I. Call To Order

A. The meeting was called to order at 3:10 p.m. upon obtaining a quorum.

B. Approval of the minutes of the May 12, 1987 meeting of the Academic Senate will be deferred until the May 26, 1987 meeting.

II. Communications

The Chair noted the four items contained in Item II of the agenda package, but did not address them due to the lengthy agenda.

III. Reports

A. President’s Office: None

B. Academic Affairs Office: None

C. Statewide Academic Senators: None

D. Candidates for Academic Senate Offices were invited to share their views with their fellow Senators.

1. Charles Crabb pointed out the need for shorter meetings.

2. George Lewis concurred in this view and promised smaller agenda packages due to his aversion to word processors.

3. Charles Andrews reviewed the qualifications and duties of the office for which he is a candidate and concluded that he is eminently qualified to be Vice Chair.

4. Roxy Peck, former Academic Senate Secretary (Spring 1985) indicated her willingness to return to that position.
IV. Consent Agenda: None

V. Business Items

A. Resolution on Goals and Objectives

1. The Chair recognized Steve French (Chair: AS LRP Committee) who spoke in favor of the Resolution.

2. The Chair recognized Adelaide Harmon-Elliott (President of the San Luis Obispo Chapter of CFA) who supported Steve French’s statements. She alerted everyone to the fact that the Trustees are making long-range plans, even if we are not. Long range plans will be imposed on us unless we contribute to the planning process.

3. Susan Currier, a member of the Academic Planning Committee, informed the Senate that the Committee had met recently and agreed on a procedure for carrying out the duties mandated by the proposed Resolution. The procedure would involve gathering information, holding hearings, and having referenda on partial and/or complete results.

4. Jim Conway restated his support for the Resolution.

5. Reg Gooden wanted to know who would pay for the reduced workloads requested for those involved in the long-range planning effort.

6. The Chair reviewed the assigned time situation for the Academic Senate.

7. Ray Terry clarified the situation by noting that the assigned time requested was for members of the Academic Planning Committee, not for members of the Academic Senate Long Range Planning Committee. [Steve French is a member of both committees.]

8. Tim Kersten asked for a list of the members of the Academic Planning Committee. Steve French provided the information.

9. Joe Weatherby and Tim Kersten had a lively exchange of ideas concerning the division of Cal Poly into a polytechnic component and a liberal arts component.

10. M/S/P: To end debate.
    The motion carried unanimously.

11. M/S/P: To adopt the Resolution on Goals and Objectives.
    The motion carried unanimously.
B. Catalog Changes for 1988-1990

1. M /S /P: To approve the catalog proposals of the Statistics Department.
   
   There was no discussion. The motion was adopted unanimously.

2. The proposals from Biology, Chemistry and Mathematics were approved on May 12, 1987. The proposals from Physics are at a first reading status today and will be voted upon on May 26, 1987.

3. The proposals from English were discussed in detail.
   
   a. M /S (Dana /Cooper): To approve the recommendations of the Curriculum Committee with regard to the English Department proposals.

   b. M /S /P (Currier /...): To change "Disapprove" to "Approve" with regard to the proposed Technical Communication Certificate.
      
      1. Speaking in favor of the amendment were: Susan Currier, Charles Crabb, Charles Strong, and others.
      
      2. The amendment was adopted on a voice vote by a large majority.

   c. M /S /P (Currier /...): To change "Disapprove" to "Approve" with regard to the proposed new course: ENGL 418 Technical Communication Practicum.
      
      1. It was pointed out that the course requirements may be fulfilled through cooperative education.
      
      2. The amendment was adopted on a voice vote by a large majority.

   d. M /S /P (Currier /...): To change "Disapprove" to "Approve" with regard to ENGL 311 (a low /no enrollment course recommended for deletion by the Curriculum Committee).
      
      1. It was established that the course is offered approximately once every two years.
2. The amendment was adopted unanimously on a voice vote.

e. The change in ENGL 461 from 2 to 4 units (conditionally approved by the Curriculum Committee) was withdrawn by Susan Currier with the concurrence of Charles Dana.

f. M /S /P: To adopt the amended Curriculum Committee recommendations for English.

The motion was adopted unanimously.

g. M /S (Dana /Cooper): To approve the recommendations of the Curriculum Committee with regard to proposals from the Foreign Languages Department.

1. At the request of Bill Little (Chair: FLF Dept.), an amendment was introduced and seconded to change "Disapprove" to "Approve" with regard to the sequence SPAN 111, 112, 113.

2. The amendment was approved unanimously.

h. M /S /P (Dana /Cooper): To approve the recommendations of the Curriculum Committee with regard to proposals from the History Department.

The motion was approved unanimously.

i. M /S /P (Dana /Cooper): To approve the recommendations of the Curriculum Committee with regard to proposals from the Journalism Department.

The motion was approved unanimously.

j. M /S /P (Dana /Cooper): To approve the recommendations of the Curriculum Committee with regard to proposals from the Music Department.

1. Charles Dana corrected a typographical error: "CA" should be changed to "D" on p. 24.

2. Cliff Swanson (Music Dept.) presented some arguments in favor of the proposed change, but did not request that an amendment be introduced.

3. The motion carried on a voice vote by a large majority.
k. The Philosophy Department proposals were deferred until the May 26, 1987 Academic Senate meeting.

1. M /S /P (Dana /Cooper): To approve the recommendations of the Curriculum Committee with regard to the proposals from the Social Science Department.

   The motion was approved unanimously.

m. M /S /P (Dana /Cooper): To approve the recommendations of the Curriculum Committee with regard to the proposals from the Theater /Dance Department.

   The motion was approved unanimously.

C. Catalog Changes for 1988-1990 (First Reading)


2. Charles Dana indicated the reasons for the Curriculum Committee's opposition to the proposal: a weak major with no required 400 level courses except PHY 400 and 470; the required courses do not build on one another; nor would any course in the option have a large enough enrollment since there are only 40 majors.

3. The Curriculum Committee recommendations concerning the proposals from the Physics Department will advance to second reading status on May 26, 1987.

D. Resolution on GE&B Area F Courses for 1988-1990

1. George Lewis and Bill Forgeng provided the necessary background information for the Resolution.

2. M /S /P: To advance the Resolution to Second Reading status.

   The motion carried unanimously.

3. M /S /P (Lewis /Forgeng): To adopt the Resolution.

   The motion carried with two negative votes.
E. Catalog Changes for 1988-1990 (Continued)

1. M /S /P (Dana /Cooper): To advance the curriculum proposals from the Library to Second Reading status.

The motion carried unanimously.

2. M /S /P (Dana /Cooper): To adopt the recommendations of the Curriculum Committee with regard to the proposals from the Library.

The motion carried unanimously.

VI. Discussion

A. Charles Crabb and Charles Andrews expressed opposition to the short timeline for the approval of this year’s catalog changes.

B. Charles Dana informed the Senate that the Curriculum Committee has spent 80-100 hours in the last seven weeks to arrive at the present position. More proposals and justifications are still being forwarded to the Curriculum Committee. The Curriculum Committee will present recommendations in Fall 1987 to expedite the catalog approval process for future years.

VII. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 5:00 p.m..