I. Introduction

A. The meeting was called to order at 3:10 p.m. upon obtaining a quorum.

B. This meeting is the third of four sessions of the meeting begun on Tuesday: May 26, 1987.

C. The Chair expressed his thanks for the work done by the Chairs of the Academic Senate standing and ad hoc committees.

Standing Committees:

- Budget
- Constitution and Bylaws
- Curriculum
- Distinguished Teaching
- Elections
- Fairness Board
- GE & B
- Instruction
- Library
- Long Range Planning
- Personnel Policies
- Research
- Status of Women
- Student Affairs
- UPLC

Ad Hoc Committees on
II. Communications: None

III. Reports: None

IV. Consent Agenda: None

V. Business Items

A. Catalog Changes for 1988-1990 (School of Professional Studies and Education)

1. M /S (Dana /Cooper): To approve the recommendations of the Curriculum Committee with regard to the curriculum proposals from the Industrial Technology Department.

2. M /S /P (Weber /...): To change "D" to "R" for all curriculum proposals from the Industrial Technology Department.

3. The Chair recognized James Murphy (Curriculum Coordinator: IT Dept.) who reviewed the content on his 5/28/87 memo to the Academic Senate.

4. Discussion concentrated on IV. 13, 14 relating to the Industrial Management Concentration.

5. Upon intense questioning by Charles Andrews (Vice Chair-Elect), Jim Murphy agreed that the items were motivated by the large number of deviation petitions that are processed for students who cannot enroll in MGT 311. He accepted MGT 317 as an appropriate deviation. Discussion commenced as to whether MGT 317 could be added to the concentration now since it had not been proposed by IT nor considered the SPSE and Academic Senate Curriculum Committees.
6. A motion to halt debate carried on a voice vote.

7. The Weber Amendment carried: 20 Yes, 16 No.

8. M /S /P (Dana /Cooper): To approve the amended Curriculum Committee recommendations with regard to the curriculum proposals from the Industrial Technology Department.

9. M /S /P (Dana /Cooper): To approve the recommendations of the Curriculum Committee with regard to the Liberal Studies Program.

   The motion carried unanimously.

10. M /S /P (Dana /French): To approve the recommendations of the Curriculum Committee with regard to the curriculum proposals from the Military Science Department.

    The motion carried unanimously.

11. M /S (Dana /Cooper): To approve the recommendations of the Curriculum Committee with regard to the curriculum proposals from the Physical Education and Recreation Administration Department.

12. M /S /P (Wheeler /...): To change "D" to "A" with regard to REC 369 (Item 44, p. 73).

13. M /S /P (Wheeler /...): To change "CA" to "A" with regard to REC 424 (Item 48, p. 73).

    The motion carried with one negative vote.

14. M /S /P: To adopt the amended recommendations of the Curriculum Committee with regard to the curriculum proposals from the PE&RA Department.

15. M /S /P (Dana /French): To approve the recommendations of the Curriculum Committee
with regard to the curriculum proposals of the Psychology and Human Development Department.

Charles Dana informed the Senate that the "D" next to PSY 212 (p. 76, item 61) and PSY 330 (p. 76, item 63) were in error and should be changed to "A."

The motion carried unanimously.

B. Catalog Proposals for 1988-1990 (School of Business)

M /S /P (Andrews /Dana): To approve the Curriculum Committee recommendations with regard to the curriculum proposals from the School of Business.

The motion carried unanimously.

C. Catalog Proposals for 1988-1990 (School of Architecture and Environmental Design)

1. M /S (Lewis /Murphy): To defer consideration of all proposals from the School of Architecture and Environmental Design with the exception of the proposals from the Architectural Engineering Department.

The motion was prompted by Charles Dana's revelation that, with the exception of the AE Dept., the proposals from SAED were submitted two months late.

George Lewis asserted that a delay in submission is a tactic used to avoid scrutiny.

Jay Waddell assailed the proposal as "a vindictive act, not appropriate for this body."

The Lewis Amendment was defeated on a voice vote.

2. M /S (Dana /... ): To approve the recommendations of the Curriculum Committee with regard to the curriculum proposals from the Architecture Department.
3. M /S (Botwin /French): To change "D" to "A" with regard to ARCH 106 (IV. B. 1, p. 91).

The amendment failed.

4. M /S (Botwin /French): To change "D" to "A" with regard to ARCH 231 (IV. B.4).

The amendment failed.

5. M /S (Botwin /French): To change "CA" to "A" with regard to Item U1.24, p. 92.

After some discussion, Mike Botwin withdrew the amendment.

6. M /S /P (Botwin /French): To change "A" to "D" with regard to ARCH 514, a course which had not been taught in the last two years.

It was established that the course will be taught in Winter 1988; it had not been offered earlier due to staffing problems.

The amendment carried on a voice vote.

7. Charles Dana announced that the Architecture Department's request for exemption from 9 units of free electives had been disapproved by the Curriculum Committee, not approved as indicated on p. 92.

M /S (Botwin /...): To change "D" to "A" with regard to the petition for exemption from 9 units of free electives.

The motion failed.

8. M /S /P: To approve the amended recommendations of the Curriculum Committee with regard to the curriculum proposals from the Architecture Department.

9. Discussion commenced on the content of p. 94 concerning the Curriculum Committee's preliminary
approval of SAED’s proposal to offer the MS in Structural Engineering.

The question of duplication between this program and a similar degree program offered by Civil Engineering.

In favor of the proposal were: Botwin, French, Murphy, and Dean Day Ding.

Opposed to the proposal were: Vigil, Butler, Mallareddy and others.

All were agreed that the two departments should cooperate in forming a joint program in structural engineering.

A motion to close debate failed: 26 Yes, 14 No (A two-thirds vote was required.)

VI. Discussion Items: None

VII. Adjournment

The meeting was recessed until Tuesday: June 9, 1987 at 3:00 p.m.