I. Preparatory
A. The meeting was called to order at 3:15 p.m.
B. M/S/P (Moustafa/Grinnell) to approve the Agenda.
C. The minutes of the May 2 meeting were approved as submitted.

II. Communications/Announcements
A. The chair noted the list of materials available for reading in the Senate Office.
B. Status of Academic Senate Chairs Emeriti/DTA Recipients Plaques
   The chair announced that installation will probably occur in the Library over the summer.
C. The chair announced a brief Executive Committee meeting following Senate adjournment.

III. Reports
A. President: none
B. Academic Affairs
   Bill Rife announced that the WASC Accreditation Report should be in draft version by end of Spring Quarter.
C. Statewide Senators: none
D. Introduction of new senators and caucus chairs
   SAED--Jim Borland (caucus chair)
   SBUS--Bill Boynton (caucus chair)
   PCS--(chair to be elected following senator runoff election)
   SENG--Safwat Moustafa (caucus chair)
   SPSE--Laura Freberg (caucus chair)
   SSM--Paul Murphy (caucus chair)
   SAGR--Jim Vilkitis (caucus chair)
   SLA--(chair to be elected)

IV. Consent Agenda: none

V. Business Items
A. Election of Academic Senate Officers
   The chair introduced Madeleine Johnson, Chair of the Elections Committee, to conduct election.
   M/S/P (Borland, Lewis) to accept candidates by acclamation.
   Chair: Jim Murphy (SPSE)
   Vice Chair: P. Sam Lutrin (PCS)
   The position of Secretary is still vacant.

B. Resolution on Bicycle Use on Campus, Second Reading
   M/S (Gooden, J. Murphy) to move Resolution forward to discussion
   M/S (Dalton, Burgunder) to propose a substitute resolution
   Linda Dalton summarized proposal: The Campus Planning Committee and Public Safety Advisory Committee
   would develop a bicycle circulation and facilities plan including clear separation of bicycle and pedestrian
   routes where possible and appropriate placement of parking facilities; congested areas would be designated
   where bicyclists would be required to walk bicycles; Public Safety Department would be encouraged to
   increase education and enforcement programs.

   The chair introduced Jim Landreth, Vice President for Business Affairs. He reported "there have been
   substantial claims throughout the State concerning accidents between pedestrians and bicyclists. The
   philosophy that exists on the CSU/UC campus is that the State has a "deep pocket" and provides opportunity
to file claims; if those claims are not processed by the State, suits can be filed. Consequently, there has been a request to look at bicycles on campus. The State Dept. of Finance is concerned about financial settlements associated with claims resulting from bicycle/pedestrian accidents. Their "creative" way to provide financial oversight to indemnize these claims and to achieve greater safety participation on campus has been to suggest that rather than have the claims paid for by the Attorney General’s Court Claim Fund which when exhausted requires a legislative bill in order to achieve funds for payment—that instead, continue to use the concept but that 10% of claim cost would be paid from CSU General Fund Operating Budget and 90% would be paid from Attorney General/legislative enactment. The concern is that once the door is open for 10% assessment against the University’s Operating Budget then in forthcoming years it would be 20%, 80%, or whatever percent they arbitrarily choose.”

Jim Landreth introduced Richard Brug, Director of Public Safety, who highlighted efforts of Public Safety in past to promote bicycle safety. Such efforts have included hiring students on bikes to serve on Bike Patrol, hiring students to walk bike lanes to get pedestrians out of way, offering workshops in the residence halls/University Union as part of safety awareness, and stationing officers at critical areas on given day to issue tickets. At CSU Chico, an injury from a bicyclist has resulted in permanent disability; at Cal Poly, a professor was seriously injured last year from bicycle/pedestrian accident. A further concern is that bicyclists don’t have insurance so University could be held liable. Public Safety feels they have tried everything and since the campus has been put “on notice” to address issue of safety they suggest restricting riding in the inner core so that enforcement will be easier. Bike racks would be installed outside the inner core at each gate and North/South Via Carta would be left open to bicycle traffic.

The chair introduced Doug Gerard, Executive Dean of Facilities Administration, who stated that the University is strongly supportive of alternate forms of transportation. However, he reinforced frustration with the current situation and expressed concern for financial liability with no resources to cover.

