CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY
San Luis Obispo, California
ACADEMIC SENATE
ACADEMIC SENATE - MINUTES
Tuesday: January 26, 1988
UU 220 3:00 p.m.

Chair: A. Charles Crabb
Vice Chair: Charles Andrews
Secretary: Roxy Peck

I. Preparatory
A. The meeting was called to order at 3:14 p.m. upon obtaining a quorum.
B. The minutes of the Academic Senate meeting of January 12, 1988 were approved as mailed.
C. The Chair welcomed Malcolm Wilson to the Senate after his short absence due to surgery.

II. Communications
The Chair noted new additions to the list of materials available in the Senate Office. Reservations are not necessary; nor are there any waiting lines.

III. Reports
A. President's Office: No report
B. Academic Affairs Office
   1. Malcolm Wilson reported the existence of a moratorium on new overseas programs.
   2. He briefly updated the Senate concerning the Summer Quarter staffing situation. Summer Quarter 1988 is not in jeopardy. The President has expressed concern to Vice Chancellor Hanner and others over the continued shortfall in funding summer quarter at Cal Poly. He is hopeful that there will soon be an advantageous change in funding.
C. Statewide Senators: No report
D. ASI Report on Faculty Evaluations
   The Chair welcomed Ricardo Echeverria and Pamela Olsen who made a short report in support of the Academic Senate's endorsement of ASI Resolution #88-11.
   1. The substance of their presentation may be found in two handouts: one available upon entry in UU 220 and one available upon exiting UU 220. Additional copies are available in the Senate Office for Senators who were not in attendance.
   2. The presentation was met with skepticism by most
Senators who commented. Objections were voiced concerning the lack of necessity for another student evaluation in addition to the one used in RFT deliberations, the possible use of this second evaluation in RFT deliberations, and opposition to a common evaluation instrument across campus.

3. Ray Terry objected to ASI #88-11 on the grounds that it is based in part on a recommendation of the Ad Hoc Committee on Measures of Effectiveness in Instruction. No recommendation of that committee has yet been approved by the Academic Senate nor by the Academic Senate Instruction Committee.

4. The students' efforts and the ASI Resolution #88-11 were praised by the Chair and by Lee Burgunder.

IV. Consent Agenda: None

V. Business Items

A. Resolution on the Foundation Election Process
   1. The Chair welcomed Harvey Greenwald (Chair: Ad Hoc Committee on the Cal Poly Foundation Election Process) who pointed out a number of changes made in the document between its first and second readings.
   2. After a short discussion, the motion to adopt the Resolution carried unanimously.

B. Resolution on the Effects of Class Size, Mode and Level of Faculty Workload
   1. Harry Sharp moved to amend the Resolution by adding another resolved clause: "Resolved, That the Academic Senate recommends that Cal Poly construct two or three buildings with large lecture capacity."
   2. After lengthy discussion, the amendment was rejected on a voice vote.
   3. M/S/P: To amend the Resolution by deleting the penultimate resolved clause.
   4. M/S/P: To adopt the Resolution as amended.
   5. Both the amendment (item 3 above) and the main motion were adopted without dissenting vote.

C. Resolution on International Education Office (IEO)
   1. M/S/P (Sharp /Andrews): To adopt the Resolution.
   2. The Resolution was adopted with a few negative votes.

D. Resolution on Consultative Procedures for Faculty Position Controls
   1. M/S (Andrews /Sharp): To adopt the same-named substitute resolution (available as a handout).
   2. After opposition from Lee Burgunder, Charles Andrews withdrew the substitute motion and
requested action on the original Resolution (in the agenda packet).
3. After a brief discussion, the motion to adopt was upheld by a vote of 24-17.

E. Resolution on the Future of Concurrent Enrollment
1. M/S/P: To adopt the Resolution.
3. The Resolution was unanimously passed.

F. Resolution on Academic Promotion
1. The Chair recognized Paul Murphy (Chair: PPC) who explained the restrictions to be placed on promotion of academic administrators; he further guided the Senate through the intricacies and interrelations between the proposed definitions for "normal promotion" and "early promotion."
2. As an aid in understanding the definitions, one should review De Morgan's Rules of Logic:
   \[(p \land q)^\sim = p^\sim \lor q^\sim; \quad (p \lor q)^\sim = p^\sim \land q^\sim\]
3. The Resolution will be on the next Senate agenda as a Second Reading item.

G. Resolution on Tenure for Academic Employees
1. Only five minutes remained in this Senate session. Al Cooper moved the agenda, but the Chair decided to allow Paul Murphy to use the small amount of time left to explain the Resolution.
2. The Resolution will be on the next Senate agenda as a Second Reading item.

H. Emergency Resolution on Summer Quarter Funding
The Chair announced that this item had been withdrawn from the agenda since an emergency situation no longer exists. Cf. III.B. above.

I. Resolution on Indirect Costs Utilization: CAM 543
1. The lack of time prevented consideration of this item.
2. The Resolution will be on the next Senate agenda as a First Reading item.

VI. Discussion items: None

VII. Adjournment
The meeting adjourned at 5:00 p.m.