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ABSTRACT

Recognized to confer health benefits to consumers, probiotics such as Lactobacillus acidophilus are commonly incorporated into fermented dairy products worldwide; among which yogurt is a popular delivery vehicle. To materialize most of the putative health benefits associated with probiotics, an adequate amount of viable cells must be delivered at the time of consumption. However, the loss in their viabilities during refrigerated storage has been demonstrated previously. This study focused on the effects of yogurt starter cultures on the survival of five strains of L. acidophilus, with emphasis on low pH and acid production. Differential survival behavior between L. acidophilus strains was further analyzed. To this end, viable cell counts of L. acidophilus were determined weekly during 4°C storage in various types of yogurts made with Streptococcus thermophilus alone, L. delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus alone, both species of the starter cultures, or glucono-delta-lactone (GDL). All yogurt types, except for pasteurized yogurts, were co-fermented with L. acidophilus. Yogurt filtrate was analyzed for the presence of any inhibitory substance and for the amount of hydrogen peroxide. Multiplication of L. acidophilus was not affected by the starter cultures as all strains reached high level on day 0 of the storage period. Throughout the 28-day storage period, cell counts of L. acidophilus PIM 703 and SBT 2062 remained steady (~6 × 10^7 CFU/g) in yogurts made with both starter cultures, whereas those of ATCC 700396 and NCFM were reduced by a maximum of 3 and 4.6 logs, respectively. When starter cultures were replaced by GDL, all strains survived well, suggesting that a low pH was not a critical factor dictating their survival. In addition, the filtrate collected from yogurts made with starter cultures appeared to have higher inhibitory activities against L. acidophilus than that made with GDL. The presence of viable starter cultures was necessary to adversely affect the survival of some strains, as pasteurized yogurts had no effect on their survival. In particular, the inhibitory effect exerted by L. delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus on L. acidophilus NCFM was highly pronounced than by S. thermophilus, nevertheless, the same effect was not observed on SBT 2062. The inhibition against stationary-phase NCFM cells might be caused by the elevated level of hydrogen peroxide produced by L. delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus. Delineating factors driving the differences in survival trait among probiotic strains will lead to a more efficacious delivery of health benefits in fermented dairy products through targeted technological interventions.

1. Introduction

Fermented dairy products are commonly used as food vehicles to deliver probiotics to consumers. Among dairy products sold in the US, yogurt is likely the most recognized product containing probiotics (Louretoi-Hattingh and Viljoen, 2001). As with many other countries, yogurts in the US are produced by pasteurized milk fermented by Streptococcus thermophilus and Lactobacillus delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus. Probiotics are not required in the production, but are often added to carry out co-fermentation with the starter cultures.

“Probiotics” are defined as “live microorganisms which when administered in adequate amounts confer a health benefit on the host” (FAO/WHO, 2001). Numerous reports have suggested probiotics confer some forms of health benefits to humans. For instance, they have been shown in various extents to produce antimicrobial compounds, modulate host immune system, inhibit Helicobacter pylori, alleviate lactose intolerance, assimilate cholesterol, prevent autoimmune, and exhibit antimutagenic properties (Adolfsson et al., 2004; Kailasapathy and Chin, 2000; McKinley, 2005; Parvez et al., 2006; Percival, 1997).

