Research Committee
   a. Membership
(1) A majority of the membership shall consist of elected faculty members. They shall be elected as follows.
One member shall be elected from each school and Professional Consultative Services by the probationary and tenured members of that unit.

Membership shall be for two-year terms, with the terms of service staggered between the various schools and Professional Consultative Services.

(i) Membership for the Schools of Agriculture, Architecture and Environmental Design, Business, and Engineering shall be for terms beginning in odd-numbered years; i.e., 1989.

(ii) Membership for the Schools of Liberal Arts, Professional Studies and Education, Science and Mathematics, and Professional Consultative Services shall be for terms beginning in even-numbered years; i.e., 1990.

The ex officio members of the Research Committee shall be the Associate Vice President for Graduate Studies, Research, and Faculty Development or his/her designee, an instructional dean or his/her designee, the Vice President for Business Affairs or his/her designee, and an ASI representative. The representative of the instructional deans shall be appointed by the Vice President for Academic Affairs for a two-year repeatable term. Ex officio members shall be nonvoting for these deliberations of this committee.

The chair of the Research Committee shall be elected from the elected faculty members of the committee.

No member of the Research Committee is eligible to apply for any grant, leave, or award program administered by the committee while serving on the committee.

B. Responsibilities

The Research Committee shall:

(3) Develop and recommend policies and procedures for the Research Committee and for the administration of the State Funded Faculty Support Grants to the President through the Academic Senate.

(4) Solicit, receive, and evaluate requests for State Funded Faculty Support Grants and make recommendations for funding, when appropriate, to the President through the Academic Senate.
(5) Evaluate requests . . .

This section (VII 12 c) becomes obsolete and will be stricken from these bylaws June 30, 1989.

(1) Election for the Research Committee shall be held early in Winter Quarter 1989.


(3) The committee shall develop detailed operating procedures and criteria for evaluating State Funded Faculty Support Grants to be approved by the Senate before March 17, 1989.

(4) The committee shall develop criteria for evaluating CARE proposals in the 1989-90 school year to be approved by the Senate before June 8, 1989.

Proposed by the Constitution and Bylaws Committee
November 1, 1988
Revised January 17, 1989
Revised Jan 31, 89

(6) Add from Hendrick
ACADEMIC SENATE
OF
CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY
San Luis Obispo, California

Background statement: The Academic Senate bylaws specify that each committee shall have written operating procedures on file in the office of the Academic Senate. These are to be reviewed by the Constitution and Bylaws Committee. The Constitution and Bylaws Committee is proposing this set of generic operating procedures to assist committees in meeting this requirement. It could be accepted as a blanket procedure unless a committee prefers to draft its own. This draft was accepted unanimously by the Constitution and Bylaws Committee in January 1988 and affirmed by a vote of 6-0 on October 11, 1988. Vacant membership on the committee included SAED, SSM, and ASI.

AS-90-88

RESOLUTION TO PROVIDE A GENERIC SET OF OPERATING PROCEDURES FOR ACADEMIC SENATE STANDING AND AD HOC COMMITTEES

WHEREAS, Article VII Section D of the Academic Senate bylaws specify each committee shall have a written set of operating procedures on file in the Senate office; and

WHEREAS, A generic set of procedures will be acceptable to many committees; and

WHEREAS, Any committee requiring greater detail and specificity in operation can propose and have them accepted; therefore, be it

RESOLVED: That the generic operating procedures for Academic Senate committees (attached) be accepted.

Proposed By:
Constitution and Bylaws Committee
November 1, 1988
Revised January 10, 1989
RESOLUTION TO PROVIDE A GENERIC SET OF OPERATING PROCEDURES FOR ACADEMIC SENATE STANDING AND AD HOC COMMITTEES
AS-____88/____
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OPERATING PROCEDURES FOR ACADEMIC SENATE COMMITTEES

The committees of the Academic Senate, both standing and ad hoc, shall comply with the below listed operating procedures unless the Constitution or Bylaws of the Academic Senate provide otherwise or unless a committee desires to propose specific procedures for itself. Committees, in compliance with Article VII, Section D, of the bylaws must have an approved set of operating procedures on file in the office of the Academic Senate. Excepting elected committees which must have specific operating procedures approved by the Senate, committees may elect to be governed by these procedures or must develop and submit for approval the procedures they will employ in the conduct of their charges.

