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Abstract

Poly(lactic acid) (PLLA) and metal-organic framework (MOF) mixed-matrix membranes were prepared by melt extrusion of PLLA with 5% (w/w) of either activated or water-saturated Cu3BTC2 (Cu3(C9H3O6)2(H2O)3-xH2O, HKUST-1). The morphology and the stability of injection-molded samples were evaluated using thermogravimetric analysis, differential scanning calorimetry, gel permeation chromatography, X-ray diffraction (XRD) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The presence of activated and saturated MOF crystals increased the cold crystallization onset temperature as compared to neat PLLA. This can be attributed to the MOF crystals incorporated in the PLLA matrix, which decreased the mobility of PLLA and thus impeded the crystallization process. According to the XRD results, the activated MOF crystals were successfully incorporated into the PLLA matrix without altering the crystal structure of the MOF. Moreover, the findings from permeability and tensile tests as well as SEM imaging indicated good interfacial interactions between PLLA and activated MOF. However, during melt extrusion of PLLA with saturated MOF, water molecules from the saturated MOF altered the MOF crystal structure and contributed to the degradation of the PLLA polymer by reducing its molecular weight by around 21%.

INTRODUCTION

During the last couple of decades, growing environmental concerns along with limited petroleum resources and technological improvements have provided impetus to the development of new bio-based, biodegradable and compostable polymers such as poly(lactic acid) (PLA). Due to technological advancements, PLA has become one of the most remarkable commercial polymers in this category, particularly for medical and packaging applications.1,2

PLA is generally synthesized by ring-opening polymerization of lactide monomers which are linked together in one of three isomeric forms: D,D-lactide, L,L-lactide and D,L-lactide. The final properties of the PLA, such as thermal, mechanical and barrier properties, are significantly affected by the isomeric composition of the resin. Although PLA has many appealing properties such as transparency, low processing temperature and compostability, it is brittle and has low heat deflection temperature which limits the scope of its application. Consequently, researchers have attempted to use different methodologies including plasticization, chemical modification, composite fabrication and blending to reduce PLA’s inherent brittle nature and improve its heat deflection temperature and gas and water vapor barrier properties among others.3,6

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) are a class of crystalline materials with high porosity, which are composed of metal ions (Cu2+, Cu2+, Ag+, Zn2+, Co2+, K+, etc.) linked together by organic bridging ligands forming single- or multi-dimensional structures.7 They exhibit high thermal and mechanical stability.11 Perhaps the most attractive properties of MOFs are their ultrahigh surface areas, which are greater than those of porous carbons or zeolites and may exceed at times 5900 m2 g-1, large pore sizes and high micro-pore volume. The porosity and large surface area of these materials along with their varied chemical compositions render them candidates for high-capacity adsorption of various gases and molecules.10 The structure of MOFs allows guest molecules to diffuse into the bulk structure while the size, shape and affinity of these pores provide selectivity among the guests, which can be incorporated into them. There is a plethora of literature investigating the various applications of MOFs in the fields of catalysis,14 gas purification and separation,15 hydrogen storage13 and efficient controlled drug delivery.14

One of the commercially available MOFs is Cu3BTC2 (Cu3(C9H3O6)2(H2O)3, also known as HKUST-1). Cu3BTC2 is characterized by a face centered cubic (FCC) cell as the repeating unit.15 The Cu2+ dimer coordinated with four oxygen atoms of benzene tricarboxylate makes a paddle wheel which constitutes the building block of HKUST-1, whose structure is represented in Fig. 1. The water molecules axially coordinated to the two exchangeable copper sites can be removed by heat activation under vacuum yielding a Lewis acid site with catalytic properties.16
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Interest in improving the functionality of polymer-based membranes has led researchers to develop new mixed-matrix membranes (MMMs) by integrating inorganic, organic and hybrid particles such as zeolites, MOFs, carbon and carbon nanotubes, among other sieving materials, within organic matrices. The thermal and mechanical stabilities are key factors in the development of such systems. For this reason, the aim of the study reported here was to understand the effect of the adsorbed water present in CuBTC MOF on the morphology and stability of MMMs based on poly (L-lactic acid) (PLLA)-CuBTC, prepared by melt extrusion followed by injection molding.

