I. Preparatory:
   A. The meeting was called to order at 3:12 p.m.
   B. The minutes of the February 23, 1988 meeting were approved as submitted.

II. Communications:
   A. The chair noted the list of materials available for reading in the Senate office. New material since the last meeting includes the CPEC Study of State Incentive Funding Approaches (CSU).
   B. The memo from Kerschner dated 2/5/88 requesting proposals for Academic Improvement was noted.
   C. The chair also called attention to the memo from Adalian to Wilson regarding Spring Quarter 1988 sabbaticals.
   D. The chair informed the Senate that President Baker has approved the following Senate resolutions:
      AS-264-87 Resolution on Affirmative Action Facilitators
      AS-270-88 Resolution on Effects of Class Size ...
      AS-271-88 Resolution on International Education Office
      AS-272-88 Resolution on Consultative ... Faculty Position Controls
      AS-273-88 Resolution on the Future of Concurrent Enrollment

III. Reports:
   A. President: none.
   B. Academic Affairs: none.
   C. Personnel Office:
      Jan Pieper introduced benefits counselor Joyce Haratani and then reported that the Personnel Office is looking for faculty input on the way in which information on benefits is communicated. The Chancellor’s Office has hired a consultant to make recommendations on this subject. Any input can be directed to Jan Pieper or to Joyce Haratani.
   D. Statewide Senators:
      Tim Kersten reported that the Statewide Senate is working with the CSU alumni on a lobbying effort in Sacramento. One area of focus has been state commitment for support of research in the CSU system. There has been widespread support from the legislature. There is currently two and a half million in the proposed budget for funding in this area, and the Statewide Senate is optimistic that it will survive the budget process.

Reg Gooden reported that he will be bringing forward a local resolution on the Gann Initiatives on the ballot in the upcoming election. He also reported that the Statewide Senate has been recently active in the areas of high unit majors and also the GE transfer curriculum.
E. Elections Committee:
Mike Kelly reported that the Senate election process will begin on 3/21/88. Nominations will be accepted from 3/21 to 4/1 for Senate and UPLC vacancies. Elections will take place the week of 4/18/88. There will also be one vacancy on the Statewide Senate.

IV. Consent Agenda: none.

V. Business Items:
A. Resolution on Enrollment Growth to 15,000 FTE and Beyond

NOTE: A SUMMARY OF ALL APPROVED CHANGES TO THIS RESOLUTION APPEARS AS ATTACHMENT 1.

M/S (Smith, Gooden) to adopt the resolution.

George Stanton of the Long Range Planning Committee noted that the resolution had been reformatted and changed in a number of ways in order to address issues raised at the first reading of this resolution. The changes are outlined in the memo distributed with the resolution in the agenda package.

The chair suggested that the report be discussed one page at a time.
(pages refer to page numbers in the agenda package)

PAGE 19:
M/S to amend the report by changing the recommendation under B1 by replacing the words give priority to by take account of the beneficial effects of diversity which might arise from the admission of

Burgunder indicated that he felt that the original wording was unclear and may be illegal.

Forgeng suggested also adding the phrase and be consistent with educational equity plans already approved by the schools and the university to the end of B1.

This was accepted as a friendly amendment.

The motion passed.

PAGE 19:
M/S (Murphy, Botwin) to amend the report by striking the parenthetical (especially Black and Latino) from recommendation B2.

The amendment passed by a vote of 26 to 20.
PAGE 20:
Dana indicated that some of the figures in the second paragraph of section A may need to be corrected. It was agreed that the Long Range Planning Committee will see that the figures are corrected.

M/S (Dana, Terry) to amend the report by deleting the third paragraph under section A.

The motion failed.

PAGE 21:
M/S (Kersten, Weber) to amend the report by deleting the second recommendation, B2.

Kersten indicated that he felt that resources are inadequate across campus and that he felt that it was inappropriate to deal only with this particular resource issue in the report.

Lewis pointed out that there would be no recipient for these funds, as there is no GE&B program.

The motion passed on a vote of 26 to 20.

PAGE 22:
M/S (Burgunder, Kersten) to amend the report by deleting recommendation B1 and also the words which are also impacted throughout the CSU system only from recommendation B2.

Burgunder said that he was making this motion because he feels that the current wording decreases flexibility of programs that are successful at Cal Poly for no other reason than that they are not successful at other campuses.

Stanton responded that the Long Range Planning Committee had tried to take a long range, statewide view of growth.

The motion passed.

