Transfixed by the bird's splendor, she forgot everything until, next to her, she heard the metallic click of Ruth's rifle.

Seeing Ruth take aim, she felt a blind fury and, lunging violently, grabbed for the rifle.

A shot rang out as she saw the egret begin its ascent to safety.

Then came the screaming! Screams like nothing Francie had ever heard, worse even than the screams of pigs at slaughter time. She followed her screaming cousin's frozen stare.

There was nothing left. Where Jamie's face had been, there was now a mass of pulpy redness. A great red-black hole in the middle of it all gaped one-sidedly as a hideous sound burbled forth through crimson froth.

Francie looked and saw no more.

When they found the children, Ruth kept pointing at Francie, "She done it; she done it," she said over and over.

Francie was stiff; eyes as glazed as the dead fishes; limbs as hard and unbending as the cocked rifles.

WEBSTER'S DICTIONARY defines "anthropocentrism" as "considering man to be the most significant entity in the universe; interpreting or regarding the world in terms of human values." This is, not surprisingly, a highly common viewpoint among members of the human race; in fact, many people may never have considered any other view.

Anthropocentricity is based on the belief that there is a firm dividing line between humans and non-humans. This belief is reaffirmed by such practices as the belittling use of inanimate pronouns such as "it," "which," and "that" in describing animals. For example, "Susan took her dog to the groomers to have its nails cut." This is not merely a question of terminology, for using these inanimate pronouns to refer to animals encourages us to treat animals like inanimate objects. It is easier to tolerate a trapper "harvesting" an it, than to face up to their killing a him or her.

The fear of embarrassment—"you're just sentimental and anthropomorphizing!"—associated with being compassionate beyond the human race is one of the main factors which entrench and promote anthropocentricity. You would think that compassion would be the last emotion requiring apology, yet many people cringe at being compassionate to animals and consider a dispassionate view of the animal world to be only sensible and mature.

The other factor promoting anthropocentricity is that humans, as a rule, very much enjoy considering themselves to be unique, superior, and the powerful rulers over all the other creatures on earth. Playing the role of gods is not easily given up. In ancient Greek mythology, it was through consuming ambrosia that the gods were maintained as gods; if they went without it even for a day, they would become weak and lose their immortality. Anthropocentricity is a kind of ambrosia for the human ego. But like the (Continued on page 54)
ancient Greek form, it is in reality only a
myth—and in the end, the gods themselves
faded away.

We the monkey people, are the
greatest folk in all the jungle.
We know this is true because we
always say it is true.

from the film version of
Rudyard Kipling's The Jungle Book

LETTERS

To the editors:

The over-intellectualised writing of
Charlie Blatz ("Why (Most) Humans Are More
Important than Animals," Between the Species,
1/4 (1985)) leads him repeatedly to the
apparently comforting (to him) realization that
we really don't know all the facts, so let's
not be too hasty about taking our boot-heels
off the necks of the exploited. We can't
know all the repercussions of a cultural
transition to veganism, so let's keep on
consuming the products of factory farming.
Etc.

Pardon my expression of human emotion in
an academic journal, but doesn't tin-man
Blatz understand that all the facts are
never in? That we always make our practical,
ethical decisions on an incomplete informa-
tion base? That the heart as well as the
head factors into the way we choose to live
our lives? And that "lack of complete in-
formation"—about nuclear proliferation, the
government's latest dirty little war, animal
abuse, sexual violence, you name it—is al-
ways used by the politically timid, the non-
exploited, and the beneficiaries of exploita-
tion as an excuse for continued cooperation
with the status quo?

I suggest that Professor Blatz make a
date with Dorothy during the next tornado
season in Kansas. Perhaps he can get from
the Wizard of Oz what he so glaringly needs
to balance his writing on the rights of non-
human animals.

BILLY RAY BOYD
San Francisco, California

An ecological science of environmental
administration is defined by Pierre Dansereau
in his Inscape and Landscape (1973). Danser-
eau suggests that images, inscapes, mind-
scapes—partly composed from our knowledge of
the natural world—profoundly affect the
human instruments (tools and forms of organi-
sation) which, as human power grows, increas-
ingly condition the landscape. Management is
one such instrument. Ecologically and ethic-
ally uninformed, its images increasingly
model a devastated planet. Science, techno-
logy, and human populations follow suit, with
the result that human life itself grows more
precarious and—we may add (and this is out-
rageous!)—nature finds itself being phased
out.

Dansereau urges,

The need to build a new world is
now a necessity, not the utopia
that it may have seemed in 1914 or
even in 1939. This is a work of
the imagination, and imagination
reaches out to hidden dimensions.

In other words, the richness of
our inscapes is a preliminary to a
good management of our landscapes.

If we saw the world through the eyes of
ajax, we would rush perhaps to confront our
challenges as did that hero—only to find
ourselves slaughtering animals instead. So,
it does make a difference which minds capes we
inhabit.

In examining either animal liberation or
the structure of managerial decisions (which
latter Dansereau has said suffer from an
unecological picture of the world), it is not
possible to completely dispense—as so many
attempt to do—with analysis of class.

In The Hidden Injuries of Class (1972),
Richard Sennett shows how it is that in Amer-
ica and variably elsewhere comparative judg-
ments of persons' abilities serve to maintain