To realize most of these putative health benefits, it is understandable that a sufficient amount of viable probiotics must reach the intestines. Thus, along with the innate health-promoting capability, their viability in the products has been cited as an important prerequisite for achieving beneficial health effects (Galdeano and Perdigón, 2004). Hence, different forms of delivery vehicles should be studied and optimized to ensure that probiotics are viable and delivered in sufficient numbers before the expiration date (Godward et al., 2000). Based on previous studies characterizing a wide range of probiotic species and strain, a very high dose – minimum of 10^9 CFU/
day, mostly in the range of $10^{10} \text{ to } 10^{13} \text{ CFU/day}$ – was required for the respective health benefits (Louroens-Hattinng and Viljoen, 2001; Parvez et al., 2006; Tamime et al., 2005). For instance, Lactobacillus acidophilus, a common probiotic species, was administered at a minimum level of $10^8 \text{ CFU/day}$ to prevent or treat some gastrointestinal (GI) disorders (reviewed by WGO, 2008). Kailasapathy and Chin (2000) also suggested that the minimum therapeutic dose of probiotics should be $10^8$ to $10^9 \text{ CFU/day}$. This amount could be translated into $\geq 10^6 \text{ CFU/g/day}$ of probiotics-containing yogurt given that 100 g is the daily serving portion. High dosage is required to compensate for the loss of cells during the passage through the upper and lower parts of the GI tract (Tamime et al., 2005). For probiotics delivered through a food vehicle, additional amounts of cells are likely required prior to processing to account for the loss of cells during the processing and/or storage phases.

Maintaining a high level of viable probiotic cell count in yogurts throughout the shelf life, however, is not a simple task. Many factors influence the viability of probiotics in yogurts: strain variation, acid accumulation, interaction with starter cultures, level of dissolved oxygen and hydrogen peroxide ($H_2O_2$), and storage condition (Donkor et al., 2006; Gilliland and Speck, 1977; Nighswonger et al., 1996; Talwalkar and Kailasapathy, 2003). Evidently, several studies reported that some commercially available dairy products contain insufficient number of viable probiotics (as defined by $<10^6 \text{ CFU/g}$ or ml before the expiration date), thereby diminishing the potential health benefits conferred by these products (Couret et al., 2004; Huys et al., 2006; Lin et al., 2006; Tharmaraj and Shah, 2003). Thus, understanding the survival of probiotics and developing methods to maintain and/or to promote their viability throughout the product shelf life continues to be an important subject of research in this field.

Many previous studies focused on devising strategies to improve the viability of particular L. acidophilus strains that showed suboptimal survival in yogurts (reviewed by Shah, 2000). These include reducing the oxygen content in the food by adding ascorbic acid (Davé and Shah, 1997a), and protecting the probiotics by means of encapsulation or addition of cryoprotectants (Capela et al., 2006). This study, on the other hand, undertook a comparative approach to probe the effects of acid and the presence of starter cultures on the survival of L. acidophilus in yogurts during the storage phase. This will help pinpoint the causes of suboptimal survival and provide a basis to develop more effective measures. Thus, the objectives were to determine the survival of five different L. acidophilus strains in yogurts made with different combinations of yogurt starter cultures, and to determine their survival independent of the starter culture fermentation by using an acidulant. The best and worst survival strains were chosen to further investigate factors attributing to the differential viabilities.

Enhancing our understanding of L. acidophilus survivability in yogurts may provide a foundation to improving probiotic strains and/or starter cultures, and subsequently lead to a more effective delivery of probiotic-associated health benefits via fermented dairy products.

### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Bacterial strains and culture media

Commercial starter cultures (YoFlex-L702), which contained S. thermophilus and L. delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus, were provided by Chr. Hansen (Milwaukee, WI) in Direct Vat Set Form. The isolation of these two species was made on MRS agar (BD Diagnostics, Sparks, MD). After incubation at 37°C anaerobically for 48 h, two distinct colony morphologies were observed. Each type was tested with a Gram stain kit (BD Diagnostics) to confirm their species identity — L. delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus is gram positive bacillus whereas S. thermophilus is gram positive coccus. Each species was streaked for single colony isolation on M17 (S. thermophilus) or MRS (L. delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus) medium (Ravula and Shah, 1998). After incubation at 37°C for 24–36 h, MRS broth supplemented with 20% glycerol (Fisher Scientific,ustin, CA) was used to make frozen stocks. Cultures were subcultured at least twice prior to all experiments.

Five strains of L. acidophilus were tested in this study. These strains were NCFM, ATCC 700396, PIM703, SBT1062 and LA-5. The first four strains were previously isolated from commercial products or obtained from reliable sources (Yeung et al., 2002), while LA-5 was kindly provided by Chr. Hansen. Their species identities were confirmed by API 50 CH (bioMérieux, France) and partial 16S rDNA sequencing.