1.2 Chairpersons shall be elected by the majority vote of the attending members at the first meeting of the academic year called by the Chair of the Senate. Chairpersons serve until the end of the academic year. In the event that a chairperson must miss a meeting, the chairperson shall appoint a substitute chairperson for that meeting.

2.3 Meetings shall be called at the discretion of the chairperson except that the chairperson must call a meeting upon the request of three members of the committee. Committees are required to meet at least once per quarter of the school year. Regular meetings shall be scheduled during normal work hours.

3.4 Notice Notification of meetings shall be sent by the chairperson at least three (3) working days before the meeting date. Committees may establish regular meeting times. Upon committee agreement, a regular meeting time shall constitute notice. Nevertheless, decisions made at meetings may not be challenged for lack of proper notice if all members either show up for the meeting or sign written either if all members attend or if all sign statements waiving the notice requirement.

A simple majority of the voting members shall constitute a quorum for a meeting. A quorum is required to conduct business.

5. Decisions of the committee must be made at meetings in which the attending members are in simultaneous communication with each other. This excludes telephone polling of members unless accomplished with conference phone with all members included.

6. Members may not vote by proxy.

7. A vote by the majority of the voting members attending a meeting shall be the decision of the committee.

8. Voting shall take place by a show of hands unless one attending member requests a secret ballot. The record shall show the resulting vote.

9. A committee report explaining the decision and noting the vote leading to the decision of the committee shall be filed at the Academic Senate office. Minority reports also may be filed with that office.
Background statement:

Evidence is mounting that interest in student participation in community service is growing rapidly throughout the nation.

And California is no exception. In Fall 1987, Assembly Bill 1820 was signed into law, creating the California Human Corps. The Bill mandates that, beginning Fall 1988, all students in the CSU and the UC shall be "strongly encouraged and expected, though not required" to contribute their time and talent to addressing some of the pressing human needs that our communities currently are facing.

Universities in both systems are responsible for developing a wide variety of attractive avenues to service. Students can choose to serve as volunteers, receive academic credit for service/learning, or obtain financial compensation for their work.

By 1993, it is expected that the CSU and UC campuses will significantly increase community service so that participation will approach 100% of students contributing an average of 30 hours for each year they are enrolled.

Both the California State Student Association and the Statewide Academic Senate have endorsed the Bill (while lobbying strongly and successfully against making service a requirement of students). However, no funds have been allocated to implement this Bill. Therefore, the Statewide Academic Senate has expressed great concern that the Bill not add to faculty workload without providing adequate compensation for faculty.

AB 1820 makes some specific requirements of CSU and UC including surveys of levels of participation. The survey will be included as part of the Student Needs And Priorities Survey (SNAPS) in February 1989. Provisions for surveying progress on an ongoing basis have not been developed. At Cal Poly, a survey of academic departments and of student clubs to identify existing service activities was conducted in Fall of 1985. The information is being updated during Fall 1988.

AB 1820 also requires each campus to establish a Human Corps Task Force to spearhead campus efforts. Cal Poly has established a Task Force composed of campus faculty, student and administrative leaders, city and county chief administrative officers, directors of the local United Way/Neighbors Helping Neighbors and of the Private Industry Council, and the Program Director from the County Superintendent of Schools' office.

This Task Force has developed a definition of community service to be used in developing the Human Corps program (see attached) as well as recommended to President Baker a statement of university commitment to the program. Subcommittees are being formed to address several issues and to make recommendations, including the relationship of Cal Poly's academic program to the Human Corps.
Cal Poly has in place a broad-based service program of instructionally-related and student-directed programs. (See attached brochure for details.) They presently involve at least 25% of Cal Poly students. Therefore, to increase participation, the initial approach is to utilize existing opportunities more fully.

