I. Call to Order
   A. The meeting was called to order at 3:10 p.m.
   B. The minutes of the July 8, 1986 Executive Committee meeting were approved with two corrections:
      1. Item IV. A. 5. d was changed to read:
         "The first $100,000 of additional University savings will be reallocated to instructional funds."
      2. Item IV. A. 9 was added to the minutes:
         "The Chair asked the Budget Committee to provide specifics as to the benefits of AIMS to Instruction and to advise as to whether or not the initially-submitted sources of funding were appropriate."

II. Communications
   The Chair called the Executive Committee's attention to a number of memos, resolutions and other documents that are timely and may form the basis of a subsequent discussion or business item.

III. Reports: There were none.

IV. Business Items - Consent Agenda
   A. Use of Instructional Funds for Sabbatical Leaves, 2nd reading
      1. M/S (Riener /Labhard) to adopt the recommendations of the PPC embodied in the June 3, 1986 memo from Charles Andrews (Chair: PPC) to the Chair of
the Academic Senate.

2. The recommendations were adopted unanimously.

B. Resolution on FERP, 2nd reading

1. M /S: (Kersten /Labhard) to adopt the Resolution proposed by C.S.U. Senator Joe Weatherby.

2. The Resolution was approved unanimously.

C. Modifications to Amendments 4 and 5 of the UPLC Bylaws, 1st reading

1. The intent of Amendment 4 was to prevent the postponement of a sabbatical from one academic year to another due to the negative effect this has on new applicants in the subsequent year. The proposed change in Amendment 4 does not conflict with this purpose.

2. The proposed change in Amendment 5 clarifies the original wording of Amendment 5.

3. The proposed revisions of AS-209, adopted May 13, will proceed to Second Reading status at the Academic Senate meeting on September 23, 1986.

V. Business Items

A. Resolution on Campus Smoking Policy

1. M /S (Cooper /Forgeng) to adopt the Resolution.

2. Charles Andrews (Chair: PPC) was present to respond to questions and to point out changes in the document that have been made at the Executive Committee's request on July 8.

3. The PPC recommended the following definition of smoking:

"Smoke or smoking, as defined in this policy, means or includes the carrying of a pipe, cigar or cigarette of any kind in which a substance is burning."

The Executive Committee endorsed this definition of smoking and later decided to include it in the Resolution.

4. Charles Andrews announced some modifications to Item 11 of the Resolved clause.

"These policies are applicable to enclosed areas
only, including state automotive vehicles with more than one occupant. An exception may be made with the total concurrence of all occupants of the vehicle."

5. Charles Andrews announced a supremacy clause:

"This policy shall supersede any existing smoking policy at Cal Poly where a conflict in policy exists."

The Executive Committee endorsed this clause, despite some reservation that it could weaken the campus smoking policy if a department or building currently had a stronger policy than provided by this Resolution. No one could, however, give a concrete example.

6. Dangers other than smoking were noted: asbestos in asphalt, asbestos in brake linings, etc. Shall we take a stand on these too?

7. The need for a clearer definition of terms like "separated and well-ventilated" in Item 4 was pointed out.

8. The question of enforcement received the greatest scrutiny and prompted a discussion of the need to return the resolution to committee or to table it.

9. M/S (Gooden /Crabb) to table the Resolution. The motion to table failed.

10. M/S (Terry /Gooden) to amend the Resolution by the inclusion of an enforcement clause:

"Complaints arising out of alleged violations of this policy shall be directed to the Public Safety Advisory Committee. The PSAC shall interpret the policy and cause it to be enforced."

The amendment was adopted unanimously.

11. The Resolution was adopted with the provision that the items in the Resolved clause be renumbered in view of amendments approved.

