CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY
San Luis Obispo, California
ACADEMIC SENATE

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE - MINUTES
Tuesday: February 17, 1987
UU 220  3:00 p.m.

Chair:    Lloyd H. Lamouria
Vice Chair:   Lynne E. Gamble
Secretary: Raymond D. Terry

I. Call to Order
A. The Chair called the meeting to order at 3:11 p.m. upon obtaining a quorum.
B. The minutes of the Executive Committee meeting of February 3, 1987 were approved as mailed.
C. The Chair called the Executive Committee's attention to the presence of two handouts at the back of the room, which would supplement the agenda package, viz., pp. 20-22 (Cf. Item V. D.) and pp. 23-24 (Cf. Item V. E.).

II. Communications: None

III. Reports: None

IV. Consent Agenda: None

V. Business Items
A. (Substitute) Resolution on Campus Smoking Policy
1. The Chair recognized Charles Andrews (Chair: Personnel Policies Committee) who discussed the background of this resolution.
2. According to Andrews, the new resolution and proposed "University Smoking Policy" reflect changes proposed by the Public Safety Advisory Committee.
3. The new "University Smoking Policy," like the one established by AS-226-86/PPC establishes Cal Poly as a no-smoking campus except where explicitly permitted. The new policy combines elements of AS-226-86/PPC with existing campus policy in effect when AS-226-86/PPC was approved by the Senate.
4. The major difference between the original Senate
Resolution and the substitute resolution now proposed is in the enforcement of it.

a. Item 10 of the proposed "University Smoking Policy" reads:

"The Director of Personnel, or designee, is responsible for implementation of this policy and will establish periodic review procedures to ensure conformity..."

b. Item 13 of AS-226-86/PPC (passed 8/5/86) reads:

"Complaints arising out of alleged violations of this policy shall be directed to the Public Safety Advisory Committee (PSAC). The PSAC shall interpret the policy and cause it to be enforced."

5. By consensus, the Executive Committee agreed that the Resolution on Campus Smoking Policy shall be placed on the agenda of the February 24, 1987 Academic Senate meeting as a First Reading item.

B. Resolution on the Budgetary Process (in four parts)

1. The Chair recognized Jim Conway (Chair: Budget Committee) who reviewed the background of the four resolutions which were approved unanimously by the Budget Committee on 2/5/87.

2. A photo-copy of the flowchart showing how the various campus entities and the newly-proposed committees would fit into the University’s organizational structure was distributed, replacing the dittoed version of the same flowchart (Cf. p. 12 of the agenda package.) in which dotted and solid lines were indistinguishable.

3. Tim Kersten inquired how the new elements of organizational structure would affect the decision-making process. Jim Conway assured Tim that each school dean would still have flexibility in allocations at the school level.

4. It was established that information would flow from the Presidential Advisory Committee on Budget and Resource Allocations to the Budget Committee and from the Advisory Committee on Instructional Program Resources to the Budget Committee. Budget Committee recommendations would then be subject to Senate approval and, if approved, would then pass back to the Presidential advisory committees.
There should be sufficient time to go through the complete consultative process. Senate recommendations to the Presidential advisory committees would be made via the Senate representative who sits on each committee.

5. The Executive Committee unanimously agreed to place the Budget Committee report and four resolutions on the agenda of the Feb. 24, 1987 Academic Senate meeting.

C. Program Change Proposals

The Chair announced that this item was being withdrawn from today's agenda since not all the PCP's had yet been received.

D. Resolution on Cheating and Plagiarism

1. Mike Stebbins (Chair: Student Affairs Committee) led the presentation of the Resolution which was jointly-sponsored by the Fairness Board.

2. It was established that the Resolution initiated no major policy change, nor any real change in CAM.

3. According to Stebbins and George Beardsley (Chair: Fairness Board), CAM 674 does not contain a definition of cheating, nor any distinction between cheating and plagiarism.

4. The Resolution on Cheating and Plagiarism and the accompanying "Definitions and Policy on Cheating and Plagiarism" establish both definitions and policies and thus fill a needed gap in CAM.

5. Charles Andrews spoke in favor of the Resolution on Cheating and Plagiarism. Said Andrews, "What is here is needed to guide both faculty and students."

6. Susan Currier agreed that the resolution seemed to be an improvement over the present situation. However, the difficulty of determining whether plagiarism is intentional or unintentional would probably make it less likely that she would confront the issue.

7. George Beardsley emphasized that the faculty member's responsibility in handling cases of cheating involves writing only one letter (to the school dean), although numerous copies may have to be sent to other involved parties.

8. Ken Riener noted that to make a case of cheating
stand up to scrutiny by the Fairness Board, the faculty member must do the requisite paperwork.

9. The Executive Committee unanimously voted to put the Resolution on Cheating and Plagiarism on the agenda of the February 24, 1987 Academic Senate meeting. The Chair, with the Executive Committee’s consent, directed the two committee chairs to prepare a draft of the new CAM 674 that would result from approval of the Resolution.

E. Resolution on Retention of Exams and Student Access to Same

1. The Chair recognized Mike Stebbins first, then George Beardsley to present the content of this resolution.

2. Ray Terry argued that the Resolution would mislead instructors to return finals to students and to dispose of the remaining finals at the end of one quarter although the Fairness Board would still consider student complaints beyond that time period. The instructor would be at a disadvantage in proving uniformity of grading if only a fraction of papers remained.

3. With one dissenting vote, the Executive Committee approved putting the Resolution on the agenda of the February 24, 1987 Academic Senate meeting.

4. With one dissenting vote, the Executive Committee instructed the two committee chairs to prepare a parallel version of CAM that would effect the content of the Resolution.

VI. Discussion Items: None

VII. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 4:02 p.m.!