Minutes of the
ACADEMIC SENATE
Tuesday, April 16, 1991
UU 220, 3:00 - 5:00 pm

Preparatory: The meeting was called to order at 3:13 pm.

I. Minutes: The minutes from the March 5, 1991 Academic Senate meeting were approved as presented.

II. Communication(s) and Announcement(s):
   A. Nominations are listed for Academic Senate positions and Academic Senate Committees on pp. 6-7. Elections will be held this week.
   B. Nomination forms are available for the positions of Academic Senate Chair, Vice Chair, and Secretary for 1991-1992 in the Academic Senate Office.

III. Reports:
   A. Academic Senate Chair
      J. Murphy reported that the CSU-CFA Contract has been approved. The CSU faculty retreat is coming up in June, and an intersegmental program (UC, CSU, and Comm. Colleges) meeting for faculty will be held in Pomona. A joint resolution is pending in the State Assembly to honor the ROTC program. It will identify an ROTC Week and encourage the continuance of the ROTC program. Murphy relayed that he was asked by the Academic Senate Executive Committee to ask President Baker what is being done by the university in the way of budget cuts for non-academic programs. Murphy will write a memo to President Baker requesting this information.
      Jack Samits (CSU Personnel Relations) is concerned about the potential adverse effects of advertising lay-offs. Also, the CSU is encouraging the legislature to reconsider the mode and level type of funding for the system.
   B. Vice President for Academic Affairs' Office
      R. Koob reported on the meetings taking place on Cal Poly Goals and Objectives and urged continued attendance and participation at these meetings.
   C. Statewide Senators. No report.
   D. CSEA President
      B. Glinsky reported on the recent salary increases for the new CSU Chancellor, Vice-Chancellors, and CSU Presidents.
   E. ASI Representatives. No report.

IV. Consent Agenda.
   Resolution on Curriculum Committee Meetings-DeMers, Chair of the Constitution &Bylaws Committee. Passed.

V. Business Items:
A. Academic Program Review Criteria-Hood, Chair of the Long Range Planning Committee.

M. Hood introduced the item from the eleven-member Long Range Planning Committee. He emphasized that this was a compromise document, drawn up under a time constraint. The committee tried to use both objective and subjective criteria. S. Moustafa asked if it was review criteria or if it was a guideline for a program. S. Lord said that this seems to be a procedure and not criteria, so the word "criteria" should be removed. Questions were asked concerning the five-year review and if it was coordinated with the new program review. J. Vilkitis said that the review was heavy on student demand and incomplete as to weighing of the criteria. R. Knight asked what kind of time frame was intended. J. Murphy noted that Humboldt is doing away with four programs, and that it takes at least one year to eliminate a program. H. Mallauddy said that a time frame is already coming from the school deans. C. Andrews said that this project is not complete; however, the document is now to be used to evaluate programs on an emergency basis. A "Declaration of Financial Exigencies" which indicates a financial emergency has not been declared by the CSU, and he is hesitant to adopt these procedures. J. Harris commented that this program review is not coordinated with other reviews. R. Koob reiterated the present planning process which includes faculty participation. S. Lord asked how we use the data against the criteria. S. Moustafa asked how the programs measure up against the strategic plan. J. Harris suggested that we accept the report for use by other committees. D. Peach asked what other data should be gathered. S. Moustafa saw one item missing and that was the effect of the removal of a program on the university and the state. J. Vilkitis moved to accept the criteria as a working document. A motion to suspend the rules and move the item to a second reading passed. S. Lord suggested wording changes. The motion that the report on academic program data collection procedures be accepted for use as a working document passed.

B. Resolution on GE&B Curriculum Substitution-Burgunder, Chair of the GE&B Committee, Second reading. MISIP (Andrews/Harris)

J. Ahern asked for a minor change on the form which was accepted as a friendly amendment by L. Burgunder.

C. Resolution on Professional Consultative Services Representation in the Academic Senate-DeMers, Chair of the Constitution & Bylaws Committee, second reading. MISIP (DeMers/Reynoso).

D. Resolution to Support the Academic Senate CSU Resolution on "CSU Policy on Non Discrimination and ROTC Programs" second reading.

R. Gooden moved the resolution and S. Moustafa seconded it. J. Vilkitis moved to amend the resolution by eliminating the second and third resolve clauses, saying that we might eliminate students from the ROTC program with the original resolution. R. Gooden spoke against the amendment. He called attention to the first resolve clause which indicates that we are not shutting anyone out, that we are asking the campus Presidents to review. C. Andrews said that by either approving or disapproving, we are considering substantial financial aid to students, and censoring what students can take. L. Torres said that if Cal Poly is going to say that it is non discriminatory, then it should be non-discriminatory. N. Clark spoke in favor of the original resolution, commenting that the Department of Defense policy does injure the students who are excluded. It discriminates against students on a non-academic basis. J. Vilkitis had data showing that Cal Poly had 66 students with 17 being commissioned last year. M. Foroohar spoke for the original resolution and against the amendment saying that ROTC is using our campus, and facilities and
should be non discriminatory. W. Reynoso said that financial aid is not available to the students discriminated against under the Dept. of Defense policy. The amendment proposed by J. Vilkits passed. C. Andrews moved to close debate. Passed. The resolution as amended passed.

E. Curriculum Proposal for Master of Business Administration Program, Program Philosophy and Objectives-Bailey, Chair of the Curriculum Committee, second reading. M/S/P. (Bailey)

F. Resolution on Academic Probation and Disqualification-Terry, chair of the Instruction Committee, first reading. At the request of R. Terry, J. Murphy pulled this item from this agenda; it will be considered at the next Senate meeting.

G. Resolution on Distinguished Teaching Awards Committee-DeMers, Chair of the Constitution and Bylaws Committee, first reading. Moved to second reading.

VI. Adjournment: The meeting was adjourned at 5:00pm.