Currently, one-half of all Cal Poly academic departments offer senior projects and/or internships or class projects that regularly result in service to the community.

Academic Affairs and Student Affairs have joined to create the Community Action Bureau (CAB), a computerized database of more than 300 community service opportunities. It is used by students to identify needed projects and to obtain referral to appropriate agency staff. Supervising senior projects, internships, or class projects that result in service need not be more time consuming to faculty nor more expensive than other types of senior projects because help in finding projects is available through CAB.

The Cal Poly Student Senate passed Resolution #88-08-Community Service endorsing Human Corps on November 28, 1987.

RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF HUMAN CORPS AND OF SERVICE/LEARNING AT CAL POLY

WHEREAS, The Academic Senate of Cal Poly, SLO has not gone on record as supporting Human Corps; and

WHEREAS, No vehicle exists for providing faculty input to the Human Corps program or for providing support to interested faculty through the exchange of ideas, sharing of resources, seeking of grant funds, or development of interdisciplinary service activities of faculty from different schools; and

WHEREAS, The senior project requirement provides the University a unique opportunity for service/learning; and

WHEREAS, There is no mechanism for measuring student service on an ongoing basis; therefore, be it

RESOLVED: That the Academic Senate support student participation in community service that is beneficial to the community AND to the student; and be it further

RESOLVED: That a faculty network similar to that employed in the Cooperative Education program be formed in support of Human Corps; that is, one individual in each department to be selected annually by his or her colleagues to serve as the Human Corps contact person; and be it further

RESOLVED: That, from this network, a Human Corps Task Force Academic Issues committee, composed of a representative from each school/Professional Consultative Services, be formed which will identify possibilities for new or interdisciplinary service/learning activities and will seek information and financial resources in support of faculty interested in developing service/learning activities; and be it further
RESOLVED: That students be encouraged to conduct senior projects that also provide service to the community; and be it further

RESOLVED: That the Registrar's Office be asked to develop a way to measure the level of student community service in conjunction with Fall Quarter Registration each Fall beginning Fall 1989.

Proposed By:
Instruction Committee
6-0-1
November 3, 1988
RESOLUTION ON
MINOR CAPITAL OUTLAY

WHEREAS, The Academic Senate of San Jose State University approved, on November 23, 1987, a resolution that urged the Chancellor's Office to designate a portion of the Minor Capital Outlay budget to the campuses as a lump sum for small modifications at the campuses' discretion while being accountable for the funds expended; and

WHEREAS, The campus at California Polytechnic State University, as with all other California State University campuses, often require Minor Capital Outlay projects of less than $5,000; and

WHEREAS, The delays attributed to the formal Minor Capital Outlay process seem unwarranted for projects that cost less than $5,000; and

WHEREAS, The Chancellor's Office has not yet made the desired adjustment to the Minor Capital Outlay process; therefore, be it

RESOLVED: That the Academic Senate support the Minor Capital Outlay Resolution passed by the Academic Senate of San Jose State University on November 23, 1987, and that the Academic Senate recommend to the Chancellor's Office that it is in the best interest of The California State University system to modify the existing policy for Minor Capital Outlay projects.

Proposed By:
Budget Committee
November 29, 1988
At its meeting of November 23, 1987, the Academic Senate approved the following Sense-of-the-Senate Resolution presented by Peter Buzanski for the Financial and Student Affairs Committee.