PAGE 22:
M/S (Gooden, Weatherby) to amend the report by deleting recommendation B3.

Gooden indicated that he was making this motion because he did not feel that all programs need to be polytechnic in character.

The motion passed.

PAGE 22:
M/S (Hewitt, Lutrin) to delete the key finding A4.

The motion passed.
PAGE 23:  
M/S (Reiner, Burgunder) to delete key finding A1. 

Reiner stated that he was not comfortable with this statement and felt that the figures might not be correct. 

Stanton suggested that the objections could be dealt with by rewording the finding to read 

A number of new programs which would generate additional FTE have been approved but not implemented: 

This was acceptable to the makers of the motion. The wording will be changed by the Long Range Planning Committee. The motion was withdrawn. 

PAGE 23:  
M/S (Kersten, Burgunder) to amend the report by striking recommendation B1. 

Kersten felt that it might commit the university to something it may not be prepared to do. There might be better alternatives. 

Stanton responded that these programs have already been approved by the normal campus procedures. Deletion of this recommendation would withdraw this approval. 

Kersten withdrew his motion. 

PAGE 26:  
M/S (McGary, Wheeler) to amend the report by adding a new recommendation, to be inserted after the existing recommendation 6. The proposed addition reads 

7. That any growth which required the conversion of University agricultural lands from its present use be done so after consultation and adherence to University land use policy recommendations. 

The motion passed. 

PAGE 26:  
M/S (Hewitt, Lutrin) to amend the report by deleting recommendation B8. 

Hewitt indicated that she felt that students would not tolerate being required to live on campus. 

After discussion, Hewitt changed her motion to delete only the words and establishing a policy of requiring on-campus residence for first-time freshman. 

The revised motion passed.
PAGE 26:

M/S (Zeuschner, Andrews) to amend the report by changing recommendation B8 by inserting the words
an attractive variety of
so that the recommendation would read

That Cal Poly consider reducing its impact on community housing and traffic congestion by adding an attractive variety of residential facilities on campus (including necessary infrastructure and supporting services):

Botwin pointed out that attractive may be a violation of CSU policy.

The motion passed.

TURNING TO THE MAIN RESOLUTION

M/S (Kersten, Burgunder) to amend the resolution by deleting the words
Long Range Planning Committee
from the final resolved.

This was accepted as an editorial change.

The resolution then passed as amended.

Adjournment: The meeting was adjourned at 5:00 p.m. The remaining items on the agenda will be moved to the agenda of the next Senate meeting.
ATTACHMENT 1

SUMMARY

Changes to the Resolution on Enrollment Growth to 15,000 FTE and Beyond

NOTE: ONLY APPROVED CHANGES ARE LISTED IN THIS SUMMARY.

Changes are referenced by page number as they appeared in the agenda.

page 16: none

page 17: In the final resolved, second line
the words
Long Range-Planning Committee
were deleted.

page 18: none

page 19: The first recommendation under B now reads:

That any increase in enrollment at Cal Poly take account of
the beneficial effects of diversity which might arise from the
admission of CSU qualified under-represented students and be
consistent with educational equity plans already approved by the
schools and the university.

Also on page 19: in the second recommendation under B, the
parenthetical
(especially Black and Latino)
was deleted.

page 20: There was some indication that the figures in the middle
paragraph might be in error. (second paragraph under A)
The correct figure for freshmen is 36.2 (not 37.2). Also the
words were Engineering (at 44.7 percent) and should be replaced
by the word was.

That is, the only reference in the last sentence of the paragraph
should be to the School of Science and Mathematics.

page 21: The second recommendation under B was deleted. This would
require renumbering the other recommendations.

page 22: The first recommendation under B was deleted.

The second recommendation under B was changed by deleting
the words
which are also impacted throughout the CSU system only.

The third recommendation was deleted.
page 22 continued: The fourth entry under Key findings was deleted.

page 23: The first item under Key findings was reworded to

A number of new programs which would generate additional FTE have been approved but not implemented.

page 24: none

page 25: none

page 26: A new recommendation was inserted after recommendation 6. This would require renumber of recommendations 7-10.

The new item is

7. That any growth which required the conversion of University agriculture lands from its present use be done so after consultation and adherence to University land use policy recommendations.

Also on page 26:

The old eighth recommendation (now number 9) was changed to read

9. That Cal Poly consider reducing its impact on community housing and traffic congestion by adding an attractive variety of residential facilities on campus (including necessary infrastructure and supporting services):