I. Minutes: The minutes from the October 24, 1989 Academic Senate meeting were approved without change.

II. Communication(s) and Announcement(s):
The Chair informed the Senate that this is the last meeting of the calendar year, and the curriculum/general education and breadth (Area F) items tabled during Spring Quarter 1989 would appear on the January 9, 1990 Academic Senate Consent Agenda.

A request was made to review the items under this section. Attention was directed to the Academic Senate Reading List; in particular, the Videocassette of the Master Plan CSU.

III. Reports:
A. President's Office
B. Vice President for Academic Affairs
C. Statewide Senators
   T Kersten reported that the resolution on the CSU Growth Plan, 1990-2005 (on the Board of Trustees Agenda of October 31-November 1, 1989), had been modified as a result of the concerns expressed by several statewide Academic Senates regarding the "lack of consultation." Kersten understood that the Board of Trustees agreed to make certain that consultation would occur with local constituents prior to the implementation of any incremental growth. Enrollment targets will be the product of consultation with local constituents.

   R Gooden reconfirmed that consultation did not occur on all campuses regarding the growth issue. The information presented to some campuses was limited to data.

   The task force assigned to study graduate education in the CSU has completed its work. A draft report is available for review in the Academic Senate office.

   There was a memorial service for Hazel Jones, retired Vice President for Academic Affairs, on November 13, 1989. Hazel was a good friend to the Academic Senate and faculty at Cal Poly and will be missed.

D. Howard Vollmer, Director of Extended Education, reported on the role of Extended Education at Cal Poly. The program serves students not regularly enrolled at Cal Poly. It is self-supporting and has an annual budget of about $600,000. The mission of Extended Education "...is to develop and administer academic, instructional, and other programs that support the overall mission of the university." That mission includes:
   1. Presenting Cal Poly's programs and expertise to external constituencies
   2. Administering Extended Education programs for academic credit
   3. Fostering the professional growth of Cal Poly faculty members, staff
members, students, and alumni

4. Serving as the administrative link to other extended education programs
5. Administering the Concurrent Enrollment program
6. Administering any special or summer sessions

Bill Rife, Interim Associate Vice President for Academic Programs, commended Dr. Vollmer and his staff for doing an excellent job.

IV. Consent Agenda:

V. Business Item(s):
A. Resolution on Department Name Changes: M/S/P (Moustafa/Clark). T Kersten questioned whether the President had delegated authority to the Vice President to "...approve or disapprove the proposed name change" (fourth Resolved on page 8 agenda page 8). The Chair stated that the Vice President for Academic Affairs Office was instrumental in developing the resolution, and it could be assumed that the matter was resolved.

B Weber asked if the proposal were successful as identified would it need further approval? The Chair stated that the proposal would have to go through the normal channels. Approval at this stage does not mean a name change would occur. This resolution identifies a process that would involve individuals with an interest in the name change. Bill Rife added to the discussion by stating that department name changes are not officially approved in the Chancellor's Office but are monitored. The name of degree programs requires the approval of the Chancellor's Office, and a department's name should be consistent with the degree program.

B. Resolution on Enrollment Growth (first reading): Moved to a second reading at the next Academic Senate meeting. L Dalton gave the background information related to the resolution. The statewide effort to increase enrollment was referred to the Long-Range Planning Committee (LRPC) during Fall Quarter 1989. The growth issue is not new and was carefully reviewed by the LRPC two years ago. Results of that analysis are appended to the resolution. The report assesses growth up to 15,000 FTE. Recent events seem to have overshadowed the 15,000 FTE figure. The issues, however, are the same; e.g., facilities and/or other resources lag behind enrollment figures. The LRPC should be planning for, not responding to, growth. The campus needs to be more actively engaged in planning for growth with the Chancellor’s Office and other appropriate agencies/concerns. This resolution is short and refers back to the 1988 resolution (AS-279-88/LRPC). It tries to clarify the use of a 5,000 FTE interval enrollment number (from 15,000 to 20,000 FTE). This figure was not addressed two years ago. The range for growth was 16,600 to 17,400 FTE and was to occur only under the conditions specified in the second Whereas clause (agenda page 9). It refers to determining "...whether and how Cal Poly could accommodate and increase in enrollment to a range of 16,600 to 17,400 FTE over the next fifteen years." Any further discussion should involve the consultative processes.

The Chair said that the 20,000 FTE figure results from adding 2,600 FTE for the summer school program to the 17,400 FTE figure.

J Weatherby asked if the LRPC would consider making it clearer that consultation occur on the campus and in the community.

N Clark recommended that the words "campus and community" be inserted
between "...appropriate consultative..." in the last Resolved clause (agenda page 9). The sentence would then read, "...That consideration of enrollment growth beyond 17,400 FTE or beyond the 2005-2006 academic year shall be referred to the appropriate campus and community consultative bodies."

J Coleman recommended that the second Resolved clause be clarified by adding "without further consultation" to the end of the sentence. The Resolved clause would read, "...the enrollment target for the 2005-2006 academic year shall not exceed 17,400 FTE without further consultation."

K Stowe was concerned that the efforts of the LRPC should be better utilized since the recommendations of their earlier resolution are not being implemented.

T Kersten requested that the Academic Senate continue to pursue an active and involved role in enrollment planning.

D Bertozzi asked statewide senator Kersten if the Senate could receive, at the next meeting, a copy of the Board of Trustees resolution mentioned earlier.

R Gooden stated that Walter Mark, Director of Institutional Studies, should be invited to speak to the Senate to help clarify the over-enrollment problems.

L Gamble recommended that a stronger statement replace "...that Cal Poly consider reducing its impact on community housing..." in section V.B.9 of the report on "Enrollment Growth to 15,000 FTE and Beyond."

VI. Discussion Item(s):

VII. Adjournment: The meeting was adjourned at 4:00pm.