METHODOLOGY

Materials
PLLA resin grade 4043 D, 98% L-lactide, with weight-average molecular weight (Mw) of 111 kg mol⁻¹, 84 kg mol⁻¹ and 1.3, respectively, was procured from NatureWorks LLC (Minnetonka, MN, USA). Trimesic acid (TMA) or benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxylic acid (95 wt% pure) and Basolite™ C300 MOF (CuBTC) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). The BET surface area of CuBTC MOF as reported by was previously measured as 1566 m² g⁻¹. The particle size of CuBTC averages 15 μm measured using a JEOL JSM 6400 SEM instrument (Japan Electron Optics Laboratories Ltd, Tokyo, Japan) with an accelerating voltage of 12 kV and 39 mm working distance (Fig. 2). The detailed methodology for SEM is provided below.

Sample preparation
Prior to processing and extrusion, the PLLA resin was dried at 80 °C for 4 h. Activated CuBTC MOF - referred to here as ‘activated MOF’ - was activated for 24 h at 200 °C using a vacuum oven. In contrast, saturated CuBTC MOF - referred to here as ‘saturated MOF’ - was obtained by conditioning at 23 °C and 50% relative humidity (RH) for four days to equilibrate and reach water storage capacity. This time period was predetermined to be sufficient for the MOF to saturate and stabilize.

MMMs (PLLA + 5 wt% MOF) were extruded using a vertical co-rotating twin-screw micro-compounder (DSM Research, Geleen, The Netherlands) followed by injection molding. The extruder was equipped with two 150mm screw and L/D ratio of 18. The volume of the barrel was approximately 15 cm³. The extruded compositions were transferred into a transferring cylinder and injected into a mini-injection molder (DSM Research). The temperature profile for the extrusion process from the top to bottom zone was set at 190 °C with an extrusion cycle time of 5 min. The pressure for injection molding was ca 1 MPa (140 psi) while the transfer cylinder and mold temperatures were set at 195 and 65 °C, respectively. Dog-bone tensile bars and XRD discs of PLLA and PLLA-5% activated MOF (PLLA-A-MOF) and PLLA-5% saturated MOF (PLLA-S-MOF) composites were prepared and stored in a desiccator over a desiccant (Drierite®) at room temperature (ca 23 °C). A MOF content of 5 wt% was previously determined as enough to produce a meaningful change in the final thermal, mechanical and barrier properties of the MMMs.

Characterization
Thermogravimetric analysis
The thermal stability of CuBTC MOF, PLLA and PLLA-MOF composites was examined using TGA (model 2950,TA Instruments, New Castle, DE, USA). TGA was also used to determine the initial water content in the CuBTC MOF. Approximately 5 mg of sample was heated from 23 to 700 °C at a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹. The TGA data were analyzed using Universal Analysis software version 2000 (TA Instruments). Sample runs were carried out in triplicate.

X-ray diffraction
XRD data were collected for the injection-molded XRD discs of PLLA, PLLA-A-MOF and PLLA-S-MOF stored at 23 °C in a desiccator.
as well as for activated and saturated Cu-BTC, MOF powder using a Bruker D8 advance X-ray diffractometer (Bruker AXS GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany) at 40 kV, 40 mA (1600 W) using Cu Kα radiation ($\lambda = 1.5418 \text{ Å}$), with a 1.2 mm primary beam slit and 2.0 mm detector slit. The X-ray scans were carried out at a rate of $0.02^\circ \text{s}^{-1}$. Data were collected in triplicate.

Differential scanning calorimetry

DSC analysis was performed using a DSC Q100 from TA Instruments. The samples were equilibrated to 0 °C followed by heat/cool/heat cycles from 0 to 180 °C at a rate of 10 °Cmin$^{-1}$. The melting temperature ($T_m$) and associated heat enthalpy ($\Delta H_m$) were computed from the first heating cycle. In contrast, the glass transition temperature ($T_g$), cold crystallization onset temperature ($T_{cc}$), cold crystallization peak temperature ($T_{cc}$) and enthalphy of cold crystallization ($\Delta H_{cc}$) were calculated from the second heat cycle to eliminate any thermal history caused by processing or storage. DSC data were obtained in triplicate and were analyzed using Universal Analysis software version 2000.

