There has been considerable recent interest in the existence and nature of the bound state which is thought to be formed in certain dilute alloys between localized magnetic impurity moments and conduction-electron spins below some critical temperature characteristic of the alloy. In their recent communication, Daybell and Steyert presented evidence based on low-temperature resistivity and susceptibility measurements for the formation of such a bound state consistent with some quenching of the localized moment associated with very dilute Fe in Cu. The results of Mössbauer experiments on dilute Fe in Cu in high external magnetic fields (42-136 kOe) are presented here as evidence for significant destruction of the bound state by magnetic fields for which \( J_0 \) \( \approx k T \) where \( k T \) is on the order of the energy change associated with the formation of the bound state. The fact that the Mössbauer hyperfine spectrum reflects the electronic environment within atomic dimensions of the \( ^{57}\)Fe nucleus enables us to make some conjectures as to the singlet nature of the bound state.

The hyperfine interaction in dilute Fe in Cu alloys has been reported by Kitchens, Steyert, and Taylor using the Mössbauer technique in external magnetic fields up to 62 kOe and at temperatures down to 0.4°K. They noted significant deviations from pure paramagnetic behavior and interpreted their results in terms of a model due to Housley and Dash, who introduced a phenomenological interaction between the localized Fe spin and conduction-electron spin-density waves. Measurements at higher fields \( (H_0 = 110 \text{ kOe}) \) were reported by Blum, Freeman, and Grodzins. In the ideal paramagnetic case the hyperfine field \( H_{hf} \) is proportional to a Brillouin function characterized by the parameters \( J, g \), and \( H_{sat} \):

\[
H_{hf} = H_{sat} B \left( g \mu_B H_0 / k T \right),
\]

where \( J \) is the total angular momentum associated with the paramagnetic moment, \( g \) is the \( g \) factor, and \( H_{sat} \) is the magnitude of the hyperfine field for sufficiently large values of \( H_0 / T \). The sign of \( H_{hf} \) for Fe in Cu is negative, i.e., opposite to the direction of the magnetic moment. In the simplest cases the value of \( H_{sat} \) has been shown to be proportional to the magnitude of the moment localized on the impurity site and does not change as a function of applied magnetic field, neglecting small Knight-shift contributions. In the Fe-Cu system, however, for the fields and lowest temperatures used \( (42 \leq H_0 \leq 136 \text{ kOe} ; \ T \approx 1.1°K) \), we find that although the effective localized moments are fully polarized in the sense that decreasing \( T \) does not change the value of the observed hyperfine field, the magnitude of the saturation hyperfine field \( |H_{sat}| \) does depend upon the magnitude of the externally applied field, and, as shown in Figs. 1 and 2, increases monotonically as \( H_0 \) increases. The experiments were performed using several sources of \( ^{57}\)Co plated onto pure (99.999 %) copper foil, annealed in hydrogen at 850°C for several hours and quenched to room temperature. The experimental results are essentially independent of the source used. Source strengths varied from 10 to 100 mCi and the impurity concentration (Fe + Co) is estimated from 100 to 1000 ppm for the various sources.

In view of the recent resistivity and susceptibility results, our Mössbauer data may be explained on the basis of a spin-compensated state appearing at low temperatures and fields...
The saturation hyperfine field for various values of $H_0$ plotted as a function of $H_0$. The solid curve is a linear extrapolation of the data to the theoretical full-moment hyperfine field (see text). The triangles are data points taken from Kitchens et al. (Refs. 11 and 18).

if we assume that both the saturation field and the Zeeman splitting of the electronic spin levels are proportional to the effective moment. Thus $f(H_0, 0)$ is equal to $H_{\text{sat}}(H_0)/80\text{ kOe}$ and is shown in Fig. 2. If we simply linearly extrapolate $f(H_0, 0)$ to 1, we obtain an intersection at $H_0 = 235\text{ kOe}$ which should be on the order of the critical field $H_K$ necessary to destroy the bound state.\cite{16,17}

In addition to the field dependence of the effective moment we can obtain the temperature dependence of $f(H_0, T)$ by fitting the experimentally measured hyperfine field to Eq. (2). The $f(H_0, T)$ thus obtained is a monotonically increasing function of $H_0$ and $T$ and approaches unity whenever the values of $H_0$ and $T$ are such as to preclude the existence of the bound state. However, a sharp transition field or temperature is not expected, because the bound state forms gradually over a wide temperature range about $T_K$.\cite{1}

A significant advantage of the Mössbauer technique is that it represents a microscopic measurement on an atomic scale and directly measures changes in spin polarization within atomic dimensions of the Fe nucleus. This is of importance because the dimension of the bound state has been suggested to be on the order of $\frac{\hbar}{kT_K}$, which for Fe in Cu is approximately $10^{-4}\text{ cm}$. If the bound state consisted simply of an impurity spin surrounded by a polarized cloud of conduction electrons of opposite spin leading to a net compensation
of the impurity moment, then the Mössbauer experiment would have measured very little field dependence of the saturation field for values of \( H_0/T \) such that \( f(H_0, T) g = B H_0/k T > 1 \). That is, the factor \( f(H_0, T) \) would not appear multiplying \( H_{\text{sat}} \) in Eq. (2). The field dependence of the saturation hyperfine field represents the partial breaking up the bound state and the response of the \( ^{57}\text{Fe} \) nucleus to the effective moment. Although a small intercept is obtained with a linear extrapolation of \( f(H_0, 0) \) to \( H_0 = 0 \), our data do show some curvature with applied field and it seems reasonable that the \( H_0 = 0 \) intercept may be smaller. Mössbauer experiments in this field region are difficult because \( H_{\text{sat}}(H_0) \) is almost equal to \( H_0 \) so that the net field at the nucleus is small and the splitting is of the order of the linewidth. The lower \( T \) and \( H_0 \) measurements of Kitchens et al. indicate that \( H_{\text{sat}}(H_0) \) extrapolates to 0 at \( H_0 = 0 \) (see Fig. 2). If \( H_{\text{sat}}(0) = 0 \), then one might conclude that the bound state is a singlet. Thus the Mössbauer experiments are consistent with the formation of a bound state in the dilute Fe-Cu alloy system resulting in a significant quenching of the impurity moment below \( T_K \). We have observed that the bound state can be strongly perturbed upon the application of a sufficiently high magnetic field. It is important to note that because the various theories predict similar behavior of the resistivity as a function of \( T \), it would be useful to compare these theories as to their predictions for the magnetic behavior of dilute magnetic impurities in metals.
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