Preparatory: The meeting was called to order at 3:15pm.

I. Minutes:
The minutes of the April 21, April 23, and April 28, 1992 Academic Senate Executive Committee meetings were distributed at the meeting and were approved without correction.

II. Communication(s) and Announcement(s):
Several written communications were handed out at the meeting:
A. A memo/survey from Vice Chancellor June Cooper to Presidents re CSU Faculty Recruitment and Retention Survey for 1992-1993 has been sent to all campuses for completion. This survey requests information concerning the difficulty campuses may be having in filling/retaining faculty positions.
B. Memo from William Campbell to the CSU Board of Trustees notifying them that an additional item of business was added to the May 19-20, 1992 agenda. That item requests action on an early retirement incentive program.
C. President Baker has requested the Academic Senate to begin the process of program review for discontinuance of programs for Engineering Technology and Home Economics. A special Executive Committee meeting will be scheduled on May 21, 1992, from 3 to 5pm for the purpose of electing faculty members to these two discontinuance committees. The Chair asked each caucus chair to forward the names of two individuals to the Academic Senate office by May 19 so these names could be placed on a ballot and brought to the Executive Committee on May 21 for election.

III. Reports:
A. Academic Senate Chair: none
B. President's Office: none
C. Vice President for Academic Affairs:
  Koob: The Chancellor's Office has announced that an additional 8 percent reduction from the Phase I budget submitted to him from each campus on March 31, 1992 should be planned for. President Baker has requested of the university, that further reductions be determined in order to accommodate this additional 8 percent reduction. 7-1/2 percent is to come from instructional programs, the remaining 2-1/2 percent will come from other programs. If the CSU is asked to make any further cuts, it would have to ask the Legislature to have the State carry the CSU in some form of debt mode and make it up over a longer period of time. No tenured faculty will be laid off before June 1, 1993 and no tenure-track faculty will be laid off before January 1, 1993.
  Devore: Is the same percentage being exacted from each campus or are some vertical cuts being considered in the system? Koob: The only vertical cut I am aware of is the discontinuance of the San Marcos campus if the 153 bond issue fails in June. But that is not a savings this year. The sole mitigation will come from the student fee increase with no further decreases in the budget allocated (to the CSU).
D. Statewide Senators:
   Senator Vilkitis relayed some of the matters which were discussed at last week's statewide Academic Senate meeting.

IV. Consent Agenda:
V. Business Items:

A. Election of members to the Interim Program Review and Improvement Committee:
   Nominees to this committee are due in the Senate office by May 19. Myron Hood’s name
   was removed from the nominations because the program review document precludes
   individuals from serving on this year’s committee if that person served on either of the
   prior two program review committees.

B. Curriculum Committee’s response to the Graduate Studies proposal: T Bailey distributed a
   copy of the Curriculum Committee’s recommendations to the Graduates Studies proposal.
   The document noted fundamental concepts the committee had difficulty with. The
   Resolution on Graduate Studies Proposal will again be agendized as a first reading item at
   the May 26, 1992 meeting.

C. Resolution on Evaluation of School Deans: M Berrio noted the changes between the present
   resolution and the resolution submitted in September 1986. This item was moved to the
   Senate agenda as a first reading item for the May 26, 1992 meeting.

D. Resolution on Funding to Athletics Program: P Murphy brought this resolution forward on
   behalf of the Mathematics Department. He explained that the resolution showed the
   frustration of faculty that the President hasn’t made a statement that Cal Poly will not
   become a Division I Athletics program while academic cuts are being made.

   Vice President Koob stated to the Executive Committee that in the President’s view, the
   students supported Athletics through several referendums. He feels there is merit to the
   balance of funds between Athletics and academic programs. He would like negotiations to
   occur between the students and the Senate to determine what that balance should be. It is
   only fair that the students have reasoned discussion with faculty regarding this matter. It
   should not be a unilateral decision made by faculty.

   P Murphy suggested the same wording appear in the Senate resolution as that which
   appears in the ASI resolution so the same issues will be before both bodies. This
   suggestion was approved and the item was moved to the Senate agenda as a first reading
   item for the May 26, 1992 meeting.

E. Executive Committee action re Academic Senate budget discussion held May 5, 1992: No
   action was taken on this item.

VI. Discussion:

Frank Lebens and Mike Martin presented information regarding the Facility Campus
Master Plan which has been drafted to replace the present master plan which dates back to
1968. This document outlines the “elements” which are the major component of the plan.
This document attempts to bring an integration of community and campus goals. It is to be
reviewed by all campus units, and working groups will be formed to look at the different
items proposed. It will take 18 to 24 months to complete the review and produce an
articulation of the “elements.”

VII. Adjournment: The meeting adjourned at 5:00pm.
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