The percentage crystallinity of the samples was determined using

$$X_c(\%) = \frac{\Delta H_m - \Delta H_g}{\Delta H_m (1 - \alpha)} \times 100 \tag{1}$$

where $\Delta H_m$ is the enthalpy of fusion; $\Delta H_g$ is the enthalpy of cold crystallization; $\Delta H_{cc}$, 93.1 J g$^{-1}$, is the enthalpy of fusion of pure crystalline PLA; and $\alpha$ is the mass fraction of the MOF in the MMM.

Tensile tests

Tensile properties were evaluated according to ASTM D638-10 using a universal tensile machine (model UTS SFM 20, United Calibration Corporation, Huntington Beach, CA, USA). The machine was equipped with a laser extensometer. Injection-molded dogbone samples with a gauge length of 25.4 mm were stored at room temperature in a desiccator over desiccant (Drierite®) for 40 h prior to testing. The samples were then tested at room temperature (ca. 23 °C) using a 453 kg load cell at 0.023 kg of preload. PLLA and PLLA-MOF composites were tested at a crosshead speed of 50.8 mm min$^{-1}$ (2 in min$^{-1}$), whereas PLLA and PLLA-TCM composites were tested at a crosshead speed of 2.54 mm min$^{-1}$ (0.1 in min$^{-1}$) (discussed in more detail in a later section). At least five replicates were tested for each type of sample.

Weight-average molecular weight

In order to examine the effect of processing conditions and water content of the Cu-BTC, MOF on the molecular weight of the PLLA matrix, $M_m$ and $M_w$ of the PLLA resin, extruded PLLA resin, PLLA-A-MOF and PLLA-S-MOF were determined using a gel permeation chromatography (GPC) instrument (Waters Inc., Milford, MA, USA). A flow rate of 1 mLmin$^{-1}$ and runtime of 45 min at 35 °C were used. The instrument was calibrated with polystyrene standard materials with a molecular weight ranging from 2.9 x 10$^3$ to 3.64 x 10$^7$ gmol$^{-1}$ using a third-order polynomial equation. The Mark-Houwink corrected constant $K = 0.000174$ mLg$^{-1}$ and $a = 0.736$ for dilute PLLA solution in tetrahydrofuran (THF) were used.$^{17}$ The instrument was equipped with a Waters 1515 isocratic pump, a Waters 717 autosampler, a series of Waters Styragel columns (HR4, HR3 and HR2) and a Waters 2414 refractive index detector. Approximately 20 mg of specimen was dissolved in 10 mL of HPLC-grade THF with 99.99% purity (Pharmaco-Aaper, Brookfield, CT, USA) in order to determine $M_m$, $M_w$ and polydispersity index. The solution was then filtered with a 0.45 μm filter.

Scanning electron microscopy

A JEOL JSM 6400 SEM instrument equipped with a lanthanum hexaboride (LaB$_6$) electron gun was utilized to study the surface topography of PLLA, Cu-BTC, MOF, PLLA-A-MOF and PLLA-S-MOF. The samples were sputter-coated with gold (10 nm) using an Emscope SC 500 (Emscope Laboratories Ltd, Ashford, UK). SEM photomicrographs were acquired at an accelerating voltage of 12 kV.

CO$_2$ and O$_2$ permeability coefficients

Films used for permeability studies were prepared using a PHI 30 ton compression molding machine (City of Industry, CA, USA) with a plate size of 30 cm x 30 cm. Injection-molded samples prepared using the twin-screw DSM micro-compounding instrument were compressed at 170 °C and ca. 1.0 MPa for 5 min. The CO$_2$ and O$_2$ permeation rates were measured using a Permatran™ C 4/41 and an Oxtran™ 2/21 (MOCON Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA), respectively, at 23 °C and 0%RH, with 100% permeant gas between aluminium masks with areas of 3.14 and 5 cm$^2$. The permeability coefficients of CO$_2$ and O$_2$ were calculated using

$$\text{Permeability} = \frac{\text{gas transmission rate} \times (1/\Delta P) \times t}{A} \tag{2}$$

where $\Delta P$ is the pressure in permament partial pressure across the films expressed in Pa and $t$ is the film thickness.

