I. The minutes of the June 4, 1985 Executive Committee meeting (previously distributed via the campus mail) were accepted as written.

The minutes of the May 28/30 meeting(s) of the Academic Senate were distributed. Discussion and approval of them was carried forward to the next meeting of the Executive Committee (July 16, 1985).

II. Announcements

A. As noted in the agenda for the June 11 meeting, there would be no Provost's Report for this meeting.

The Chair announced that the Provost would be absent from today's meeting of the Executive Committee. As a result, the discussion of the five planning questions (Business Item E) would be deferred until the Provost or his representative could be present.

Tim Kersten suggested that we may want to invite the President to an Executive Committee meeting since the five topics to be discussed were initially presented by the President in remarks made before the Academic Senate.

B. The Chair announced that Gerald Smith (Dept. Head, Landscape Architecture) is resigning from the Search Committee for Vice-Provost. In withdrawing from the Committee, Smith noted:

1. V. L. Holland (Dept. Head, Biological Sciences) would be a satisfactory replacement.

2. The Executive Committee may wish to fill the vacancy with a representative from the School of Engineering.

Reg Gooden was consulted for background information concerning the composition of the Vice Provost Search Committee.

Tim Kersten put forth the names of two individuals: Dan Bertozzi (Dept. Head, Business Administration) and Panagiotis Papakyriazis (Economics) who may be interested in serving on the Committee.

Ray Terry noted that Jim Harris would be a good replacement for Smith since (a) he is from the School of Engineering; (b) he is a Dept. Head (EE/EL); (c) He has served on search committees before, viz. Search Committee for Dean of Engineering; (d) SOSAM already has a representative, Harvey Greenwald (Math)
II. Announcements (Continued)

B. Resignation of Gerald Smith from Vice Provost Search Committee (Continued)

MSP to empower the Chair to research the situation, consult with the Schools of Business and Engineering and to appoint a replacement for Prof. Smith.

C. The subject of Hazardous Waste Disposal (Business Item D)

would be the first item of business since Marlin Vix had to leave the Executive Committee meeting early to attend a meeting of the Environmental Health and Safety Subcommittee of the Public Health and Safety Committee.

III. Business Items

A. Marlin Vix distributed copies of his memo "Hazardous Waste Storage Permit and Statement of Facts for California Polytechnic State University."

Prof. Vix briefed the Committee as to the contents of his memo and amplified the points contained therein. Vix enlightened the Committee as to the usual composition of university committees. He said that a typical university committee consists of 10 Administration representatives, 2 faculty representatives and 2 student representatives. As a result, he felt the need to have the full support of the Executive Committee before taking a stand on the issue of Cal Poly's request for a hazardous waste storage permit. Specifically, does the Executive Committee share the concerns he voiced in his memo? What action should he take in the Subcommittee meeting to take place at 4:00 p.m.?

General discussion of the memo and the situation it described took place. The following questions were posed:

1. Where is pcb being stored on campus?
2. Should we ship pcb bit by bit or store it until a sizeable quantity accumulates?
3. Why don't we work with PG&E in removing pcb?
   a. Can private business pick up and store our pcb?
4. What is the cost of storing pcb?
5. Should there be a time limit on the storage of pcb?

MSP (Hallman) to direct Marlin Vix to recommend to the Environmental Health and Safety Subcommittee that Cal. Poly. withdraw its application for a hazardous waste storage permit until the following specific questions had been answered satisfactorily:

1. What alternatives exist to the on-site storage of pcb?
2. What other types of toxic waste will be stored on campus?
3. What financial advantages are there to storing pcb for more than 90 days versus the cost of shipping pcb in 90 days or less?
4. What responsibility does Cal. Poly. have once a hazardous waste storage permit is issued?
5. What time limits will be placed on the storage or pcb?
6. What is the quantity of hazardous material to be stored?
7. What is to prevent radioactive material from being stored?
III. Business Items (Continued)

A. Marlin Vix’s Report (Continued)

The motion passed unanimously.

The Executive Committee further authorized Marlin Vix to indicate that Cal. Poly.'s failure to withdraw the request for a hazardous waste storage permit pending the resolution of the seven questions above would result in a discussion of the permit and the general situation by the Academic Senate in the Fall.

Reg Gooden suggested that perhaps the loose wording of the permit indicates that the government agencies contacted do not wish to get involved in the regulation of on-campus storage of hazardous waste. Cal. Poly. should take steps to cause the appropriate government agencies to focus on the problem.

B. Appointments to Academic Senate Standing Committees

LeAnne Barber indicated that many of the gaps in the list of committee assignments had been filled by the Caucus Chairs during the past week. She read the names of the additional caucus chair recommendations.

Lynne Jamieson was complimented on her locating volunteers for Academic Senate Standing Committee vacancies from the School of Professional Studies and Education.

MSP to approve the caucus chair recommendations made thus far for appointment to Academic Senate Standing Committees.

C. Appointment to Campus-Wide Standing Committees.

Since there were several volunteers for a limited number of committee seats, the Chair asked the Caucus Chairs to speak for / against the various candidates. The Caucus Chairs' comments and recommendations were noted by the Chair and will not be recorded here.

D. MSP to adopt the tentative 1985-1986 meeting schedule of the Academic Senate and the Executive Committee.

A sheet was circulated for each Executive Committee member to indicate a local address to which agenda for the 07-16-86 Executive Committee meeting should be sent.

E. The Chair indicated that he had received a request from Tal Scriven requesting the support of the Academic Senate (Executive Committee) in his effort to obtain 0.1 assigned time for the Summer Quarter.
III. Business Items (Continued)

E. Assigned Time (Continued)

A letter from Tal Scriven to Lloyd Lamouria was circulated.

As indicated in the minutes of the June 4, 1985 Executive Committee meeting, the Provost asserted that all assigned time for this year had been exhausted. A general discussion of the need for assigned time by Senate committee chairs ensued. The following committees and/or committee chairs were listed as deserving of assigned time: Curriculum Committee, Fairness Board, GE & B Committee, Long Range Planning Committee, Personnel Policies Committee, and the Universitywide Professional Leave Committee.

MSP that the Executive Committee directs the Chair to review past and present committee needs, check on the situation at other CSU campuses, and make appropriate requests for assigned time for specified Academic Senate Committees.

The meeting adjourned at 4:55 p.m.