CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY
San Luis Obispo, California 93407
ACADEMIC SENATE

MINUTES OF THE
Academic Senate
Tuesday, October 29, 1996
UU 220, 3:00-5:00 p.m.

Preparatory: The meeting was opened at 3:10 p.m.


I. Minutes: The minutes of October 8, 1996 and October 15, 1996 were approved without correction.

II. Communication(s) and Announcement(s)

III. Reports:
   A. Academic Senate Chair: no report
   B. President's Office: no report
   C. Provost's Office: pass
   D. Statewide Senators: Hale has a copy of a report for review.
   E. CFA Campus President: Zetzsche announced that there would be a Virtual University Forum on Friday, and expressed concerns that there were still committees without professors.
   F. Staff Council Representative: no report
   G. ASI Representative: Welch indicated that ASI will have all appointments to Academic Senate committees filled by tomorrow. The ASI will be discussing the "Credit/No Credit Resolution" over the next few weeks.
   H. IACC Representative: Bowker reported that the committee is working on a plan for Faculty workstations, and policy and procedures for workstation access.
   I. Athletics Governing Board Representative: Brown reported that the first meeting had taken place. The Board will be looking at accreditation requirements.
   J. Other:

IV. Consent Agenda

Jumped to VI. Discussion Item, with 15-minute discussion time limit.

V. Business Items:

VI. Discussion Item(s):
Special Item: **Proposition 209**: Greenwald gave introduction by indicating that he had received twelve email messages inquiring what the Academic Senate was going to do regarding Proposition 209. Options include creating a resolution, endorsing an existing resolution, or ignoring the matter entirely. In order to pass a resolution before the Election Day, a special meeting would have to be held this Thursday. Proxies do count when constituting a quorum and for voting purposes. Discussion ensued with questions including: What effect would the resolution have? Why should we get into this if we can't deal with our own agenda? Is it normal for Academic Senate to get involved in politics? It was M/S/P to bring the item to the floor for discussion.

After further discussion, it was M/S/P to endorse the resolution passed by the Statewide Academic Senate of the California State University entitled **Support for Educational Equity Programs in the CSU - Opposition to the California Civil Rights Initiative**.

A. **GE&B Course Proposals (first reading)**: Greenwald asked Smidt to introduce topic. Coleman concerned about the International/Multicultural component. Responses were given by Gish, Harris and Smidt. Lewis was concerned with criteria. Smidt responded that the subcommittee criteria are still being collected at this time. Moved to second reading.

B. **Cultural Pluralism Requirement Course Proposals (first reading)**: Williamson gave introduction and fielded questions. Moved to second reading.

C. **Curriculum Course Proposals (first reading)**: Williamson indicated that the discussion would be organized by college. Williamson detailed changes throughout the proposals that have occurred since the creation of the original document. Moved to second reading.

D. **Resolution on Policy on Amorous Relationships (first reading)**: Swartz gave history and background of issue. The Status of Women committee had reviewed, examined policies and examined issues relating to dating between faculty and students. Actual cases could not be discussed publicly. Specific case questions must be directed to Anna McDonald. Coleman clarified the definition of "in position to supervise" versus "students they supervise". Morrobel-Sosa suggested friendly amendment to change the reference of "Faculty/Staff" to "Faculty/Instructional Staff" in all whereas clauses. Bowker expressed concern that several items seemed to contradict each other. First reading will continue at next meeting in 2 weeks.

VI. Discussion Items:

VII. Adjournment: The meeting was adjourned at 5:00 p.m.

Submitted by:

Leslie Cooper
Academic Senate