WHEREAS Trustee Resolution RA 9-83-057 required the development of a charge-back system for services other than routine maintenance performed by Plant Operations, and

WHEREAS Campuses can no longer divert maintenance funds for teaching facilities modifications, due to the deterioration of the aging plant facilities, and

WHEREAS Trustee Resolution RA 9-83-057 excludes modifying buildings and extending or modifying utility systems from maintenance work, and

WHEREAS The funding of modifications of buildings, etc., is to be funded by Minor Capital Outlay for each project which will cost less than $200,000 but more than $5,000, and

WHEREAS The time frame for a Minor Capital Outlay project requires a minimum of three years for completion even if a request for funds is approved, and

WHEREAS Modern teaching facilities frequently require modifications which cost less than $5,000, and

WHEREAS Departmental budgets for Operating Expenses have not been supplemented to fund teaching facilities modifications since the effective date of Trustee Resolution RA 9-83-057; therefore be it

RESOLVED That the Academic Senate urge the Chancellor's Office to designate a portion of the Minor Capital Outlay budget to the campuses as a lump sum for small modification projects at the campuses' discretion, such funds to be expended on the basis of current minor capital guidelines, with campuses accountable for a post audit of the funds expended on an annual basis.
Background statement: The existing process and deadlines for the review of curricula for the catalog have become cumbersome. Due to the tremendous volume of materials submitted during a very short time span, major program proposals may not be receiving the consideration they deserve while minor alterations in course descriptions may consume more time than necessary. To add to the logjam of committee work, other curricula items must be tabled until catalog materials are cleared. In response to this problem noted by a general consensus of past Curriculum Committee members and representatives of the office of Academic Affairs, an altered timeline is being proposed along with a diagrammatic clarification of the flow of information during the curriculum review process.

RESOLUTION ON THE CURRICULUM REVIEW PROCESS

WHEREAS, The current catalog cycle allows for faculty review at the university level for approximately two months and this presents a formidable burden to all those involved in the review process; and

WHEREAS, Curriculum review should be a consistent, ongoing process; and

WHEREAS, Some confusion may exist as to the flow of information during the curriculum review process; therefore, be it

RESOLVED: That the catalog cycle be refined beginning with the plans for the 1992-1994 version such that the first portion of the review process be concerned with program changes and proposals (proposals of new, or substantial changes in existing, minors, majors, concentrations, specializations, or programs) while the second part focuses on individual course changes; and be it further

RESOLVED: That the program proposals for the 1992-1994 catalog be submitted to the Academic Senate during the Fall 1989 and Winter 1990 quarters and that the individual course changes be submitted to the Academic Senate during the Fall 1990 and Winter 1991 quarters, and that this pattern be established for ensuing catalog cycles; and be it further

RESOLVED: That the accompanying diagram be used to not only clarify the flow of information for all curricula considerations but also to stress the degree of cooperation and responsibility expected at all levels of review.

Proposed By:
Curriculum Committee
November 10, 1988
The Curriculum Review Process

- Faculty
- Non-Dept. Bodies
- Departments
- School Curriculum Committee
- Dean
- GE&B Committee
- Curriculum Committee
- Academic Senate
- Budget Comm.
- Vice Pres. Acad. Affairs
- President

Decisions Consultation

*Examples-Library, Student Academic Services, COOP
Background statement: The resignation of the Chair of the Senate generated a question for interpretation of the bylaws. This resolution is to expressly detail the Vice Chair is to succeed to the Chair and a replacement Vice Chair is to be elected from the Senate for the remainder of the term of office.

The Constitution and Bylaws Committee based this interpretation upon: (1) common practice, (2) a need for continuity in the operation of the Senate, and (3) belief that the other specified duties of the Vice Chair can be accomplished by a person elected during the term since many of them are to be accomplished late in the school year.

This resolution was passed by the Constitution and Bylaws Committee on October 25, 1988 with a vote of 5 for, 0 against. One member was absent. The schools of Architecture & Environmental Design and Science & Mathematics had no appointed representatives at the time of voting.

