Preparatory: The meeting was opened at 3:10. Vice Chair Memo Martinez chaired the meeting given Chair Army Morrobel-Sosa's laryngitis. Members were reminded that this was a continuation meeting.

I. Minutes: none

II. Communication(s) and Announcement(s): none

III. Reports:

A. Academic Senate Chair: no report
B. President's Office: no report
C. Provost's Office: no report: A question was raised regarding the Executive Committee's endorsement of the recommended change to the MCA criteria for Fall 1998. At issue was why this was addressed only at the Executive Committee and not brought before the full Senate. Provost Zingg indicated that it was the Executive Committee's decision on how to deal with the issue. Chair Morrobel-Sosa responded that the report from the Dean's Advisory Admissions Committee came before the Executive Committee with a time sensitive deadline. Given that there were pending curricular matters, which take precedent over other matters, this issue was not brought before the entire body.
D. Statewide Senators: Kersten reported that he will bring before the campus Senate a resolution he helped draft at the Statewide Senate regarding actions to close the CSU faculty salary gap.
E. CFA Campus President: Zetzche reported that CFA acknowledged the Statewide Senate's report from the Merit Pay Task Force and support the report's conclusions. There was some question about the CFA newspaper ads currently running with suggestions for change.
F. Staff Council Representative: no report
G. ASI representative: It was reported that the CSSA took a stand against the CETI initiative.

IV. Consent Agenda:

V. Business Item(s):
Summary of Program Proposals 1998 Catalog: Doug Keesey addressed the Program
Changes (pp. 71-72 in agenda 1.20.98) as first reading items. He indicated that the M.S. in Forestry Sciences was withdrawn, the change name of concentrations in the B.S. Agricultural Science was withdrawn and that under Specializations there was a name change from Engineering Management specialization to Integrated Technology Management specialization. George Lewis wanted to draw the attention of the membership to the proposed change in name in Physical Education to Kinesiology. The entire curriculum package will be addressed at the next Senate meeting as a second reading item.

VI. Discussion Items:
Report on the status of CETI: Jerry Hanley, Vice Provost/CIO for Information Technology Services provided a report on the status of CETI. Included was a packet of information which he reviewed and the revised time line for CETI, which is now scheduled to be brought before the Board of Trustees at its May meeting. The process for CETI deliberations was discussed including how the Senate can have continued consultation in the process. Hanley discussed the openness of the process and the use of technologies to insure that information was available. Currently one issue still unresolved in the initial proposal is whether or not auxiliaries are included in the infrastructure. Joe Grimes, chair of IACC shared his thoughts on the CETI project and the committee’s position not to take action pro or con on CETI at this time. Instead the group is focusing on the process, but does believe that the principle of CETI is a good one. It was pointed out that no one person will have full knowledge and understanding of all issues of the contract and that it is important that the campus has multiple groups reviewing it and providing feedback. That is why it is critical to establish a process for review. In response to a budget question Hanley indicated that it was anticipated that there would be a $20 million up-front investment spent at Cal Poly in the first three years, out of the systemwide $300 million capital investment to build infrastructure. In response to numerous other questions Hanley indicated that:
• CETI has an exclusive contract, but the individual partners do not;
• the scope of the deal is 10 years, but there are reopeners based on performance;
• there are growth opportunities in helping to fund and develop private networks and that is attractive to the CETI partners;
• a lot of the issues raised are occasioned by the CETI discussion but are not germane to CETI.

Further discussion on the time line and process revealed that March will be a critical month for campus consultation.

VII Adjournment: M/S/P (Harris/Ruehr) to adjourn at 5:00 p.m.
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