I. Preparatory  
A. The meeting was called to order at 3:18 p.m.

V. Business Items  
E. Resolution on Foreign Language Exit Requirement, Second Reading  
M/S/P (Terry, J. Murphy) to approve Resolution on Foreign Language Exit Requirement.

F. Resolution on the Academic Calendar, Second Reading  
M/S/P (Terry, Gooden) to approve Resolution on the Academic Calendar.  
Ray Terry expressed concern that the issue of holding final exams on the Saturday of Labor Day weekend versus holding class on a Saturday earlier Summer Quarter was still not resolved. He explained that the Resolution covers the '90-'91 and '91-'92 academic years; the Instruction Committee will study the '92-'93 academic calendar to try to rectify Saturday exams as well as other concerns.

G. Resolution on Accreditation Guidelines, Second Reading  
M/S (Terry, Gooden) to approve Resolution on Accreditation Guidelines  
M/S (Burgunder, Bailey) to amend first Resolved clause to read: That the Academic Senate of the California Polytechnic State University at San Luis Obispo, California endorse the complete statement of principles approved by the CIC on May 14, 1984, including in addition to the nine standards, summarized in the background statement above, the descriptions for the standards included in the CIC Statement.  
Lee Burgunder explained that the amended statement would serve as protection should the wording of the principles be changed at some point in the future. He was concerned that the current wording was very vague.

The chair called for a vote on the amendment to the first Resolved clause; the amendment was passed.

Lee Burgunder proposed that the second Resolved clause read as follows: That the Academic Senate of the California Polytechnic State University at San Luis Obispo, California urge the CSU Academic Senate to recommend to the CSU Board of Trustees and directly urge the CSU Board of Trustees to adopt the CIC statement of principles as system policy for the conduct of accreditation reviews.

Ray Terry, Chair of the Instruction Committee, accepted the proposal as a friendly amendment.

The chair called for a vote on the amended Resolution; the Resolution was approved.

H. Resolution on Computer Science Department Name Change, Second Reading  
M/S (Moustafa, Clark) to approve Resolution  
M/S (J. Murphy, P. Murphy) to defer action on Resolution until it could be considered together with a Resolution to change the name of the Electrical/Electronic Engineering Department.

Jim Murphy expressed concern that if one name change was approved and one was not approved, such action could generate conflict within the School of Engineering.

The chair called for a vote on the amendment; the amendment was passed.

I. Resolution to Establish the CIM Center, First Reading  
M/S (J. Murphy, Moustafa) to move Resolution to Second Reading item at the next meeting.  
M/S/P (Gooden, Terry) to suspend the rules and consider the Resolution as Second Reading item today.

M/S (Zeuschner, J. Murphy) to approve the Resolution to establish the CIM Center.

Jim Murphy questioned why the list of personnel associated with the proposal was slightly
different from the original list. Ed Carnegie, representing the proposal, indicated there was a request to remove non-faculty names and in their place 4 faculty were added.

Sam Lutrin raised a question concerning plans to staff the Center until funds are generated. Ed Carnegie indicated that faculty will volunteer their time outside of their regular teaching load to staff the Center until external funds are generated.

Ray Terry asked if the Center had met the specifications established in the Resolution concerning Centers and Institutes. Ed Carnegie indicated that the proposal had been reviewed many times for compliance, most recently with Bob Lucas of the Academic Affairs Office two weeks ago.

Jim Murphy raised a question on facilities. Archie Cheda of the CIM Center Committee responded that based on income generated from industry, hopefully a new lab will be built in 6-7 years. Currently, the Center is operating in floor space in Industrial Engineering, Engineering Technology Manufacturing and Welding areas, and in Building 40.

The chair called for a vote to approve the Resolution to establish the CIM Center; the Resolution was approved. The chair commended the CIM Center Committee for the cooperation and coordination exhibited between the five schools represented.

VI. Discussion Items

A. The chair asked Lark Carter, Dean of the School of Agriculture, if he had any report from the Dean’s Council. In addition to a brief update on the Costa Rica project, he stated that Larry Rathbun and the new director of the school in Costa Rica will be on campus for a reception on June 9, 2:30-4:30 p.m.

The Dean’s Council is currently involved in budget considerations not only for next year but long-term. Because of the expected shortfall, Deans have been asked to prioritize areas/programs for cuts; cuts are to be vertical instead of horizontal. There has also been discussion on enrollment plans for the future. Another topic of discussion includes staffing for Summer Quarter; the only classes to be offered are those classes that will “make” or pay their own way. Staffing allocations for ’89-’90 have been made and departments are currently working on those allocations.

B. The chair explained his recommendation for dealing with the curriculum process. Problem areas or areas to be challenged will be identified and pulled for debate at a later time. It is hoped that this will facilitate the approval of curriculum that is not challenged. President Baker will discuss the budget next Tuesday in advance of curriculum discussion. The chair stated that he felt the Senate has a responsibility not only to approve curriculum but to address the issues of scarce resources.

VII. Adjournment: The meeting was adjourned at 4:00 p.m.