AS-89/______

RESOLUTION TO AMEND THE BYLAWS TO CLARIFY SUCCESSION OF VICE CHAIR TO CHAIR AND ELECTION OF A REPLACEMENT VICE CHAIR WHEN THE CHAIR IS PERMANENTLY VACATED

WHEREAS, There is need to have continuity of operation of the Senate in the event of a permanent vacancy of the Chair; and

WHEREAS, The Vice Chair can supply this continuity; and

WHEREAS, A replacement Vice Chair will be needed to complete the duties of that office; therefore, be it

RESOLVED: That the Bylaws of the Academic Senate be amended as follows:

Article III.A.2. Vice Chair

In the event of a permanent vacancy of the Office of Chair, the Vice Chair shall succeed to that office and a replacement Vice Chair shall be elected to complete the term of office. The Vice Chair shall serve in the capacity of the Chair during his/her absence or upon the request of the Chair. The Vice Chair shall be responsible for compiling the annual reports from the committees and submitting these reports to the members of the Academic Senate.

Article VII.1.5.b.(4) Election of Senate Officers

(c) The committee shall receive nominations for other eligible candidates from the floor of the Senate provided that (1) at least two senators second the nomination, and (2) the nominee is present and agrees to serve if elected.
RESOLUTION TO AMEND THE BYLAWS TO CLARIFY
SUCCESSION OF VICE CHAIR TO CHAIR AND ELECTION
OF A REPLACEMENT VICE CHAIR WHEN THE CHAIR IS
PERMANENTLY VACATED

AS—____-89/____
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(d) The committee shall conduct the election of Senate
officers at the regular May meeting of the Senate.
Officers shall be elected one at a time: first the Chair,
then the Vice Chair, and finally the Secretary.

(e) In the event of a vacancy in the offices of the Senate,
the committee shall conduct an election at the next
meeting of the Senate to fill the unexpired term.
Nominations shall be made from the floor of the
Senate in compliance with subsection (3) above.

Proposed By:
Constitution and
Bylaws Committee
January 17, 1989
Background statement:

The question of concurrent membership on the GE&B Committee and a GE&B subcommittee has been raised.

The operating procedures of the committee will need to be brought into agreement with the bylaws upon acceptance. The Constitution and Bylaws Committee passed this resolution on October 25, 1988 with a vote of 5 for, 0 against. One member was absent. The schools of Architecture & Environmental Design and Science & Mathematics did not have appointed representatives.

**AS-____-89/____**

**RESOLUTION TO AMEND THE BYLAWS TO PROHIBIT CONCURRENT MEMBERSHIP ON THE GE&B COMMITTEE AND GE&B SUBCOMMITTEES**

**WHEREAS,** There is a principle that no person should serve at more than a single level of evaluation; and

**WHEREAS,** There is a desire to have as great amount of breadth of review for General Education and Breadth matters; and

**WHEREAS,** A person sitting on both the General and a subcommittee would violate these provisions; therefore, be it

**RESOLVED:** That the Bylaws of the Academic Senate be amended as follows:

Article VII.I.7

a. **Membership**

   The ex officio members of the General Education and Breadth Committee shall be the Vice President for Academic Affairs or his/her designee, an ASI representative, and a representative from the Curriculum Committee. **Concurrent membership of faculty on the General Education and Breadth Committee and on its subcommittees is prohibited.**

Proposed By:
Constitution and Bylaws Committee
January 17, 1989
ACADEMIC SENATE
OF
CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY
San Luis Obispo, California

Background statement: The current schedule for Academic Senate elections requires nomination forms to be completed near or during Winter Quarter finals. This is a time of much faculty activity and completion of nomination (agreement to serve forms) may not be given a high priority. A change in timing may increase the attention or priority given to service on the Academic Senate.

This resolution was passed by the Constitution and Bylaws Committee on November 8, 1988 with a vote of 5 for, 0 against. One member was absent and the Schools of Architecture & Environmental Design and Science & Mathematics had no appointed representatives.