Considerable discussion of concerns was raised by various senators. Safwat Moustafa questioned if charging a bike use permit fee had ever been considered; such a fee could be used to inform students that it’s a privilege to ride a bike on campus and could also be a method of creating resources. Jim Landreth stated there was no fee authorization in the CSU system—only for licensing. Michael Hanson questioned if the Resolution was passed and a bicyclist was to violate the rule, causing an accident—would the University still be liable. Jim Landreth indicated the University would be liable; however, passing the Resolution would hopefully reduce numbers. Lee Burgunder asked if any numbers were available to illustrate the extent of the problem on this campus. Richard Brug said no numbers were available. Tina Bailey felt the issue of numbers was not significant; the campus should not be waiting for the numbers to increase before addressing safety concerns.

M/S/P (Lutrin/Stead) to close debate.

The chair called for a vote on the substitute Resolution; the chair ruled that the substitute Resolution was defeated.

M/S (J. Murphy/P. Murphy) to modify second Resolved of main motion to read: That the riding of bicycles within the Inner Core of the campus as defined by the Outer Perimeter Road be restricted to Via Carta in a north/south direction.

Jim Murphy stated that the amendment would permit walking bicycles in the inner core. Linda Dalton expressed concern that the issue of parking of bicycles was still not addressed. Paul Murphy stated that the amendment was a good interim measure because it would allow walking of bicycles and use of existing bicycle parking facilities. Ahmed Seifoddini believed time should be addressed (i.e., in force 24 hours per day or just during restricted hours). Steve McGary directed a question to visitors from Public Safety as to enforceability of amendment. Richard Brug said he did not have sufficient manpower to enforce amendment. Bob Heidersbach raised concerns about driveway/road by Building 12 Computer Lab and road in front of the Library; cars are allowed in both locations, but the wording in the Resolution would now restrict bicycles.

M/S (Dalton, Moustafa) to table Resolution and return to Public Safety Advisory Committee for more specific information addressing riding and parking of bicycles. The chair called for a vote; the motion was defeated 21-24.
The chair called for a vote on the amendment to the main motion; the amendment was carried.

M/S/P (Zeuschner/P. Murphy) to add a third Resolved: That the Public Safety Advisory Committee continue to develop plans to promote safe use of bicycles by the Cal Poly community.

The chair called for a vote on the Resolution as amended; the Resolution was passed.

C. Resolution on Skateboard Use on Campus, Second Reading
The chair suggested an editorial change on the second Resolved: That the use of skateboards be prohibited on the California Polytechnic State University campus.

M/S/P (Grinnell, Weber) to approve editorial change and move item to second reading.

A question was raised as to enforceability of Resolution. Leroy Whitmer, Assistant Director of Public Safety, indicated that the majority of calls were concerning individuals who have no association with Cal Poly; this results in additional problems of liability. If the Resolution is passed, signs could be posted at the entrances of the campus thus helping with enforcement. There was considerable discussion from the Senate floor.

The chair called for a vote on the Resolution as corrected; the Resolution passed.

D. Proposal for Joint MBA/MS Degree, Second Reading
M/S (Bailey, Moustafa) to approve the joint MBA/MS Engineering Degree Program.

Linda Dalton raised a question concerning the introduction of new Business courses that were not included in the curriculum; clarification that these were elective courses was stated in a program footnote. Linda also raised a question on the Title V requirement for a culminating experience. Don White (IE) indicated that GSB 562 and IE 556 serve as capstone courses.

Paul Murphy indicated a concern about the packaging of the Program. In referring to the catalog, the MBA degree is the same except for 25 units; for 25 units, student receives a second degree. John Stead echoed the concern mentioning that the program offers 20 courses in Business and 7 in engineering; he felt it seemed to be more an MBA with a specialization in Industrial Engineering--similar to the MBA with a specialization in Agriculture.

Tim Kersten indicated that students are awarded 2 degrees, but the 105 units taken are more than two individual Master’s programs. Students entering the current MBA program with an undergraduate Business degree have the first year of courses waived. The program is unique in that it accommodates students from 2 different types of backgrounds: those with a B.S. in Business or Economics who have much of the foundation needed to progress rapidly to a degree; another component is students who come from other backgrounds who need a grounding in Business and Economics before they can proceed to their advanced work. Lee Burgunder stated that this program eliminates the redundancy that would occur if the two degrees were offered separately.

The chair called for a vote on the Program proposal; the proposal was approved.

VI. Discussion Item
A. M/S/P (Terry, P. Murphy) to schedule full Academic Senate meetings as follows: Thursday, May 25; Tuesday, May 30; Thursday, June 1; Tuesday, June 6; Thursday, June 8.

VII. Adjournment: The meeting was adjourned at 4:55 p.m.