I. Preparatory:
A. The meeting was called to order at 3:15 p.m.
B. Chuck Dana corrected the minutes under IV subsection 4 to read: Charles Dana stated that some nieces and nephews are close relatives and some are not. The minutes were then approved as corrected.

II. Communications:
The chair called attention to the list of materials available for reading in the Senate Office.

III. Reports:
A. President: none.
B. Academic Affairs Office: none.
C. Statewide Senate: none.
D. Senate Chair:
The chair announced that he prefers to be flexible rather than to set fixed office hours in the Senate Office. He generally reserves afternoons for Senate business. Anyone wishing to meet with the chair is encouraged to call the Senate office for an appointment.

The chair also announced that he has asked Dr. Art Gloster to give a report to the Senate regarding plans for academic computing. Dr. Gloster will address the Senate at the first regular meeting of winter quarter.

IV. Consent Agenda: none.

V. Business Items:
A. Resolution on Affirmative Action Facilitator, First Reading.
This resolution was before the Senate earlier this year. After discussion it was sent back to committee for further work. Maria Ortiz indicated that although the basic content of the resolution is the same, it now includes a background statement and that many of the changes suggested in the earlier debate have been incorporated.

Barbara Weber indicated that she will propose an amendment to change the wording so that the Senate is recommending rather than adopting the duties listed in the background statement.
Michael Silvestri noted that it appears that a word is missing from the last resolved clause. It was determined that it should read: That the Affirmative Action officer provide a report on the Affirmative Action Facilitator program to the Academic Senate through its Status of Women Committee.

Linda Dalton indicated that the third whereas is not a sentence and does not appear to make sense as it stands. Maria Ortiz indicated that this will be adjusted prior to the second reading.

Charlie Andrews suggested adding a statement regarding the need for the facilitators to the background statement.

This item will move to a second reading at the next Senate meeting.


M/S (Moustafa, Forceng) to adopt the resolution.

Bob Lucas indicated that the resolution has been changed to clarify that the Senate is only endorsing the one year pilot period. The background statement has been expanded to clear up some of the ambiguities present in the earlier document.

M/S/P (Burgunder, Reiner) to amend the resolved clause by deleting the words a specialist and inserting Wes Witten.

M/S (Weber, Wilson) to delete the words discussed in the attached proposal from the resolved clause. The resolved clause then no longer references the start date of Fall Quarter 1987. This was accepted as a friendly amendment.

M/S (Andrews, Kersten) to amend the resolution by adding the following resolved clause:

Resolved: There should be an evaluation report to the Academic Senate on the success of this program at the end of the one-year pilot period.

Joe Weatherby called all matters before the house. This closes debate on the amendment and the main motion. The motion failed.

A vote was taken on the amendment, which passed unanimously.

Discussion continued on the main motion. Linda Dalton indicated some concern over the earlier friendly amendment, as it now leaves no reference to the proposal in the resolved clause. Bob Lucas agreed to delete beginning with the fall quarter of 1987 from page 2 of the proposal. Barbara Weber then withdrew her friendly amendment, which restored the words discussed in the attached proposal to the first resolved clause.
Harry Sharp suggested that this proposal be reviewed by the legal department and by a tax attorney to make sure that the university would not be jeopardizing its tax exempt status.

The motion passed almost unanimously.

D. Resolution on Research and Professional Development: CAM 452, First Reading
This resolution revises CAM in light of Administrative Bulletins 81-2 and 85-2.

Susan Currier provided some historical background on the referenced Administrative Bulletins and expressed concern over the statement that research is second in importance only to instruction. She feels that this sets a hierarchy of activities that the Senate has been careful to avoid in the past. She will propose an amendment that deletes this reference.

Tim Kersten requested that Keith Stowe review and comment on this proposal in light of work done by the research committee some years back, and suggested that maybe this resolution should be reviewed by other Senate committees as well.

Raymond Zeuschner indicated that he will propose an amendment to the second paragraph that list the advancement of knowledge as the primary benefit of research.

This item will move to a second reading at the next Senate meeting.

D. Resolution on Conflict of Interest in Personnel Decisions: CAM 311.5, First Reading.
This resolution was on the Senate agenda last spring but never received time on the floor.

Paul Murphy noted the differences between the former policy and the proposed one.

Several senators expressed agreement with the concept that the key issue is conflict of interest rather than employment of relatives. Professor Murphy was also commended on his concise statement of policy.

VI. Discussion Items: none

VII. Adjournment: 4:08 p.m.
The meeting was called to order at 4:10 p.m.

The chair indicated that the Executive Committee needs to appoint a representative to the Union Executive Committee.

Charlie Andrews was appointed.

The meeting was adjourned at 4:14 p.m.