#### 2.2. Production of different types of yogurt

An important aim of this study was to study the impact of the starter cultures on L. acidophilus. Therefore, yogurts with or without starter cultures were produced in the laboratory. As described below, the typical yogurt was made with the fermentation of both starter cultures (S. thermophilus and L. delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus). Yogurts were also made with individual starter culture species. Finally, yogurts were made without any starter cultures. For all types of yogurt, 14% (w/w) reconstituted low-heat nonfat dry milk (NFDM) (Dairy America, Inc., Fresno, CA) was used initially, and L. acidophilus was added immediately following the starter culture(s) or an acidulant.

For yogurts made with both starter cultures, a package of 250-g YoFlex-L702 was thawed in a water bath at room temperature. Following the recommendation from the starter culture provider, it was then diluted 10-fold with sterile 10% reconstituted NFDM, and 6 ml of this suspension was added into 3 L of pasteurized (heat treatment at 85°C for 30 min) reconstituted NFDM being held at 43°C. For yogurts made with individual species, 1% (v/v) of the overnight-grown S. thermophilus or L. delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus was inoculated into reconstituted NFDM. For yogurts made without any starter cultures, glucono-delta-lactone (GDL) was added at a concentration of 1.6% (w/v). GDL is an acidulant that gradually lowers the pH of milk, mimicking the acid production of starter cultures. Therefore, the purpose of adding GDL was to study the effect of acid on L. acidophilus survival independent of any metabolic activity of starter cultures. The concentration of GDL was determined empirically to achieve similar fermentation time. Using a standard practice, all yogurts were not pasteurized post-fermentation except for one experiment. To prepare pasteurized yogurts, yogurts made with starter cultures or GDL was heated at 75°C for 15 min prior to adding L. acidophilus NCFM or SBT2062 at a concentration of 5% (v/v).

Except for the pasteurized yogurts and yogurts made for collecting filtrate (described in Sections 2.4 and 2.5), five strains of L. acidophilus were inoculated as separate samples immediately following the addition of starter culture(s) or GDL at a concentration of 5% (v/v), which was determined to be $10^6 \text{ CFU/mL}$ by standard plate count (SPC). The pH of the mixtures was monitored periodically during the incubation at 43°C. Incubation was ceased when pH reached 4.6, which typically took ~5 h. Samples were immediately transferred to 4°C (day 0) and stored for 28 days, the typical shelf life of commercial yogurts. Aliquots of each sample were removed from the refrigerator on days 0, 7, 14, 21 and 28, and were subjected to enumeration of L. acidophilus and pH measurement. The experiments were carried out in triplicate. Throughout the entire refrigerated storage period (days 0 to 28), the pH, ranging from 4.59 to 4.62, was consistent for all types of yogurt including the one made without starter cultures.

#### 2.3. Enumeration of L. acidophilus in yogurt

SPC, a conventional method to determine cell count, was used to quantify viable L. acidophilus cells. One gram of yogurt sample was diluted with 99 ml of sterile phosphate buffer saline (PBS), pH 7.2 (Hardy Diagnostics, Santa Maria, CA). Subsequent 10-fold serial dilutions were made with PBS, and 0.1 ml of the diluted samples was spread on MRS-maltose agar, a selective medium for L. acidophilus.
(IDF, 1995). This medium was tested empirically prior to the experiments and was clearly able to selectively cultivate the five L. acidophilus strains amidst the presence of the starter cultures. After anaerobic incubation at 37 °C for 48–72 h, CFU/g was calculated. Relative survival for each strain was determined by dividing the CFU/g on days 7, 14, 21 or 28 by the initial cell count on day 0, and then multiplied by 100. For all types of yogurts, the initial viable cell counts of L. acidophilus strains on day 0 ranged from 10^2 to 10^8 CFU/g.