B. Revised O/E Model Review Committee Report

1. Jim Conway (Chair: Budget Committee) was present to respond to questions and criticism of the Report. He read to the Executive Committee his 8/4/86 memo to the Chair of the Academic Senate concerning objections raised by the Schools of
2. Tim Kersten argued forcefully that regardless of the way in which X-factors have been arrived at and regardless of the fact that the School of Business' situation is slightly improved by the modifications made in the O/E model, the final outcome does not make sense.

3. M/S (Kersten/Riener) to refer the complete O/E Model Review Committee Report back to committee.

4. Ray Terry emphasized the need for the report to be returned to the full Senate for a first reading as soon as possible so that all would be aware of the controversy.

5. Arguments opposed to adoption of the report now included: the model is inherently unfair to at least two schools; adoption of the committee's report now may prevent substantive action later; the Senate should not tentatively adopt a position that it has not thought out carefully even though it may be able to reverse itself later; failure to adopt the report will not prevent the Administration from implementing its contents.

6. The motion to refer was approved: 6 Yes, 2 No, 0 Abstentions.

C. Committee/Senate vacancies

The Executive Committee was reminded of the vacancies which still need to be filled.

VI. Discussion Items

A. C.S.U. (Long Beach) Resolution on Faculty Workload

1. Charles Andrews (C.F.A. State Treasurer and member of the Bargaining Council) provided a brief summary of the summer contract negotiations; he indicated that adoption of a resolution similar to the one under discussion may be helpful in bargaining.

2. M/S (Forgeng/Labhard) to move adoption of a parallel Resolution on Faculty Workload to a second reading business item. The Resolution under discussion differs from the Long Beach Resolution in its replacement of "California State University, Long Beach" by "California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo" and in its replacement of "18 units" by "27 quarter units."
3. The motion was adopted unanimously.

B. Consideration of a Joint Meeting of the Academic Senate Executive Committee and the local CFA Executive Committee.

1. In response to a question by Charles Crabb, Ray Terry (a member of the local CFA Executive Committee) indicated that there was no definite agenda for the proposed meeting, but conjectured what one possible agenda item might be: the need for the Academic Senate to study the seven school criteria and procedures for RTP documents. CFA is presently initiating a study of the procedures contained in these documents. The Academic Senate should consider the criteria contained in them.

2. The Executive Committee tentatively agreed to allocate the period from 4:30 to 5:00 p.m. on Tuesday: September 16, 1986 for an informal get-together with the CFA Executive Committee. The Academic Senate Officers will develop a minimal agenda.

C. Reformulation of the President’s Council

1. In view of President Baker’s strong belief in the potential of the reformulated President’s Council, and as a result of planning meetings with Academic Senate leaders, the Chair has notified the President that he would have the full support of the Chair and of the Academic Senate as a whole.

2. The Chair indicated that the Administration currently plans to form a subcommittee of the President’s Council, consisting of seven members, and which would be a more powerful body than the Council itself.

3. Reg Gooden expressed some fears that the subcommittee could become a Star Chamber.

4. Wally Mark indicated that the subcommittee under discussion was to be formed for budget matters only and that other such subcommittees may be formed for other areas, e.g., curriculum.

5. The Chair announced that the Committee on Committees will meet on Thursday: August 7, 1986. One question it will address will be nature of the President’s Council and subcommittees of it.

D. New Budgetary Process Model for Cal Poly
The Chair announced that information provided on this item (pp. 60-66 of the agenda package) may be superseded by a memo from Howard West that will be distributed to the Executive Committee later.

VII. Adjournment

A. The Chair announced that the next meeting of the Executive Committee will be on Tuesday: September 16.

B. Reminder: The Academic Senate General Session and Reception will occur on Monday: September 15 at 2:00 p.m. to 3:00 p.m. and 3:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m., respectively.

C. Jim Conway advised that the Budget Committee reaffirms its support of partial funding of AIMS with instruction funds. Bill Forgeng then announced that he will introduce a new Resolution on AIMS Funding at the Sept. 16 meeting.

D. The meeting adjourned at 5:00 p.m.