Data analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SAS 9.0 software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Tukey’s HSD (honestly significant differences) tests were used to determine significance at 95% confidence ($\alpha = 0.05$).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Thermogravimetric analysis

The TGA thermographs for activated MOF, saturated MOF, PLLA, PLLA-A-MOF and PLLA-S-MOF are shown in Fig. 3. According to the obtained results, the saturated MOF which was stored at 23 °C and 50% RH for four days adsorbs around 36% (w/w) moisture while the activated MOF adsorbs 5% (w/w) moisture mostly attributed to water adsorbed during sample handling. Schlichte et al.$^{18}$ obtained similar results for HKUST-1 dried in a vacuum oven at 100 °C and rehydrated in both air and moist argon stream as they reported 27 and 36.4% (w/w) moisture, respectively.

Figure 3 also shows that the organic component of the MOF, benzene tricarboxylate, starts degrading at around 325 °C. The thermal degradation onset of the polymer matrix (PLLA) and the MMM (PLLA-A-MOF and PLLA-S-MOF) starts at around 322 °C. A slight difference can be observed in the final decomposition temperature ($T_d$) for PLLA, PLLA-S-MOF and PLLA-A-MOF: 385, 410 and 500 °C, respectively. The final plateau for PLLA-A-MOF and PLLA-S-MOF can be mainly attributed to the copper present in the MOF.

Huang et al.$^{19}$ studied the thermal stability of Cu-BTC, MOF using ReaxFF reactive molecular dynamics. They reported good thermal stability of the MOF structure for temperatures up to 300 °C while observing structural collapse at temperatures above 327 °C. The simulation from 327 to 427 °C indicated that the MOF retained its molecular formula but lost the microporous nature of the material as further heating released CO$_2$ and CO.
X-ray diffraction

XRD patterns of activated MOF and saturated MOF are shown in Figs 4(a) and (b), respectively, matching previously reported XRD patterns.\textsuperscript{28,30,31} The intensities of various planes are given in Table 1. The data presented in this study confirm the observations previously reported by Schlichte et al.\textsuperscript{28} regarding the intensity ratios $I_{200}/I_{220}$ and $I_{331}/I_{420}$ which are significantly higher for activated MOF than for saturated MOF as observed. The crystals retain all the planes of the FCC crystal structure. The changes in the intensity ratio of planes (200), (400) and (441) are due to the adsorption of water by the Cu\textsubscript{3}BTC\textsubscript{2} MOF crystals.\textsuperscript{28} Figures 5(a)-(c) show the diffractograms of PLLA-A-MOF, PLLA-S-MOF and PLLA XRD discs, respectively. The crystalline peak observed for PLLA (Fig. 5(c)) at 2$\theta$ = 16.4° corresponds to $\alpha$-PLLA crystals with a broader background hump, suggesting primarily amorphous nature of the polymer.\textsuperscript{32-34} The high amorphous content of the injection-molded PLLA, PLLA-A-MOF and PLLA-S-MOF composites can be ascribed to the slow crystallization kinetics of PLLA.\textsuperscript{35} This observation is further endorsed by the DSC analysis discussed in the next subsection. The XRD pattern of injection-molded PLLA-A-MOF disc is shown in Fig. 5(a). PLLA-A-MOF shows similar peaks with minor changes in the intensity ratios calculated from the area under the curve at the same planes as observed for the Cu\textsubscript{3}BTC\textsubscript{2} MOF presented in Table 2. The extensive change in the intensity ratio of plane (200) in the case of activated MOF and PLLA-A-MOF may be related to the possible hydration of MOF during sample handling after MMM fabrication.

The d-spacing, peak intensity and area under the peak for various planes of PLLA-S-MOF are presented in Table 2. It can be observed that peaks (111) and (200) which are present in the saturated MOF pattern are absent in the PLLA-S-MOF pattern and the peak intensities of other planes such as (220) and (222) are also markedly reduced. The absence of these peaks in the PLLA-S-MOF diffraction pattern indicates that saturated Cu\textsubscript{3}BTC\textsubscript{2} MOF loses the FCC crystal structure after extrusion. The loss of FCC crystal structure of the MOF during compounding of the composites can be attributed to the exposure to both moisture and temperature during extrusion. Prestipino et al.\textsuperscript{36} utilized a combination of extended X-ray absorption fine structure, X-ray absorption near edge structure, UV-visible and infrared spectral techniques and reported that the water sorption properties of HKUST-1 are provided by 'an open framework with an intersecting 3D-channel system - composed by dimeric cupric tetracarboxylate'. The tetracarboxylate and the benzene rings in the MOF (Fig. 1(b)) are responsible for the sorption properties. Prestipino et al.\textsuperscript{36} also reported that the adsorption properties of HKUST-1 are not only given by unspecific van der Waals interactions, but also by specific Coulomb-type electrostatic interactions. They demonstrated that, upon dehydration at 453 K under vacuum, the first coordination sphere of Cu\textsuperscript{2+} sites is significantly altered. The shortening of the Cu-Cu distance and the distortion of the Cu-O bonds produce coordinately unsaturated Cu\textsuperscript{2+} dimer sites overlooking the cages. Kusgens et al.\textsuperscript{27} also studied the water stability of Cu\textsubscript{3}BTC\textsubscript{2} (HKUST-1) MOF at elevated temperatures by dipping the MOF particles into deionized water at 50°C for 24 h. After filtering and

