Background statement: The CSU Commission on Status of Women has been constituted as the Women’s Council of the State University. This campus has a representative to the newly constituted Council. The standing committee believes that this representative is a valuable source person and should be included in its ex officio membership.

AS-238-87/CBC

RESOLUTION ON CHANGE IN BYLAWS REGARDING THE MEMBERSHIP AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE STATUS OF WOMEN COMMITTEE

WHEREAS, The Women's Council of the State University has been constituted with a representative from this campus; and

WHEREAS, This representative will be a valuable link between the Council and the Status of Women Committee; therefore, be it

RESOLVED: That Section 13 of Article VII.I. be amended to read:

VII. Committees

13. Status of Women Committee
   a. Membership
      The ex officio members of the Status of Women Committee shall be the Student Affairs Officer or his/her designee, a part-time faculty member to be appointed by the Chair of the Academic Senate with approval of the Executive Committee, the campus representative to the Women’s Council of the State University, and one ASI representative.

   b. Responsibilities
      The Status of Women Committee shall address issues that concern women on campus. The committee shall be responsible for reviewing and acting on resolutions passed by the CSU Commission on the Status of Women Women’s Council of the State University.

Proposed By: Constitution and Bylaws Committee
January 6, 1987
This will acknowledge your January 20 memo with which you transmitted the resolution adopted by the Academic Senate on January 13 relative to changes in the membership and responsibilities of the Status of Women Committee. I have no problems with the membership and responsibilities as proposed, but feel that I should call to your attention the fact that the Women's Council of the State University is not an officially recognized organization of the CSU, that is, it does not have the same status as the Academic Senate, or other officially appointed system-wide committees and task forces. In discussing this matter with personnel in the Chancellor's Office, it is my understanding that it was the choice of the organization not to be constituted as an officially recognized CSU organization in order that it might have greater flexibility of its own operations. I raise this issue only in regard to whether or not the Academic Senate wishes to include within its specified membership of Academic Senate committees representatives of organizations that are not an official part of the CSU. I am not sure that the issue is a critical one, and if the Academic Senate does not have a concern with this, then as far as I am concerned, the recommendation as submitted is approved.