2.4. Preparation of yogurt filtrate

To study the effect of secretory metabolic products produced from starter cultures on probiotics, the survival of L. acidophilus NCFM was determined in MRS broth containing yogurt filtrate. Yogurt filtrate was prepared similarly as described by Dave and Shah (1998). Equal volume (in our experiments, 100 mL) of sterile PBS, pH 7.2 (Hardy Diagnostics) was added into yogurts (100 g) made with starter cultures or GDL, but free of L. acidophilus. The diluted yogurt mixture was prefiltered through a Whatman #42 filter (Fisher Scientific) and then a cellulose acetate membrane with a pore size of 0.22 μm (Fisher Scientific). Various amounts of sterile filtrate collected from these two types of yogurts were added into 30-ml MRS broth containing overnight-grown cultures of L. acidophilus NCFM. Enumeration of L. acidophilus NCFM, being incubated at 4 °C, was performed for 15 days at 3-day intervals.

2.5. Determination of hydrogen peroxide content

An enzymatic method as described by Gilliland (1969) was used to measure the amount of H2O2 in yogurt on day 0. Owing to the high viscosity of yogurt, the measurement was made on diluted yogurt filtrate. To prepare the filtrate, 10 g of yogurt was adjusted to pH 4.5 with 0.1 N HCl. Two milliliters of 0.1 M acetate buffer was added, and the final volume was brought up with sterile dH2O to 20 mL. Five milliliters of filtrate was collected following filtration through a Whatman #42 filter (Fisher Scientific), and then transferred to a sterile glass tube containing 100 μL of 1% α-dianisidine and 1 mL of 0.01 mg/mL horseradish peroxidase (HRP) (Fisher Scientific). Sample blank was prepared by replacing HRP with dH2O. After 10 min of incubation at room temperature, the reaction was stopped with the addition of 200 μL of 4 N HCl. The absorbance of samples and sample blanks was measured at 400 nm, and H2O2 content (μg/mL) was calculated by comparing to a standard curve.

2.6. Statistical analysis

To analyze the differences of L. acidophilus survival among samples, two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted using the General Linear Model in Minitab software version 15.1 (State College, PA). All relative survival data were transformed using a based-10 logarithm (log relative survival), and a value of 0.00001 was added if values were zero. The log relative survival was the response variable, while the yogurt type and L. acidophilus strains were factors. Each replication was a block. Significant difference was determined with mean values comparison using Tukey test at 5% confidence (P<0.05). One-way ANOVA was used to analyze the survival of L. acidophilus in various amounts of yogurt filtrate, and the amount of H2O2 produced by different samples. Statistical significance was defined as P<0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Survival of L. acidophilus in the presence and absence of starter cultures

In the presence of both starter cultures, the survival of some L. acidophilus strains was negatively affected (Fig. 1). Among the five L. acidophilus strains, the best and worst survival strain was SBT2062 and NCFM, showing a mean log reduction of 0.05 and 2.8, respectively. L. acidophilus ATCC 700396 also showed poor survival and statistically not different from NCFM. Viable cell counts of both NCFM and ATCC 700396 decreased drastically beginning on day 14. Their final mean viable cell count on day 28 were 1.3×10^4 and 1.1×10^5 CFU/g, respectively. Statistical analysis showed that the relative survival of L. acidophilus NCFM was significantly lowered than that of SBT2062, LA-5, and PIM703 (P<0.05). On the other hand, when starter cultures were replaced with GDL, all strains exhibited good survival throughout the refrigerated storage period (Fig. 2) with only 0.81-log reduction for L. acidophilus NCFM.

