Preparatory: The meeting was opened at 3:10 p.m.


I. Minutes: none

II. Communication(s) and Announcement(s): none

III. Reports:
   A. Academic Senate Chair: no report
   B. President’s Office: no report
   C. Provost’s Office: Zingg reported that there would be at least a 5% FTE increase in Fall.
   D. Statewide Senators: no report
   E. CFA Campus President: no report
   F. Staff Council representative: no report
   G. ASI representative: no report
   H. IACC representative: Bowker indicated that the Macs have arrived and are being installed. The Dells are due to arrive in two weeks. IACC is still discussing the reorganization of the committee.
   I. Athletics Governing Board representative: Brown reported that the budget was passed at this week’s meeting. There will be modified language so the body will be included in discussions and decisions on scholarships.
   J. Other: Introduction of new senators
      CAGR: Amspacher introduced Cliff Stokes, Sarah Lord and Dave Hannings.
      CAED: Johnston introduced Gary Clay and Dave Dubbink.
      CBUS: Miller introduced Eldon Li and Terri Swartz.
      CENG: Lang introduced Russ Cummings, Mark Johnson, and Tao Yang.
      CLA: Martinez introduced Sky Bergman, Phil Fetzer and Debra Valencia-Laver.
      CSM: Bowker introduced Ron Brown, Ralph Jacobsen, Tom Hale and Rafael Escamilla.
      PCS: Brown introduced Pat Harris

IV. Consent Agenda:

V. Business Item(s): CONTINUED AGENDA FROM MEETING OF MAY 20, 1997.
D. Revisions to AS-459-96/LRPC, Resolution to Approve Policy and Review Procedures for Discontinuance of an Academic Program (second reading): M/S (Martinez/Hood) to move item to second reading. Hood give introduction, and indicated changes made since the original resolution.

M/S/F (Botwin/Labhard) to amend resolution to add on page 23:

“E. Independent audit, covering the last five years, of Cal Poly’s income and expenses.”

Botwin felt that we must have an audit to know what resources are available. Hood feels that the university financial records are already available. Hale would like to see a way to independently review the university’s audits. Miller felt that an audit is the wrong tool, because the audit will not give the information needed if the resources were managed wisely. Amspacher asked Zingg is periodic review is already done. Zingg replied yes, the budget information is available to the public. Labhard felt that having financial information is the only way to make good decisions on discontinuing a program. Gooden spoke against the amendment on grounds that this is not an accounting issue - rather it is a management issue. Botwin asked for help in defining another word (to replace audit) which would be acceptable to the group. Amendment failed.

Hood called question. Resolution passed.

E. Resolution on Cal Poly Performance Salary Step Increase Policy (first reading):

Greenwald gave options for continuation meeting(s) and asked for civility during discussions. Harris gave introduction and pointed out areas that the committee did not agree on and gave options. Johnson asked what would happen to the document if the university committee was removed. Harris indicated that it would be acceptable, but would negate university criteria. Gooden felt that we are perfecting a torture process, and questioned bonus points awarded when faculty exceed maximum points in one area. Harris explained the “double cycle” for next year. Lewis felt that the Chancellor’s office wants evaluation of merit, but they don’t want to pay for that evaluation. Lewis also expressed a desire to see the administration give four units of release time to all evaluators. This process should piggyback on RPT, according to Lewis. Harris explained the pros and cons of evaluation on a 1-year, 3-year or 5-year basis. Pat Wheatley spoke to disband the university committee. Devore is concerned with the way the points are awarded so that there would be minimum points in each category. Devore felt that the word “fair” should be deleted from the scoring system. Drucker expressed concern that the UCTE would receive very little funding, and half of UCTE would be serving on the committee. Bowker recommended that input be given to every candidate of why the person was or was not recommended for a PSSI. Bertozzi recommended specificity in requirements for the 6-page document (paper size, type size, margins, etc.). JoAnn Wheatley recommended adding additional wording to assure that the application is reviewed and verified for accuracy.

VI. Discussion Item(s):

VII. Adjournment: M/S/P to adjourn at 5:00 p.m.
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