![Figure 3. TGA thermograms of PLLA, PLLA-A-MOF, PLLA-S-MOF, saturated and activated MOF before extrusion.](image-url)

![Figure 4. XRD patterns of (a) activated MOF and (b) saturated MOF.](image-url)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plane 20 (°)</th>
<th>d-spacing (Å)</th>
<th>Activated MOF</th>
<th>Saturated MOF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(111)</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(200)</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>97.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(220)</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>52.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(222)</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(400)</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(331)</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(420)</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(422)</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(333)</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(440)</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
drying the MOF at room temperature they performed XRD studies and evaluated the surface area demonstrating the presence of irreversible changes in the MOF structure along with a reduction in BET surface area from 1340 to 647 m$^2$g$^{-1}$.

Mustafa et al. studied the stability of Cu$_3$BTC$_2$ MOF under steam conditions. They observed changes in the MOF structure starting at 70 °C, with the formation of unidentified low crystalline material at 120 °C. The Cu$_3$BTC$_2$ MOF transformed completely to an irreversible form, [Cu$_2$OH(BTC)(H$_2$O)]$_n$·2nH$_2$O, at 150 °C. The alterations in the crystal structure during processing attributed to the presence of adsorbed water in the saturated Cu$_3$BTC$_2$ MOF embedded in the PLLA may lead to permanent changes in the pore size and sorption capacities of the final MMMs.

**Differential scanning calorimetry**

Figures 6(a) and (b) show thermograms of injection-molded PLLA, PLLA-A-MOF and PLLA-S-MOF obtained during the first and second heating cycles, respectively. A summary of the glass transition, crystallization and melting enthalpies and temperatures is given in Table 3. The average percentage crystallinities of PLLA, PLLA-A-MOF and PLLA-S-MOF obtained from the second heating cycle are 2.0, 1.0 and 0.6%, respectively, suggesting the amorphous nature of PLLA, PLLA-A-MOF and PLLA-S-MOF composites and complementing the observations from the XRD spectra. The mass fraction percentage crystallinity of PLLA and PLLA-A-MOF as calculated from the XRD patterns are 2.8 and 0.6%, respectively. The presence of activated MOF particles slightly reduces the crystallinity of the MMMs when compared to neat PLLA.

The addition of 5 wt% activated MOF and saturated MOF increases $T_{co}$ and $T_{cc}$. For PLLA-A-MOF, $T_{co}$ increases from 103.8 to 113.8 °C and $T_{cc}$ from 119.1 to 129.8 °C; for PLLA-S-MOF, $T_{co}$ increases from 103.8 to 109.8 °C and $T_{cc}$ from 119.1 to 123.7 °C.
It is also observed that the enthalpy of crystallization ($\Delta H_m$) of PLLA-A-MOF decreases from 19.6 to 3.6 J g$^{-1}$ indicating a decrease in PLLA mobility and thus a decrease in the ability of the PLLA matrix to crystallize in the presence of 5 wt% activated MOF. No crystallization peak is observed in the cooling cycle at a cooling rate of 10 °C min$^{-1}$ for any of the materials (Fig. 6(b)).