3.2. L. acidophilus survival in the presence of individual starter culture species

To pinpoint if the negative impact was caused by a particular starter culture species, L. acidophilus SBT2062 and NCFM, representing the best and worst survival strains, were tested further in similar survival assays using yogurts made with either S. thermophilus alone or L. delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus alone (Fig. 3). The latter species had a stronger effect on L. acidophilus NCFM, which showed a mean 2.5-log reduction (4.0×10^2 to 1.3×10^5 CFU/g), compared to SBT2062 that
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- Only had 0.6-log reduction ($7.1 \times 10^7$ to $1.8 \times 10^7$ CFU/g). A marginal significant difference was observed at $P = 0.0569$. On the other hand, NCFM survived well in yogurts made with S. thermophilus alone (0.5-log reduction) while STB2062 had 100% survival. Comparing with the previous experiment, the antagonistic effect of yogurts made with both starter cultures on the survival of L. acidophilus NCFM appeared to be the summation of the antagonism exerted by the individual species.

3.3. Starter cultures metabolites and L. acidophilus survival

When known amounts of L. acidophilus NCFM and STB2062 were added to pasteurized yogurts made with starter cultures or GDL, both strains showed steady survival with only a maximum of 0.15-log reduction ($1.4 \times 10^7$ to $9.9 \times 10^6$ CFU/g) during a 15-day storage period for NCFM (Fig. 4). To investigate if these two strains were particularly susceptible to any thermolabile secretory metabolites that might have been produced by the starter cultures but were inactivated in the pasteurization step, a preliminary assay based on agar diffusion was conducted. No obvious inhibition zone around S. thermophilus or L. delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus against L. acidophilus NCFM and STB2062 was observed (data not shown). As we speculated this assay might not be sensitive enough, yogurt filtrate was tested in a subsequent experiment. As shown in Table 1, different ratios of filtrate collected from yogurts made with starter cultures or GDL were added into fresh MRS containing known amounts of L. acidophilus NCFM. Among the treatments, differences in cell count at various time points were not statistically significant. Nevertheless, an interesting trend emerged — after day 6, the survival of L. acidophilus NCFM was reduced to a greater extent in the presence of a higher concentration of yogurt filtrate made with starter cultures than with GDL.

The level of H$_2$O$_2$ in various types of yogurts was measured to probe its association with L. acidophilus survival. Yogurt prepared with L. delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus alone yielded seven- to nine-fold higher amounts of H$_2$O$_2$ than those prepared with S. thermophilus alone or with both species (Fig. 5). Levels of H$_2$O$_2$ in pre- and post-pasteurized reconstituted NFDM were relatively low.

4. Discussion

Utilizing food as a vehicle to deliver probiotics has been an interest to food scientists for decades due to their purported health-associated benefits to customers. Maintaining viable probiotic cell counts at high level by the end of the expiration date is required for most health benefits. Nevertheless, it has been a challenge since probiotics are subjected to various environmental stresses during food production and storage, thereby decreasing their numbers. The identification of factors negatively affecting the survival of probiotics may lead to the development of strain improvement strategy, food processing optimization, and evaluation of viability-promoting substances. This study aimed to address the effects of starter cultures on L. acidophilus and used a comparative approach to help understand the differential survival behavior observed between strains.

As with the intrinsic probiotic activities, the survival behavior of L. acidophilus in yogurts during refrigerated storage period also displayed significant strain-to-strain variation. Though the growth of all L. acidophilus strains examined was not apparently affected, the survival of some strains was clearly hampered in the presence of yogurt starter cultures. During our assessment on how starter cultures affected L. acidophilus viability, a treatment without starter cultures must be included for comparison. To eliminate pH as a confounding variable, commercially available GDL was added as an acidulant to yield the same pH value post-fermentation as the other yogurt types. GDL releases gluconic acid gradually at a rate comparable to organic acids produced by the starter cultures. It has a pKa of 3.6, proximate to that of lactic acid (pKa = 3.8) predominately produced by the starter cultures. All L. acidophilus strains exhibited good survival, suggesting

![Fig. 3.] Relative survival of L. acidophilus NCFM and STB2062 in yogurts made with individual starter culture species, S. thermophilus or L. delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus, during 28 days of storage period at 4 °C. Data shown are representatives of triplicate experiments. (NCFM in yogurt made with S. thermophilus □; with L. delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus ▲; STB2062 in yogurt made with S. thermophilus ▲; with L. delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus ▼).
that the low pH due to gluconic acid accumulation was not a critical factor influencing their viabilities. Gilliland and Speck (1977) also showed _L. acidophilus_ NCFM survived well at 5 °C for 14 days in 10% non-fat milk solid adjusted to pH 4.2 with lactic acid. In one of our experiments, yogurts made with _S. thermophilus_ or _L. delbrueckii_ ssp. _bulgaricus_ alone also did not produce significant effect on the survival of _L. acidophilus_ SBT2062, further suggesting that organic acids produced by starter cultures did not necessarily impair the survival of all probiotics.