RESOLUTION TO AMEND THE BYLAWS TO MOVE NOMINATIONS FOR THE ACADEMIC SENATE FROM MARCH TO FEBRUARY

WHEREAS, The Personnel office can have the information needed by the Elections Committee early in February; and

WHEREAS, There have been problems in obtaining willingness to serve applications for the Academic Senate in March; and

WHEREAS, This has caused the need for Senate seats to be filled by appointment rather than election; and

WHEREAS, There is a desire to have as full a democratic process as possible in the election; therefore, be it

RESOLVED: That the Bylaws of the Academic Senate be amended as follows:

Article VII.1.5.b.(2)

2. Election of Academic Senate Members, the Research Committee, and the University Professional Leave Committee:

(a) At the March February meeting of the Senate, the committee shall announce impending vacancies in the Senate membership (according to the filled full-time equivalent faculty positions as of the first week of February, as listed by the University Personnel office), and the Research Committee*, and in the University Professional Leave Committee. At the same time, each caucus shall be notified in writing of its vacancies.

(b) By Friday of the following week, each caucus shall notify the Elections Committee, in writing, of any discrepancies in the number of vacancies in its constituency.
RESOLUTION TO AMEND THE BYLAWS TO MOVE NOMINATIONS
FOR THE ACADEMIC SENATE FROM MARCH TO FEBRUARY

(c) During the last full week of March February, the committee
shall solicit nominations for the impending vacancies.
Accepted nominations shall include a signed statement of
intent to serve from the candidate. For each school and
Professional Consultative Services, the Elections Committee
shall determine that each nominee is eligible to serve.

*pending revisions

Proposed By:
Constitution and Bylaws
Committee
January 17, 1989
### FY 1989/90 GOVERNOR'S CSU SUPPORT BUDGET


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Positions</th>
<th>Dollars</th>
<th>Dollar Increase/ Decrease From '88/89</th>
<th>Percent Increase/ Decrease From '88/89</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 1988/89 FINAL CSU SUPPORT BUDGET*</td>
<td>31,915.4</td>
<td>$1,503,854,040</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 1989/90 GOVERNOR'S CSU BUDGET:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Support:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrollment Increase (+6331 FTES)</td>
<td>593.6</td>
<td>$21,363,624</td>
<td>$21,363,624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989/90 Budget to Continue 06/01/89 Compensation Increases</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$67,315,406</td>
<td>$67,315,406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Other General Support</td>
<td>31,996.9</td>
<td>$1,482,544,970</td>
<td>$-21,309,070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal, General Support</td>
<td>32,590.5</td>
<td>$1,514,908,600</td>
<td>$67,369,960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Compensation Increases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Jan. 01, 1990</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$50,354,000</td>
<td>$50,354,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals, FY 1989/90 Support</td>
<td>32,590.5</td>
<td>$1,621,578,000</td>
<td>$117,723,960</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Adjusted for the $18.3 million 1988/89 Legislative budget restoration appropriation.

**Sources:** FY 1989/90 Trustees' Budget (Gold Book) and the CSU status report on the FY 1989/90 Governor's Budget.
## COMPARISON OF THE TRUSTEES' REQUESTS AND THE GOVERNOR'S BUDGET