Table 3. Detailed DSC analysis data for PLLA and its composites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$T_c$ (°C)</th>
<th>$T_m$ (°C)</th>
<th>$T_T$ (°C)</th>
<th>$\Delta H_m$ (J g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$T_{co}$ (°C)</th>
<th>$T_{mi}$ (°C)</th>
<th>$\Delta H_{m}$ (J g$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLLA</td>
<td>60.8 ± 0.1$^a$</td>
<td>103.8 ± 0.2$^a$</td>
<td>119.1 ± 0.1$^a$</td>
<td>19.6 ± 0.9$^a$</td>
<td>143.3 ± 0.1$^a$</td>
<td>147.9 ± 0.2$^a$</td>
<td>21.9 ± 0.5$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLLA-A-MOF</td>
<td>60.9 ± 0.0$^a$</td>
<td>113.8 ± 0.2$^a$</td>
<td>129.8 ± 0.4$^a$</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.1$^a$</td>
<td>145.5 ± 0.1$^a$</td>
<td>150.8 ± 0.1$^a$</td>
<td>6.9 ± 0.3$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLLA-S-MOF</td>
<td>59.8 ± 0.4$^a$</td>
<td>109.8 ± 0.6$^a$</td>
<td>123.7 ± 1.5$^a$</td>
<td>25.0 ± 0.9$^a$</td>
<td>145.0 ± 0.3$^a$</td>
<td>149.2 ± 0.4$^a$</td>
<td>27.2 ± 2.1$^c$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Values in the same column with the same superscript upper-case letters are not statistically significantly different at $\alpha = 0.05$.

Table 6. Molecular weight of PLLA, PLLA-A-MOF and PLLA-S-MOF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>$M_w$ (kg mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$M_m$ (kg mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$M_w/M_m$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLLA</td>
<td>83.8 ± 7.8$^a$</td>
<td>67.6 ± 4.5$^a$</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.1$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLLA-A-MOF</td>
<td>110.8 ± 3.5$^a$</td>
<td>98.6 ± 3.2$^a$</td>
<td>1.12 ± 0.1$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLLA-S-MOF</td>
<td>131.8 ± 4.0$^a$</td>
<td>105.0 ± 2.0$^a$</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.1$^a$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Values in the same column with the same superscript upper-case letters are not statistically significantly different at $\alpha = 0.05$.

Table 7. CO$_2$ and O$_2$ permeability coefficients of PLLA, PLLA-A-MOF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>CO$_2$ (x 10$^{-11}$ kg m m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Pa$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>O$_2$ (x 10$^{-11}$ kg m m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Pa$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLLA</td>
<td>45.5 ± 2.7$^a$</td>
<td>6.00 ± 0.40$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLLA-A-MOF</td>
<td>49.9 ± 4.0$^a$</td>
<td>6.59 ± 0.84$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLLA-S-MOF</td>
<td>65.0 ± 21.1$^a$</td>
<td>6.07 ± 7.53$^a$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Values in the same column with the same superscript upper-case letters are not statistically significantly different at $\alpha = 0.05$.

### Tensile tests

The tensile strength of MMMs depends on various factors such as interfacial interactions, aspect ratio, dispersion of filler and thermal stability of filler. Fillers can also influence the crystallinity of the matrix, leading to a change in the various properties including strength, elongation, permeability, etc. PLLA is known for high tensile strength and poor flexibility. Elangovan et al. studied the surface energy, interfacial tension and spreading coefficient of PLLA and HKUST-1 MOF using contact angle measurements. Contact angles of PLLA and HKUST-1 measured using ethylene glycol were 57.1° and 53.3°, respectively, whereas those of PLLA and HKUST-1 obtained using methylene iodide were 37.6° and 45.4°, respectively. Those authors utilized geometric and harmonic means and obtained low interfacial tension and high work adhesion indicating good compatibility between the MOF and the PLLA. They also observed improved flexibility of PLLA with the addition of more than 1 wt% MOF.

Stress-strain plots of PLLA, PLLA-A-MOF and PLLA-S-MOF are presented in Fig. 7. The corresponding tensile strength, elongation at break and modulus of elasticity values are presented in Table 4. The average tensile strength and elongation at break of injection-molded neat PLLA are 76.9 MPa and 3.6%, respectively. Bhardwaj and Mohanty studied the modification of PLA with...
Figure 9. SEM image of PLA-A-MOF fractured surface at 12 kV and 39 mm working distance.

