MINUTES OF THE
Academic Senate Executive Committee
Tuesday, January 7, 1997
UU 220, 3:00-5:00 p.m.

Preparatory: The meeting was opened at 3:14 p.m.

Members and Guests present: Bill Amspacher, Les Bowker, Margaret Camuso, Leslie Cooper, Juan Gonzalez, Reg, Gooden, George Gowgani, Harvey Greenwald, Tom Hale, John Hampsey, Dan Howard-Greene, Glenn Irvin, Hal Johnston, Euel Kennedy, Sam Lutrin, William Martinez, Tad Miller, Anny Morrobel-Sosa, Paul Murphy, Bob Smidt, George Stanton, Guy Welch, Jim Zetzsche, Paul Zingg

I. Minutes: M/S/P (Gooden/Martinez) to approve minutes of the December 3, 1996 Executive Committee meeting.

II. Communication(s) and Announcement(s):

III. Reports:
A. Academic Senate Chair: no report
B. President’s Office: no report
C. Provost’s Office: Zingg announced that Bob Clover and Mary Whiteford had been invited to submit a proposal to obtain a portion of the $3 million available as part of the “Baseline Access and Support Initiative”. Cal Poly was awarded $615,000 for the top rated proposal. This will close the gap to allow for completion of the faculty workstation proposal. Funding is now secured for equipment, training, service, etc.
D. Statewide Senators: Gooden mentioned the upcoming Academic Conference. Cooper provided update on registration procedures.
E. CFA Campus President: Zetzsche advised that the “Exchanges” brochure should be required reading for everyone. Zetzsche also noted an Internet advertisement for Master’s and Ph.D. degrees on the World Wide Web. He indicated that there would be discussions and meeting on the PSSI issues this spring.
F. Staff Council representative: no report
G. ASI representative: Welch reported that there had been discussion on the GEB Template from the GEB Ad Hoc committee. The Template has not been endorsed by the ASI yet, as they are still waiting for more information.
H. IACC representative: no report
I. Athletics Governing Board representative: no report
J. Other:

IV. Consent Agenda:

Executive Committee approval of the Program Review and Improvement Committee’s Recommended Changes to Resolutions AS-460-96 and AS-461-96:
Greenwald gave introduction. Martinez questioned some “editorial” changes. Greenwald questioned deletion of the requirement for external review every five years. Morrobel-Sosa replied that accreditation in some departments occurs every six years. Hampsey expressed concern over lack of response and follow-up by the committee with
administration. Amspacher voiced concern with requirement of action plan on external and internal reviews. Morrobel-Sosa clarified what the roles of external and internal reviews were. The matter was returned to the committee for further discussion.

V. Business Item(s):
A. **Academic Senate/university-wide vacancies**: Greenwald reported that Genereux will be on leave during Winter Quarter 97, and would not be able to attend Library Ad Hoc committee meetings. Greenwald gave the status of the committee charges. Brown and Welch indicated that the current committee is working well together, and another member would not be necessary. Morrobel-Sosa reported that a person has been identified from CENG to serve on the Grants Review committee, but still needs to be approved by the caucus. Miller announced that he will serve as CBUS representative to the Grants Review committee until another person from the college can be identified. Brown indicated that Nancy Loe has volunteered to serve as PCS representative to the Grants Review committee, but still needs caucus approval. **It was M/S/P (Morrobel-Sosa/Martinez) to approve Nancy Loe’s appointment, pending caucus approval.**

B. **Resolution on Department Name Change for Agricultural Education Department**: Amspacher does not believe there will be any problem obtaining full approval. **M/S/P (Martinez/Brown) to agendize.**

C. **Resolution on Department Name Change for Foreign Languages and Literatures Department**: Martinez gave background. **M/S/P (Martinez/Gooden) to agendize.** Discussion ensued over “modern” languages versus “classical” languages.

D. **Resolution on General Education and Breadth Program: Proposed Administrative Structure**: Hampsey gave introduction. The Ad Hoc committee is proposing that GEB be viewed as a program, not a series of requirements. Precedence has been set by the Honors Program. Hampsey is seeking Academic Senate input, but does not want the original document altered on the Senate floor. Opportunity will be given to provide “alternative reports”. Morrobel-Sosa wanted clarification of “areas” and “technology”. Hampsey and Irvin provided responses. Discussion ensued over difference between the Executive Order and the proposed Administrative Structure. Clarification was given on reporting structure and reasoning for timeline. A “periodic review” of GEB program was discussed. Greenwald queried if anyone had objections to “no additional changes to the document once on the floor”. Pros and cons of providing timeline was discussed. **M/S/P to change wording to reflect that “any changes by the committee to the document will be provided by January 28th and alternative reports will be provided by February 4th”.** **M/S/P (Martinez/Hampsey) to agendize.**

VI. Discussion Item(s):

VII. Adjournment: **M/S/P (Morrobel-Sosa/Lutrin) to adjourn at 4:45 p.m.**

Submitted by:

Leslie Cooper
Academic Senate