Our findings appear to contradict some previous studies that reported low pH and organic acids accumulation were amongst the important factors contributing to the loss of cell viability of probiotics (Donkor et al., 2006; Kailsapathy et al., 2007; Vinderola et al., 2002). For instance, Kailsapathy et al. (2007) studied the survival of _L. acidophilus_ LAFTI® L10 in stirred fruit yogurts and suggested that the pH from the fruit preparation influenced the strain’s viability. Donkor et al. (2006) also concluded that the decrease in _L. acidophilus_ cell numbers in yogurts was the result of lactic and acetic acid accumulation. Interestingly, in this previous study, the level of organic acids was found to have a more pronounced effect on the strain’s variability than the pH value. Indeed, these organic acids have higher pKa values than the strong acids like hydrochloric acid (HCl) and thus greater proportions are in the undissociated form, facilitating them to transllocate across the cell membrane. For this reason, lactate, acetate and other volatile acids are commonly used as preservatives. This explanation is consistent with the findings of Azcarate-Peril et al. (2004) that showed _L. acidophilus_ NCK1398 drastically lost its viability in MRS broth acidified with lactic acid, but not with HCl.

This study, nonetheless, showed that our five _L. acidophilus_ strains demonstrated an effective pH homeostasis mechanism when exposed to organic acid accumulation due to GDL. As mentioned, _L. acidophilus_ SBT2062 was also able to resist other types of acid accumulation caused by _S. thermophilus_ and _L. delbrueckii_ ssp. _bulgaricus_. According to previous studies, _L. acidophilus_ possesses several mechanisms to counteract acid stress (Altermann et al., 2005; Azcarate-Peril et al., 2004; Lorca and de Valdez 2001a, 2001b). Some have high cytoplasmic buffering capacity (pH 3.72–7.73) that may increase their stability and allow them to resist wider changes in the intracellular pH (pHi) under acidic conditions (Rius et al., 1994). In the presence of organic acids, the F_{ATPase}–ATPase system helps maintain the pHi. The inducible pH homeostasis system, mediated by a proton-translocating ATPase as a mechanism to increase the pHi, might be an important mechanism in the success of _L. acidophilus_ such as NCFM to survive low pH environment (Kullen and Klaenhammer, 1999). Elucidated from sequence analyses followed by mutagenesis experiments, _L. acidophilus_ NCFM appears to also utilize amino acid decarboxylation as a means to increase pH, (Azcarate-Peril et al., 2004). Some _L. acidophilus_ strains have shown to mount an effective acid tolerance response in which the pre-exposure of cultures to a less severe pH stress such as pH 5.0–5.5 triggered a more effective mechanism to offset a more severe acid stress such as pH 3.0–3.5 (Azcarate-Peril et al., 2004; Lorca et al., 2002).

While low pH (4.6) and GDL accumulation had no effect on the survival of _L. acidophilus_ strains, some (especially NCFM) were strongly influenced by the presence of starter cultures. Experiments using pasteurized yogurts showed that killed starter cultures did not affect the survival of _L. acidophilus_ NCFM and SBT2062. Thus, the presence of viable starter cultures or their thermostable metabolites was likely a necessary factor. Based on this hypothesis, we had expected that the filtrate collected from yogurt made with GDL would not influence the survival of _L. acidophilus_ NCFM and SBT2062, while that collected from yogurts made with starter cultures would.