### SUPPORT BUDGET
#### GENERAL FUND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1989/90 Board of Trustees' Request (Original)</th>
<th>1989/90 Board of Trustees' Request (Amended)</th>
<th>1989/90 Governor's Proposed Budget</th>
<th>Difference (From Amended Request)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1988/89 Appropriations based on student enrollment of 261,049 FTE</strong></td>
<td>$1,503,854,040</td>
<td>$1,503,854,040</td>
<td>$1,503,854,040</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Base Line Adjustments</strong></td>
<td>125,514,523</td>
<td>123,660,977</td>
<td>63,651,265</td>
<td>-60,009,712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total, Base Line Budget</strong></td>
<td>$1,629,368,563</td>
<td>$1,627,515,017</td>
<td>$1,567,505,305</td>
<td>$-60,009,712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Program Maintenance Proposals</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enrollment</strong></td>
<td>11,465,005</td>
<td>21,363,624</td>
<td>21,363,624</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Special Cost Increase</strong></td>
<td>28,831,496</td>
<td>30,483,609</td>
<td>-18,374,929</td>
<td>-48,858,538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total, Program Maintenance Budget</strong></td>
<td>$1,669,665,064</td>
<td>$1,679,362,250</td>
<td>$1,570,494,000</td>
<td>$-108,868,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Program Change Proposals</strong></td>
<td>23,688,394</td>
<td>23,688,394</td>
<td>730,000</td>
<td>-22,958,394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total, Support Budget Requirements</strong></td>
<td>$1,693,353,458</td>
<td>$1,703,050,644</td>
<td>$1,571,224,000</td>
<td>$-131,826,644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enrollment (FTE)</strong></td>
<td>264,620</td>
<td>267,380</td>
<td>267,380</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost Per Student (FTE)</strong></td>
<td>$6,399</td>
<td>$6,369</td>
<td>$5,876</td>
<td>$-493</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
California Polytechnic State University - San Luis Obispo  
FY 1989/90 GOVERNOR'S CSU SUPPORT BUDGET PROPOSAL, GENERAL FUND (exclusive of the compensation increase item)
Changes Between Amended Trustees' and Governor's Budgets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Unfunded Prior Year Obligations</th>
<th>Baseline Budget</th>
<th>Program Maintenance Proposals</th>
<th>Program Change Proposals</th>
<th>C S U Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. CSU Trustees' Amended Request</td>
<td>$1,627,515,017</td>
<td>$51,847,233</td>
<td>$23,688,394</td>
<td>$1,703,050,644</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II. Differences Between Trustees' and Governor's:

Unallocated Reductions:
- Non-Faculty MSA (previous years) $-6,544,335
- Unspecified Reduction $-14,208,000
- Non-Faculty MSA (FY 1989/90 MSA's) $-11,396,976
- OASDI and Medicare (increased contribution rates) $-2,013,901
- AV and TV Services (-21.4 positions) $-955,000
- Student Service (-45.5 positions) $-2,050,000
- Custodial Services (-99.7 positions) $-2,300,000
- Miscellaneous Revenue Increase $-500,000
- Mode of Instruction (-32.9 positions) $-1,000,000
- Nonresident Fee Increase (1988/89: $4,680; 1989/90: $5670) $-4,000,000

Campus Schedules:
- Sabbatical Leave Replacement (-31.5 positions/CFA agreement) $-1,016,071
- Student Computing Access (-44.4 positions) $-8,820,434
- Telecommunications (SLO: -$125,400 exchange and mess. units) $-1,971,799
- Shift Differential & Overtime Increases (SLO firefighter O.T.) $-324,752
- State University Grants $1,637,236
- Other PMP Changes to Campus Schedules $-5,436,253

Systemwide Offices $-2,428,286

Systemwide Provisions:
- Special Repairs $-5,751,000
- Instructional Equipment Replacement $-1,000,000
- EOP Grant Ceiling Increase $-951,250
- Price Increase $-9,696,454
- Other Baseline Adjustments $-2,142,796
- Other Systemwide PMP Changes $61,032

Program Change Proposals (-176.5 positions) $-22,958,394

III. Total Differences Trustees' vs Governor $-20,752,335 $-39,257,377 $-48,858,538 $-22,958,394 $-131,826,644

IV. Total, 1989/90 Governor's Budget $-20,752,335 $1,588,257,640 $2,988,695 $730,000 $1,571,224,000
Amendment to: Resolution to Amend the Bylaws Making the Research Committee an Elected Committee (Business Item A.)

c. (5) The newly elected Research Committee members shall be seated as replacements for the existing Research Committee members immediately upon all parties (appointed and elected members of the committee) being notified of the results of the election.

c. (6) The University Professional Leave Committee's responsibility for the State Funded Faculty Support Grants shall be terminated with the adoption of these amendments.