Preparatory: The meeting was called to order at 3:15 pm.

March 9, 1993

I. Minutes: The minutes were approved, but the opportunity was extended to Lynne Gamble to supply a brief paragraph clarifying her views that were summarized on page 12 of the agenda under "Remedial Classes" [for the meeting of March 2].

II. Communications & Announcements:
Lisa Taylor and Robert Gish gave an update on the Bridges Video Project and asked for the support of the Senate. The general reaction was supportive but it was suggested that we be given specific ways that we could help.

III. Reports:
A. Academic Senate Chair: none.
B. & C. President's Office & Vice President for Academic Affairs: none.
D. Statewide Senators: Reg Gooden stated there is already an acting provost for a provisional campus, CSU Monterey Bay. It will probably focus on language, toxic waste and maritime sciences. Tim Kersten gave an update on the Master Plan Committees. He stated that Sacramento is having difficulty figuring out how to fund increases in student aid in response to increased fees [that are being proposed].

E. Glenn Irvin: enrollment management. Irvin asked that a committee be formed to examine Multi-Criteria Admission (MCA) and enrollment management on campus. He distributed a handout, "ENROLLMENT MANAGEMENT AT CAL POLY." Andrews suggested—and the Executive Committee agreed—that the caucus chairs provide two names each to nominate for the committee by the next meeting of the Executive Committee.

IV. Consent Agenda: none

V. Business Items: the business items were considered out of order to accommodate guest speakers in attendance.
I. Resolution on Department Name Change Request for Physical Education Department. Dwayne Head summarized the reasons for the proposed change to Physical Education and Kinesiology. R. Gooden moved (2nd by B. Mori) that the item be placed on the consent agenda for the forthcoming meeting of the full Senate. The motion passed.

E. Resolution on Evaluation of College Deans or Equivalent Administrators. Ray Terry presented the background and reasoning behind this resolution. It was suggested that Question 8 [see p. 25 of the agenda] add the words "affirmative action" so that the whole question reads:

8. Encourages and supports affirmative action and cultural diversity in recruiting and retention of high quality faculty, staff, and students.

C. Russell observed that the rating system was illogical with A being "Outstanding" and a gradual decrease in quality until we arrive at letter E which is "Don't Know." He felt it was clearer to have no response equivalent to "Don't Know" and designate letter E as "Extremely Poor." Discussion ensued. B. Mori moved (2nd by J. Vilkitis) that the item—along with the two emendations—be placed on the consent agenda for the forthcoming meeting of the full Senate. The motion passed.

F. Resolution on the Selection of a Campus Representative to the Academic Council on International Programs. R. Terry summarized the salient issues and suggested solutions. R. Gooden moved (2nd by B. Mori) that the item be placed on the consent agenda for the forthcoming meeting of the full Senate. The motion passed.

G. Resolution of Confidence for Administrators. R. Terry presented the important issues. C. Andrews observed that nowhere does the resolution state who gets to vote. He stated—and several members of the Executive Committee agreed—that there needs to be an added provision clarifying that tenured and tenure-track faculty are eligible to vote. Gooden moved (2nd by C. Dana) that the item be placed on the agenda as a business item for the forthcoming meeting of the full Senate. The motion passed.

H. Resolution on Revision of Guidelines for Leave with Pay. R. Terry presented the issue. J. Murphy asked that Terry attach a preamble explaining that the suggested changes in this resolution are mandated by the MOU [Memorandum of Understanding]. Gooden moved (2nd by T. Kersten) that the item be placed on the consent agenda for the forthcoming meeting of the full Senate. The motion passed.

*We momentarily returned to Section III. Reports.

III. G. Wesley Mueller: Computer Expansion at Cal Poly. Mueller explained that the IACC [Instructional Advisory Committee on Computing] has drafted a strategic plan: we will be sent copies of it through the campus mail. He also stated there is a parallel process in the AACC [Administrative Advisory Committee on Computing]. Those two strategic plans will be pulled together into one master plan by the IRMPPC [Information Resource Management Policy and Planning Committee]. The committees are open to suggestions. He also stated that he would like the issue to be placed on the next Senate agenda as a discussion item.

*We returned to the business items on the agenda

V. A. Academic Senate/Committee vacancies. Chuck Dana brought forward the name of Donley Winger (EL/EE) to serve the one-quarter replacement term for Eileen Pritchard. C. Dana moved approval (2nd by J. Murphy). The motion passed without dissent. Reg Gooden suggested that we attempt to fill the vacancy on the Athletics Governing...
Board by first asking the individuals who had volunteered to serve on that committee last year to see if they are still interested and would like to be considered.

B. Process for and selection of programs to be reviewed by the Program Review and Improvement Committee during 1993-94. C. Andrews stated that any program that was up for accreditation should be considered by the PR&IC automatically. We should be able to obtain a list of those programs from Institutional Studies. A list of programs and their schedule for accreditation was distributed. He then suggested we draw up a five-year schedule for all of the programs on campus. We should allow a few free or open slots for the PR&IC so that they can handle programs that might be targeted. Thus their review would not be inordinately delayed. He asked that the PR&IC come back with a five-year plan or schedule for review and the eight factors [for the Selection of Academic Programs for Review as stated on p. 18 of the March 30 agenda].

C. Election of new members to the Program Review and Improvement Committee for the 1993-94 term. It was generally agreed that we would have each caucus chair bring three names from his or her respective college as possible candidates for the PR&IC. Those names should be brought to the April 20 meeting of the Executive Committee. That committee might start meeting as early as this summer.

D. GE&B Proposal for JOUR 318. Vilkitis provided the background material for the resolution. Mori moved (2nd by J. Murphy) that we agendize it as a business item on the next meeting of the full Senate. The motion passed.

VI: Discussion: [no time]. There was no discussion, but we were given the following handouts:

1) "Comments for the Academic Senate Hearing of the Review of the Home Economics Academic Program" by Robert D. Koob;
2) a copy of a Memorandum to Charles Andrews from Robert Koob dated June 2, 1992;
3) "Information Relating to Proposed Budget Initiatives";
4) a hand-written sheet by Jack Wilson enumerating seven items that need to be addressed in the spring quarter of 1993; and
5) a memorandum [in green] from the Home Economics Department titled "Response to Request for Accreditation Information."

VII. Adjournment: the meeting was adjourned at 5:00.

Craig H. Russell, Secretary of Academic Senate