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WHEREAS, Student success is a guiding principle in policy decisions; and

WHEREAS, Class space is limited and courses fill quickly; and

WHEREAS, Students may encounter situations that impede their ability to pass a course; and

WHEREAS, Some courses are well-recognized as particularly challenging and regularly have a low pass rate; and

WHEREAS, Academic advising is an important way to identify and provide guidance for failing students; therefore be it

RESOLVED: Students may repeat up to 16 units for grade forgiveness in courses that a grade of D+, D, D-, F or WU was received; and be it further

RESOLVED: Any course is eligible for grade forgiveness one time only; and be it further

RESOLVED: Each quarter, advisors are encouraged to proactively contact and advise students who have received a failing grade in any course.

Proposed by: Academic Senate Instruction Committee
Date: February 28, 2006
Revised: March 28, 2006
BACKGROUND

Because of the new implementation of the PeopleSoft system, we now have a ripe opportunity to consider any changes to our existing repeat policies. Software programming changes are best made during this implementation phase, so if we are ever to consider visiting our policies, now is the time.

Furthermore, it is the sense of the Academic Senate Instruction Committee that there has been too little in the way of active advising of failing students. With this resolution, we have the opportunity to voice that concern with suggestions for improvement.

Current Policy (page 79, 2005-7 Catalog)

Undergraduate students may repeat a maximum of 20 units at Cal Poly for purposes of improving GPA. A course taken at Cal Poly or at another university or college in which a grade of D+ or less was received may be repeated at Cal Poly with the new grade recorded along with the prior grade. If the second grade is equal to or higher than the first, then the grade earned by repeated the course will replace the quality points, quality hours and earned hours which were previously earned. The original grade is "forgiven" from GPA computation, but both grades appear on the student's permanent record (transcript). With the exception of the reasons listed below, the repeat adjustment is made automatically at the end of the term in which the course is repeated. If a courses is re-taken with credit/no-credit grading, the original grade will not be excluded from the GPA.

A repeat petition is required for the following reasons only:

- The course was originally taken at Cal Poly before Fall 1987
- The course was originally taken at another institution
- The course has changed prefix or number
- The course was taken through Cal Poly Extended Education

Repeat petitions for the situations listed above must be turned into the Office of Academic Records by the end of the seventh week of the quarter in which the course is repeated.

If the student repeats a course in which a C- or higher grade was earned, both grades will be calculated in the grade point average, but the duplicate earned hours will not be counted toward the degree.
The following report summarizes the facts about numbers of students who "repeat" courses:

Source: PeopleSoft
Population: 15,389 undergraduates that are currently "active" (exit term greater than summer 2006)

11,157 students had no repeated units (72.5%)
3,750 students had at least one but less than 16 units repeated (24.4%)
   113 students repeated 16 units (0.7%)
   221 students repeated 17 to 19 units (1.4%)
   142 students repeated 20 units (0.9%)
       6 students had greater than 20 units repeated (less than 0.4%)

The average number of units repeated is 2.12

Please note that the population looked at does not match the spring quarter undergraduate headcount of 16,153 reported by IP&A since what was looked at was students with an active term greater than Summer 2006. However, this data does give a sense for the number of students who repeat courses.

April 13, 2006
WHEREAS, The Associated Students, Inc of Cal Poly (ASI) has expressed its concern regarding the rapid rise in textbook pricing by recently approving a resolution addressing this matter; and

WHEREAS, The Academic Senate of Cal Poly has supported the principles set forth in the ASI resolution; and

WHEREAS, The complexity of textbook pricing necessitates a comprehensive study of the issues and a search for solutions; and

WHEREAS, The Academic Senate of Cal Poly recognizes that the high cost of certain textbooks and coursepacks can adversely affect the affordability of higher education for its students; and

WHEREAS, The Academic Senate of Cal Poly and the University must protect the academic freedom of faculty in assigning textbooks and other course materials while recognizing the negative impact high textbook prices has on its students; therefore be it

RESOLVED: That the Academic Senate of Cal Poly encourage its faculty to consider the following steps in managing textbook costs to students:

1. Review prices for different textbooks and textbook packages before ordering;
2. Require new editions of continuing titles only when important changes have occurred in content;
3. Submit textbook requests as early as possible to ensure the availability of textbooks through campus and local bookstores;
4. Use coursepacks, e-reserves, and other cost mitigating formats whenever pedagogically sound and feasible;
Communicate clearly with publisher representatives regarding textbook pricing and options available;

and be it further

RESOLVED: That the Academic Senate of Cal Poly reaffirm the fundamental right and responsibility of faculty to maintain intellectual content and teaching effectiveness as prime considerations when selecting traditional textbooks, alternative formats, and ancillary items of instruction; and be it further

RESOLVED: That the Academic Senate of Cal Poly will work with Information Technology Services (ITS) and Associated Students Inc. (ASI) to look into the feasibility of developing a central publicly accessible website which will provide information about textbook requirements for Cal Poly courses; and be it further

RESOLVED: That the Academic Senate of Cal Poly send this resolution to all Cal Poly faculty.

