WHEREAS, the Ad Hoc MCA Committee has issued a progress report distributed under a cover memo dated May 22, 1990, co-signed by John Lindvall, Chair, and Philip Bailey, Interim Vice President for Academic Affairs; and

WHEREAS, the report indicates agreement has been reached on most of the major issues concerning the admission of freshmen and underrepresented students; and

WHEREAS, the report specifies that the committee intends that a "substantial portion of each major's admissions be based solely on the Academic Ranking Model" described therein; and

WHEREAS, the report specifies that the Academic Ranking Model will accommodate weighing variations on variables to allow for differences among schools/majors; and

WHEREAS, the committee has concluded that an auxiliary Student Diversity Model is needed to assure the admission of CSU qualified underrepresented students consistent with (1) the changing demographics of the state, (2) legislative and Chancellor's Office directives, and (3) the university goal of achieving a diverse student body; and

WHEREAS, the objectives of the proposed Student Diversity Model are to (1) achieve targets established through a consultative process involving the Vice President for Academic Affairs, deans, and faculty, (2) admit the underrepresented students who rank the highest in the Academic Ranking Model, and (3) not contradict the principle that all models under consideration will admit a substantial portion of the students to each major based solely on the Academic Ranking Model; and

WHEREAS, the report specifies that the list of students to
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be admitted must be analyzed for its diversity characteristics before notice of admission is sent to applicants; and

It is the committee's intent that the Academic Ranking and Student Diversity Models be used for all admissions except for EOP, athletes, hardship, president's and dean's prerogative, and non-resident students; and

The committee has pledged to work expeditiously on corresponding models for transfer students; and

Interim Vice President Bailey has pledged to use all reasonable means to implement the new models effective with the Fall 1990 admissions cycle; therefore, be it

That the Academic Senate support (1) the conclusions expressed in the committee's progress report, (2) the committee's continuing efforts to develop appropriate transfer models, and (3) Interim Vice President Bailey's pledge to secure immediate implementation; and, be it further

That the Academic Senate Executive Committee, acting on behalf of the entire Senate, stand ready to participate in any appropriate way in resolving any issues pertaining to the consultative processes called for in the committee's report; and, be it further

That the Ad Hoc MCA Committee monitor the implementation of its recommendations and report to the Academic Senate on the results thereof at such times as it sees fit, but not later than March 1, 1991.
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Revised May 29, 1990
Thank you for the Academic Senate resolution on the Multi-Criteria Admissions Model which was adopted on May 29, 1990. I am pleased with the progress of the MCA Committee and appreciate the Academic Senate's assistance in forming this committee and its support in the development of the MCA model for Fall 1991 admissions.

You can be assured that the University will do everything it can consistent with time and resources to implement the new MCA model for the Fall 1991 admissions cycle. I appreciate the willingness of the Academic Senate Executive Committee to act on behalf of the entire Academic Senate in resolving any issues pertaining to the consultative process in the MCA Program. Again, thank you for your assistance and support.
Memorandum

To: James L. Murphy, Chair
    Academic Senate

From: Warren J. Baker
      President

Subject: ACADEMIC SENATE RESOLUTIONS FROM MAY 29 MEETING

Date: June 8, 1990

File No.:

Copies: Philip Bailey
         Jan Pieper

This will acknowledge receipt of your June 5 memo with which you transmitted the resolutions numbered 338 through 344. These resolutions are under review, and I will respond to them when the review has been completed.
Memorandum

To: Linda Dalton, Glenn Irvin, Euel Kennedy, Tom Zuur, Jim Maraviglia

From: Bonnie Long
Office of the Provost

Date: January 10, 1997

Copies: Paul Zingg
Carlos Cordova

Subject: Academic Senate Resolution (AS 340-90/SBUS), on Multi-Criteria Admissions Model

As a follow-up to the discussion some of you had this morning with Dr. Zingg, I am attaching a copy of the subject Academic Senate resolution which was passed in 1990 regarding the campus Multi-Criteria Admissions plan.

Further questions, let me know.

Attachment