I. Preparatory: The meeting was called to order at 3:10

II. Communication(s) and Announcement(s)--none

III. Reports

A. Academic Senate Chair: No report

B. President's Office: No report

C. Vice President for Academic Affairs: No report

D. Statewide Academic Senators: (Kersten) The Governor's budget was announced. It includes a 2.73% increase in next year's budget—not enough to keep up with the increase in enrollment and costs. (Hale) A package of materials has been assembled by the Chancellor's office regarding "remedial" education courses. The CSU Senate has approved this report. (Gooden) San Marcos has brought a resolution to the CSU Senate to remove the name of Senator William Craven from one of its buildings and one of their roads because of comments he allegedly made in the San Diego Union Tribune.

E. CFA: (G. Lewis) When intraspace bargaining is discarded tomorrow, faculty should be apprised that this it has been a result of the Chancellor's request, not the CFA. In the new contract, tentatively "workload" will be allowed to be defined at the department level. MSA and merit pay may be changed.

F. Staff Council: (R. Bowker) A "diversity" forum will be offered at the end of the month.

G. A.S.I.(S. Buswell) Please send course syllabi (spring quarter or from previous quarter if you've not done the spring ones yet) to A.S.I. office. 10% of faculty sent their winter quarter syllabi.

H. Irvin/Sharp: Student Throughput
   (1.) 4-year degree pledge program required from the Chancellor's Office. These are contracts made with students to graduate within 4 years. (2.) The Vice President of Academic Affairs has a commitment to offering sufficient courses to meet student demands so they can graduate in a reasonable time.

   The Deans have been asked to effect "pledges" at the college level. These contracts at other campuses are only affecting 2-3% of students. With increased enrollment, the question of how to distribute the FTE throughout the year to meet course demands needs resolution. 14,589 is the fall 1995 enrollment target required by the Chancellor. The number of continuing students will be lower. 14,300 FTE will be taught during 1994-95.

   The following suggestions to address throughput have been drafted by the Academic Affairs staff and the Enrollment Management Implementation Committee.

   1. Revise curriculum to reduce the number of units required for degrees. 2. Increase the number of units per course. 3. Revise GE&B for greater flexibility and double counting. 4. Increase the number of sections and enrollment to these sections. The large lecture rooms on campus are underutilized. If Cal Poly is willing to offer some large lecture sections in some key courses, primarily GE & B courses, about half the FTE increase can be addressed. 5. Get better control of the academic advising process is needed. Work out the courses necessary so that student progress is not impeded (i.e., sequencing). Departments will be asked for information about how their degree programs are structured with that flow through in mind. 6. In order to deal with the "remedial" instruction issue should the criteria for selection of students be altered to select for those who have already satisfied required writing/ math testing?
I. W. Boldt: Plans for the University Advancement program. Fundraising is going to be decentralized. This will be kicked off with the "Cal Poly Centennial Campaign." The focus of fundraising efforts is going to be on colleges and units.

IV. Consent Agenda

The resolution on department name change for EL/EE department to Electrical Engineering was passed.

V. Business:

A. Resolution to Amend Resolution 396-92 "Formation of the Subcommittee of the Curriculum Committee" (first reading)

B. Calendar MSP (Bowker/Martinez) to bring off the table the motion that the vote to switch to the semester system be put to the campus.

Fetzer restating the motion: "Moved that at an appropriate time during this calendar year, the issue of calendar change be placed before the full faculty for a discussion and vote." The request to accept Phil's restatement was agreed to. Motion seconded. The motion becomes a first reading item.

G. Lewis: I view this as a distraction. The Senate has debated this thoroughly. How is it proposed to educate the faculty about this issue and how long will it take before the vote can occur?

Hampsey: We can give the faculty the same materials the Senators had. This isn't a debate of the quarter/semester. It is a debate of whether to let the faculty have their say in the matter. To do so shows that the Senate respects the faculty.

Fetzer: The issue is whether it's a good idea to send this to the full faculty. It is a forum to demonstrate democracy, since this is a serious issue.

Scriven: I agree that this is a distraction. The only way to fully kill this issue is to give it to the faculty.

Amspacher: If we don't represent the faculty here, then what is it that we do?

J. Bermann: The Senate's reputation is at stake. If our decision has no significance, then what are we doing here?

Hannings: Most of my college is tired of this issue and wants to move on.

Dana: The email I've received was NO VOTE. LET THIS DIE.

College of Engineering--no vote; College of Agriculture--no vote; College of Science and Math--no vote; College of Liberal Arts--vote.

Rogers: In my college the response was "No vote. Let's get on with it." No one has expressed an interest in reconsidering.

Gooden: The best way to destroy a representative body is to inundate it with trivia. We are the representative body.

Hampsey: What items should an assembly decide and what items should the public decide?

Bowker: The resolution was if there's a vote in favor, it would go to the faculty.

MSP (Scriven/Hannings) that the motion go to second reading status.

MSP: To call the question. MSP (19-22) that at an appropriate time during this academic year the issue of calendar change be placed before the faculty for a full discussion and vote.

VI. Adjournment: The meeting was adjourned at 5:00 pm.

Recorded by: Sam Lutrin, Secretary, Academic Senate