Preparatory: The meeting was called to order at 3:05pm.

I. Minutes: Since this was the first meeting of the academic year, there were no prior minutes for approval.

II. Communication(s) and Announcement(s):
The Chair directed the Senate's attention to the Communication(s) and Announcement(s) listed on the October 3, 1989 agenda.

The Chair's comments on II.A.4. "Resolution on Bicycle Use on Campus," appear under the "VI. Discussion Item(s)" section of these minutes.

III. Reports:
A/B. Joint report from the President's Office and Vice President for Academic Affairs' Office:

W Rife stated that Dr. Baker and Dr. Wilson were not able to attend due to an advisory committee meeting. President Baker is very interested in item V.C., Resolution on CAM 543 Regarding Indirect Cost Sharing (ARDFA Facilities), and plans to attend the Senate meeting on October 24 when that item comes to the floor for second reading.

C. Statewide Senators

D. Academic Senate Chair's report on the Senate's Summer Quarter activities:

The Academic Senate Executive Committee is required to meet, on behalf of the full Senate, during July and August each summer. The first summer's meeting was held on July 18, 1989. The August meeting was postponed to September 11, 1989. One resolution was passed (on September 11) adopting the guidelines for State Faculty Support Grants.

An intersegmental seminar, sponsored by the community colleges and CSU's, was held at Bass Lake this summer. The seminar was attended by the Senate Chair and Ray Zeuschner. The topic was Evaluative Methods for Teaching General Education Courses and was expanded to
include teaching skills. R Zeuschner will prepare a summary report of this seminar for inclusion as a communication item on a future agenda.

At the state level, three bills (Hayden, Neilson, and Hart) were drafted. These bills address ways in which the recommendations of the Joint Committee for Review of the Master Plan for Higher Education can be implemented.

IV. Consent Agenda:

V. Business Item(s):

A. Resolution on Evaluation Procedures and Criteria: Moved to a second reading at the next Senate meeting. P Murphy stated that this is part of an ongoing process to rewrite all sections of CAM 340. This resolution incorporates present practices into CAM. The proposed section of CAM 341.A.6 deals with abstention votes. In the past, abstentions were counted as "no" votes. If this resolution is adopted, abstention will not be counted in the vote.

Proposed section 341.A.8 incorporates guidelines which were adopted by the Academic Senate in a previous resolution.

If adopted, this resolution will become effective next year.

C Pokorny voiced concern regarding what document would regulate the evaluation process if a contract expired without a new contract in place. Pokorny also raised the question of what happens if someone does not sign the historic or working files. Would this invalidate the evaluation? P Murphy will take these concerns back to the Personnel Policies Committee for consideration.

B. Resolution on Retention of Probationary Faculty: Moved to a second reading at the next Academic Senate meeting. P Murphy gave background information on the resolution and stated that the areas for consideration on retention of probationary faculty are the same as those for promotion and tenure.

C Andrews stated that CAM 343 not only includes probationary faculty but administrative employees and support staff. The proposal should be identified as CAM 343.1.

C. Resolution on CAM 543 Regarding Indirect Cost Sharing (ARDFA Facilities): Moved to a second reading at the
next Academic Senate meeting. S Moustafa, Chair of the Research Committee, gave some background information regarding this resolution. The central issue concerns support for ARDFA facilities (Bldg. 04) that is not maintained through instructional resources. Figures B and C, attached to the resolution, are examples of the current and proposed fund distribution. In Figure B, a portion of the indirect costs go to the department/school/principle investigator. This will not occur under the new proposal (Figure C). The proposal applies only to those projects that are completely carried out in Bldg. 04. A maximum of 40 percent of indirect costs would go to maintain ARDFA facilities.

C Andrews stated that:
(1) there is a serious flaw in the wording of the last sentence of the resolution. The present wording implies there will be a 100 percent increase in the amount of funds going to CARE grants. The wording is not consistent with the model presented.
(2) the second sentence of paragraph 5, page 19, is not accurate since there are instructional programs occurring in the facility (Bldg. 04). The remainder of that sentence raises the question of how much money is needed for the development of the facility and how much is needed for operations. Information regarding research program purposes, the cost of structural modifications, and the cost of continued operation is lacking. This information must be present in order to justify the proposed action.
(3) the Resolve clause of the resolution (page 20) should have a five-year trial period rather than three. The latter does not appear to be an adequate time period for an evaluation of this kind.
(4) there seems to be a serious miscommunication of where the 40 percent for the ARDFA project (Figure C) is coming from.

K Stowe stated that a Whereas clause which reflects the fact that the State cannot supply money for renovation or maintenance of facilities such as Bldg. 04 should be included in the resolution.

VI. Discussion Item(s):

The Chair made the following comments on AS-317-89/EX, Resolution on Bicycle Use on Campus: There is a misinterpretation of this resolution by some members of the administration. In the minutes to the resolution, as amended and passed, it reads, "...would permit walking of bicycles in the inner core," (J Murphy).
The action taken and published in the Parking Rules states that Bicycles are "prohibited" from the inner core. Storage racks have been removed and students are sometimes chaining bicycles to any available structure. The issue for the students may be the safe storage of their bicycles since some bicycle racks have been relocated to remote areas. President Baker approved the resolution as passed by the Academic Senate which implies that bicycles can be walked in the inner core.

The Chair asked for the thoughts of the Senate on this matter and whether any action should be taken. Discussion followed. P Murphy recommended that six months be allowed to see how the resolution is working. If there is a problem, we could reevaluate the situation at that time.

VII. Adjournment: The meeting was adjourned at 4:15pm.