Proposed by: Academic Senate Faculty Affairs Committee
Date: January 31, 2006
Revised: February 28, 2006
WHEREAS, Current textbook prices are of concern to faculty and students; and

WHEREAS, The Associated Students Incorporated (ASI) of Cal Poly has passed a resolution on textbook pricing; therefore be it

RESOLVED: That the Academic Senate of Cal Poly recognize the students' concerns contained in ASI Resolution #05-06 entitled "Support for Campus Leadership in Textbook Price Reduction" (attached); and be it further

RESOLVED: That the Academic Senate of Cal Poly endorse the principles contained in ASI Resolution 05-06; and be it further

RESOLVED: That the Academic Senate of Cal Poly urge department chairs and heads to promote timely submission of textbook orders by their faculty; and be it further

RESOLVED: That department chairs/heads and deans be encouraged to make teaching assignments as early as possible so faculty can order textbooks in a timely manner; and be it further

RESOLVED: That the Academic Senate of Cal Poly follow up on other aspects of textbook, and other textbook materials, pricing by holding meetings of the Faculty Affairs Committee with representatives of El Corral Bookstore, campus textbook authors, students, representatives of publishing companies, and other knowledgeable parties, with the intent of writing a further resolution on this issue; and be it further

RESOLVED: That copies of this resolution be sent to academic department chairs, department heads, and deans.

Proposed by: Academic Senate Executive Committee
Date: February 15, 2005
Revised: March 7, 2005
Revised: March 8, 2005
Whereas: ASI is the official voice of the Cal Poly student body, and

Whereas: According to a survey by the California Student Public Interest Research Group (CALPIRG) students spent an average of $898 per year on textbooks in the 2003-04 school year, or almost 20% of the cost of in-state fees; and

Whereas: According to the same survey a new textbook costs $102.44 on average, 58% more expensive than the price of an average used textbook, $64.80; and

Whereas: Notices are issued to every faculty member requesting which textbooks will be required the following quarter; and

Whereas: According to EI Corral Bookstore only 15-20% of faculty respond back to these notices before the deadline causing buyback prices to be severely reduced. and

Whereas: Textbooks often come with bundled supplemental course materials that significantly increase the overall cost of textbooks to students, and

Whereas: CALPIRG reported that 65% of faculty "rarely" or "never" use the bundled materials in their courses; and

Whereas: Faculty and EI Corral Bookstore have power to reduce the cost of textbooks to students at Cal Poly.

Therefore

Be it resolved: Faculty are encouraged to respond to textbook requisitions in a timely manner so the bookstore can buyback used books at a higher price and make the used editions available for purchase, and

Furthermore

Be it resolved: ASI encourages EI Corral Bookstore to use all means possible to educate students about available discounts options for purchasing textbooks, and

Furthermore

Be it resolved: ASI encourages EI Corral Bookstore to use all means possible to ensure that all students receive the fairest prices on new and used textbooks, and
Background: Open-ended research courses that represent the culminating experience for graduate students are either Project (usually 539, 596, or 598) or Thesis (599). Completing requirements for these courses often delays degree completion for graduate students. Under the present grading policy, RP grades (Report in Progress, formerly the "SP" grade) are valid for three years, after which they are automatically changed to NC grades. This policy is a problem for many students who are unaware of it and believe that the seven-year time limit is the only deadline they have for degree completion. When an RP grade is changed to NC, the student must re-register (2-9 units) in the course(s) in order to graduate. If the student no longer lives in California, she must register as an out-of-state student. This is a disincentive for these students to complete their degrees. In addition, changing an NC to a letter grade is extremely difficult, even when the faculty advisors request it.

Since there is no real reason for a more restrictive time limit, the proposed resolution would eliminate the three-year time limit for thesis/project completion and allow RP grades in those courses that represent the culminating experience in the program (the specific courses noted above, as well as similar culminating experience courses that may be developed) to remain valid throughout the existing Title V-mandated, seven-year time limit for degree completion.

WHEREAS, Current policy on grading in Project (539, 596, 598) and Thesis (599) courses sets a limit of three years on the length of time that RP (Report in Progress) grades may remain without being converted to a letter grade; and

WHEREAS, If the culminating experience (539, 596, 598) or thesis (599) has not been completed within the three-year period, the RP grade converts to an NC (No Credit) and the student must re-enroll in Project/Thesis classes in order to receive credit and a grade. In addition, the units with NC grades remain on the transcript; and

WHEREAS, Graduate students are required to complete all graduate work, including Project and Thesis work, within seven years, it is recommended that RP grades in 539, 596, 598 and 599 courses be valid for the seven-year time period. If a student
requests and is granted an extension of the seven-year limit, the RP grades in
those classes should remain valid until the new time limit is reached; therefore be
it

RESOLVED: That RP grades given in Project (539, 596, 598 and other numbers that may be
assigned) and Thesis (599) classes remain in effect for seven years; and be it
further

RESOLVED: That if a student requests and is granted an extension of the seven-year limit, the
RP grade in Project/Thesis classes shall remain valid until the new time limit is
reached.

RESOLVED: That this policy change be applied immediately and retroactively to all students at
any stage of progress toward a graduate degree.

Proposed by: Graduate Studies Committee
Date: March 29, 2006
Revised: April 18, 2006
Revised: May 8, 2006
WHEREAS, Until Fall 2006, class scheduling patterns are permitted for 4-unit classes to be taught in a MWF 70-minute pattern; and

WHEREAS, A MWF 70-minute time pattern effectively blocks two one-hour periods on students' schedules making it more difficult for students to schedule classes; and

WHEREAS, A MWF 70-minute time pattern may not be an efficient use of limited classroom resources; and

WHEREAS, Increasing enrollment figures will place increased demand on classroom resources; and

WHEREAS, Some faculty find the MWF 70-minute schedule attractive for pedagogical reasons; and

WHEREAS, Offering MWF 70-minute courses from 7:50-9:00am or after 6:00pm will not cause substantial student and classroom scheduling conflicts; therefore be it

RESOLVED: That the only time slots that will be made available for faculty wishing to teach on a MWF 70-minute pattern are from 7:50-9:00am or after 6:00pm ending by 10:00pm.

Proposed by: Academic Senate Instruction Committee
Date: April 12, 2006
Revised: April 18, 2006