I. Call to Order

A. The meeting was called to order at 3:10 p.m. upon obtaining a quorum.

B. The minutes of the Academic Senate meeting of 2/24/87 were approved as mailed.

C. The Chair announced that the meeting on March 16 of the Senate Executive Committee and invited guests with a VPAA candidate had been canceled. The meeting for Monday, March 16, 1986 (10:15 - 11:15 a.m.) with another candidate is still planned. Members of the Senate Executive Committee met with Malcolm Wilson, the only local candidate for the VPAA position Tuesday morning (March 10) at 8:30 - 10:00.

D. Lane Page (Chair: Elections Committee) made several timely announcements concerning the upcoming Academic Senate elections.

1. Elections will be held for vacancies in the Senate, the UPLC and the statewide Senate.

2. Ray Terry informed Lane Page that there would need to be a UPLC election in SPSE, as Larry Gay (who was elected in May 1986) was serving a one-year term, filling the vacancy left by Pat Engle (Psych. & Human Development).

3. Reminders:

   Nominating forms will be sent to every faculty member for local Senate membership.

   Nominating forms for the UPLC and the Statewide Senate must be picked up at the Academic Senate Office.

   Nominating petitions for the Senate, UPLC and CSU
II. Communications

The Chair directed the Senate's attention to pp. 6-8 of the agenda package.

III. Reports

A. President's Office: None

B. Academic Affairs Office: None

C. Statewide Senators

1. Joe Weatherby reported on the demise of the Consortium. All Consortium programs will have returned to local campuses by March 31.

   The CSU Senate is considering the formation of a new Standing Committee on Statewide Programs.

2. Tim Kersten informed the Senate that on March 18 and April 21, CSU leaders would be having important meetings with those involved in the Master Plan revision. The Master Plan Commission has not yet made any final determinations. Suggestions are still appropriate.

3. Reg Gooden noted that the Resolution on Part-Time Faculty (which was discussed in the Cal Poly Senate earlier this year) was adopted by the CSU Senate last week.

IV. Consent Agenda: None

V. Business Items

A. Resolution on the Budgetary Process (in four parts)

1. M/S (Gooden/Crabb) to adopt the Resolution

2. Jim Conway reviewed the content of the four resolutions.

3. M/S (Gooden/Crabb) to divide the question (i.e., to consider each part separately). By consensus, it was agreed to divide the question.

4. M/S to adopt the Resolution on the Budget Process. The Resolution was adopted unanimously.

5. M/S to adopt the Resolution on Instructional
6. It was agreed to delete the second and third resolved clauses in the Resolution on Long Range Planning and also the second and third resolved clauses in the Resolution on Program Evaluation.

7. M/S to adopt the modified Resolution on Long Range Planning. The Resolution was adopted unanimously.

8. M/S to adopt the modified Resolution on Program Evaluation. The Resolution was adopted unanimously.

9. The Chair announced that Item V.E. would be taken up next since it involved the Budget Committee

E. Program Change Proposals and Ranking

1. The Chair recognized Jim Conway who discussed the necessary background. He concluded his presentation with a request that the item be advanced to second reading.

2. M/S/P (Labhard/Forgeng) to advance the item to Second Reading status.

3. Reg Gooden moved the following resolution:

"Resolved that the Academic Senate approve the order of the PCP’s as provided by the Budget Committee." Cf. p. 26 of the agenda package.

4. Roxv Peck wanted to know if this year’s ranking was consistent with the ranking in previous years. Jim Conway noted that the order of the top two proposals was inverted last year. Otherwise, the ranking is the same.

5. Roxv Peck asked for clarification of the proposals that were listed by title only. Jim Conway provided the necessary details.

6. Paul Murphy asked: How can you come up with a priority list for PCP’s when you don’t know anything about the proposals? He urged accepting the Budget Committee’s recommendations.

7. Elie Axelroth asked: What is the University coming to when PCP’s for the Learning Assistance Center Services, Learning Disabled, etc. are at the bottom of the priority list?
a. The Chair encouraged her to seek to amend the priority list. She proposed a new priority list with #5, 6 and 7 as #1, 2 and 3; the original #1, 2 and 3 would become #4, 5 and 6.

b. George Lewis argued in favor of placing faith in the Budget Committee's recommendations. He hinted that, should the Axelroth Amendment be adopted, he would vote against the motion to adopt the Resolution.

c. The Axelroth Amendment was defeated unanimously.

8. The Gooden Motion to adopt the Budget Committee's recommendations concerning the ranking of this year's PCP's carried by a large majority.

B. Resolution on Cheating and Plagiarism

1. The Chair recognized Mike Stebbins who informed the Senate that one change had been made in the Resolution. Concerning CAM 674.1, the phrase "Cheating includes" was changed to "Cheating includes but is not limited to," based upon discussion at the February 24 Senate meeting.

2. M/S (Gooden /Cooper): To amend CAM 674.3 to change:

"Cheating requires an 'F' course grade and further attendance in the course is prohibited."

To

"Cheating requires an 'F' course grade and further attendance in the course is strictly the option of the instructor(s)."

3. Speaking in favor of the amendment were: Neil Fleishon, David Ciano and others.

4. Speaking against the amendment were: George Lewis, Steve Hanes, and others.

5. Roger Bowman, ASI Representative to the Academic Senate, informed the Senate that he had just come from a test in which he had been surrounded by cheaters.

6. Paul Murphy informed the Senate that during his three years as Interim Department Head of the Mathematics Department, he was involved with approximately 20 cases of cheating.
After a question by Ken Rienner, it was established that Cal Poly's policy on cheating is included in the course catalog/schedule of classes.

8. Al Cooper expressed concern over the legal implications of throwing a student out of class. Some conjectures were made by others. It was generally felt that due process would be satisfied by following the procedures of CAM 674 and that a student could not appeal expulsion from a class to an external judiciary.

9. The motion to amend the Resolution on Cheating and Plagiarism failed.

10. The Resolution on Cheating and Plagiarism was adopted unanimously.

C. Resolution on Retention of Exams and Student Access to the Same

1. Leslie Labhard asked how long a student had to file a grievance against an instructor's grade.

2. David Ciano indicated that there was no limitation at present and gave a rationale for leaving it open-ended.

3. MaryLinda Wheeler spoke in favor of the Resolution as establishing a guideline for instructors where none now exists.

4. Paul Murphy spoke against the Resolution pointing out that it would give the instructor a false sense of security. A guideline (for how long to keep final exams) which is too short is worse than no guideline at all.

5. Bill Forgeng echoed MaryLinda Wheeler's comments.

6. Jim Vilkitis asked if giving students a period to review final exams would exonerate an instructor in the event his grading were reviewed by the Fairness Board.

7. Ray Terry reminded the Senate that Mike Stebbins, in presenting the Resolution for George Beardsley on Feb. 24, assured those who expressed the need for a timeline beyond which student complaints about a grade would not be considered that a timeline would be included in this resolution. Ray Terry further noted that George Beardsley was not present on the last two occasions the Resolution was discussed. If those who are presenting the
Resolution in the absence of George Beardsley cannot speak for him, then the matter should be postponed until he can be present.

8. Al Cooper moved to table the Resolution. The motion was seconded but failed on a voice vote.

9. Tim Kersten moved to close debate. The motion was seconded and carried.

10. The motion to adopt the Resolution on Retention of Exams and Student Access to the Same carried on a voice vote.

VI. Discussion Items: None

VII. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 5:00 p.m.