Hyperbranched polymers; they reported that PLA has a tensile strength 76.5 MPa and elongation of 5.1%. The elongation of PLLA-A-MOF and PLLA-S-MOF is 16.9 and 9.14%, respectively. The improvement in the elongation of PLLA-A-MOF as compared to neat PLLA can be attributed to the good interfacial interaction between the activated MOF particles and PLLA matrix, as observed from SEM images. PLLA-S-MOF has a 300% improvement in elongation over neat PLLA. The elongation of PLLA-S-MOF is less than that of PLLA-A-MOF. The presence of water in the MOF might affect the interfacial interactions between MOF and PLLA. The tensile strength of PLLA-A-MOF decreases by around 23% as compared to neat PLLA. We observe a 13% reduction in the tensile strength of PLLA-S-MOF as compared to neat PLLA. The exact mechanism of the improved toughness of PLLA-A-MOF and PLLA-S-MOF composites is not fully understood. Benzene-based carboxylic acid and other small-molecule polyhydric carboxylic acid ester-based plasticizers have been used as plasticizers.41,42 Wang et al.43 reported the co-polycondensation of lactic acid with TMA and noted a decrease in $T_g$ of the copolymer. In order to further explore this behavior, PLLA samples with 1, 2.5 and 5 wt% TMA added, the basic constitutional unit of MOF, were produced to isolate the possible plasticizing effect of TMA due to any possible release of benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxylate (organic linker) to PLLA after detachment from MOF during processing. Table 5 shows that melt extrusion of PLLA with TMA does not substantially increase the elongation at break for PLLA samples with 1, 2.5 and 5 wt% TMA added. Therefore, the elongation at break improvement can be mainly attributed to the MOF particles.

Gel permeation chromatography
$M_w$, $M_n$ and $M_w/M_n$ of the PLLA resin, extruded PLLA, PLLA-A-MOF and PLLA-S-MOF are given in Table 6. The $M_w$ values of PLLA resin, extruded PLLA, PLLA-A-MOF and PLLA-S-MOF are 110,105, 98.6 and 83.5 kg mol$^{-1}$, respectively. The 6% decrease in $M_w$ of PLLA-A-MOF as compared to extruded PLLA can be associated with oxidative degradation. However, this $M_w$ reduction cannot contribute to a 470% improvement in the elongation.44 Hydrolytic degradation might be partially responsible for degradation of PLLA-A-MOF because of the minor water adsorption by the MOF before being fed into the extruder. $M_w$ of PLLA-S-MOF decreases by around 21% as compared to extruded PLLA. This can be ascribed to oxidative degradation plus hydrolytic degradation due to the release of the moisture from the MOF during extrusion processing.45
CO$_2$ and O$_2$ permeability

The selective permeability of a polymer or membrane is important for industrial applications such as membranes, gas separations, packaging, etc. Table 7 gives the CO$_2$ and O$_2$ permeability coefficients of PLLA, PLLA-A-MOF and PLLA-S-MOF. Similar CO$_2$ and O$_2$ permeability coefficients have been reported for PLLA films. Although there is no statistically significant difference in the CO$_2$ and O$_2$ permeability coefficients among PLLA, PLLA-A-MOF and PLLA-S-MOF, Fig. 8 shows that the CO$_2$ permeability coefficients of PLLA-S-MOF have high deviation from the average values as compared to PLLA and PLLA-A-MOF. The low standard deviation for PLLA-A-MOF indicates good interfacial adhesion in the PLLA and activated MOF as supported by Fig. 9. High standard deviation values for the permeability coefficients of PLLA-S-MOF can be ascribed to the presence of voids at the interface of PLLA and saturated MOF as observed in the SEM image (Fig. 10(a)) producing larger variations in the barrier properties of the films. Figure 10(b) shows a collapsed MOF crystal; the crystal structure may have collapsed during extrusion processing.

CONCLUSIONS

The presence of moisture in the Cu$_3$BTC$_2$ MOF at elevated temperatures during melt compounding processes can significantly contribute to the deterioration of the MOF crystal structure. In addition, the adsorbed moisture in the MOF particles may also accelerate the degradation of the PLLA matrix as observed from the GPC studies. As demonstrated from the tensile studies, incorporating Cu$_3$BTC$_2$ MOF improves the toughness of the PLLA matrix. Improvement in the tensile properties and CO$_2$ permeability coefficient and SEM images of PLLA-A-MOF indicate good interfacial interactions between activated MOF and PLLA. The MOF particles have a tendency to hinder the crystallization of the polymeric matrix.
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