However, the survival of both strains was impacted by both types of yogurt filtrates, possibly due to the diluted nutrient in MRS. The concentration of the secretory metabolites, if present, may also be too low to produce a discernable difference. Though statistically insignificant, the trend suggested that at least one starter culture species secreted some antagonistic metabolites against _L. acidophilus_ NCFM.

Results from the experiments using yogurts made with individual starter culture species provided evidence that the presence of _L. delbrueckii_ ssp. _bulgaricus_ was more inhibitory to _L. acidophilus_. The differential impact of the individual starter culture species on _L. acidophilus_ SBT2062 and NCFM led us to speculate that the latter strain is a poor competitor when viable _L. delbrueckii_ ssp. _bulgaricus_ or its metabolites is present. Several groups also demonstrated the instability of _L. acidophilus_ in yogurts made with _L. delbrueckii_ ssp. _bulgaricus_ alone. Therefore, the exclusion of this species in yogurt production has been suggested to eliminate the antagonistic effect (Gilliland and Speck, 1977; Lourens-Hattingh and Viljoen, 2001).

While the main objective of this study was not sought to identify inhibitory metabolites, we believe further analyses might confirm previous findings and/or provide a new perspective. To this end, we chose to investigate the relationship between H_{2}O_{2} and _L. acidophilus_ viability. We did not test for the presence of bacteriocin based on the negative screening results according to the agar diffusion assay. We also did not focus on the packaging materials and the amount of dissolved oxygen in yogurt, which were suggested by some as important factors (Shah, 2000), as they were unlikely significant variables in this study in which the same type of container, and same processing and storage conditions were employed across all experiments.

Corroborating previous studies (Dave and Shah, 1997b; Lourens-Hattingh and Viljoen, 2001; Tamime et al., 2005), the presence of _L. delbrueckii_ ssp. _bulgaricus_ in yogurts yielded high level of H_{2}O_{2}. Dave and Shah (1997b) reported that yogurts prepared with _L. delbrueckii_ ssp. _bulgaricus, L. acidophilus_ and bifidobacteria had 6–6.5 μg/g of H_{2}O_{2} immediately post-fermentation compared to 2.5 μg/g for those prepared with _S. thermophilus_ in lieu of _L. delbrueckii_ ssp. _bulgaricus_. It is perceivable that _L. delbrueckii_ ssp. _bulgaricus_ generates H_{2}O_{2} primarily due to the action of NADH:H_{2}O_{2} oxidase (Marty-Teyssset et al., 2000; Sakamoto and Komagata, 1996), while the presence of _S. thermophilus_ helps decompose H_{2}O_{2} via NADH peroxidase (Smart and Thomas, 1987), an enzyme converting H_{2}O_{2} into water to regenerate NAD^{+}.

Without sufficient scavenging mechanism, the intracellular accumulation of H_{2}O_{2} and other toxic oxygenic metabolites such as superoxide anion and hydroxyl radical can eventually lead to microbial cell death (Talwalkar and Kailsapathy, 2003; Villegas and Gilliland, 1998). Gilliland and Speck (1977) studied the survival of _L.
5. Conclusion

To capitalize the potential health benefits of probiotic L. acidophilus, they must be delivered at a high dose through food vehicles such as yogurts. While many previous studies reported that acid accumulation negatively affected their survival during the refrigerated storage period, we demonstrated low pH and/or organic acid accumulation did not necessarily play a critical role. Between the two starter culture species, L. delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus exerted a greater detrimental effect on the survival of some L. acidophilus strains perhaps by producing inhibitory metabolites such as H₂O₂. As with their intrinsic probiotic activities, the viabilities of L. acidophilus in yogurts showed marked strain-to-strain variations. Comparing strains with varying survival behaviors may lead to the identification of new factors associated with better survival, development of processing/stORAGE strategies to enhance survival of probiotics, and subsequently more effective delivery of health benefits via fermented dairy products.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Rafael Jimenez and Anya Goodman of California Polytechnic State University for their valuable advice to this study. We also appreciate the California Dairy Research Foundation and Dairy Management Inc. for their continuing support.

References


