

**CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY**  
**San Luis Obispo, California 93407**  
**ACADEMIC SENATE**  
**805.756.1258**

**MINUTES OF**  
**The Academic Senate**  
**Tuesday, June 4, 2002**  
**UU220, 3:00 to 5:00 pm**

Preparatory: This is a continuation of the Academic Senate meeting of May 28, 2002.

I. Minutes: None.

II. Communications and Announcements:

A. **Introduction of new senators for 2002-2003:**

College of Agriculture

Returning Senators John Beckett, Del Dingus, Jay Noel

New Senators Dave Hannings, John Harris (Caucus), Tom Ruehr, Sarah Stephens

College of Architecture and Environmental Design

Returning Senators Mike Boswell, Bill Epstein, Abe Lynn, Jon Reich (Caucus)

New Senators Maurice Nelischer

College of Business

Returning Senators Mary Beth Armstrong (Caucus), Zafar Iqbal

New Senators John Dobson, Ken Griggs, Dan Villegas

College of Engineering

Returning Senators Dianne DeTurris (Caucus), Jesse Maddren, Unny Menon, Linda Vanasupa

New Senators Sam Agbo, Eric Kasper, Erika Rogers

College of Liberal Arts

Returning Senators Francisco Flores, Manzar Foroohar, Gary Laver, Joe Lynch (Caucus), Penny Osmond, Paul Rinzler

New Senators John Hampsey, Paul Hiltpold, Terry Jones

College of Science and Mathematics

Returning Senators Susan Elrod, Harvey Greenwald, John Maxwell, Susan Puhl

New Senators Ron Brown (Caucus), Stu Goldenberg, George Lewis, Steve Rein

Professional Consultative Services

Returning Senators Barbara Andre, Wayne Montgomery (Caucus),

New Senators Navjit Brar, Sallie Harlan, Wendy Spradlin

University Center for Teacher Education

Returning Senator Anita Hernandez

III. Reports:

- A. Academic Senate Chair: (Menon) Myron Hood read a resolution of commendation for Reg Gooden for his many years of service to Cal Poly in various areas of campus governance. Resolution was adopted by acclamation. Menon read a resolution of commendation for Tim Kersten for his retirement from the Statewide Academic Senate of the CSU after 18 years of service. Resolution was adopted by acclamation.
- B. President's Office: (Baker) two issues were discussed: the budget and the faculty housing. The CSU took a \$29.5 million budget cut for the current fiscal year where Cal Poly's share was \$1.5 million. The University absorbed half of that amount centrally and the remaining

was allocated to the various programs and administrative areas. There are new costs associated with the 2002-2003 budget such as significant increases in the health care cost were \$12-15 million was budgeted but the actual cost is \$26 million. Other costs include some unfunded salary increases related to CFA contractual agreement and utility increases. Some additional revenue will include full funding for enrollment growth. There is funding for salary increases and utility increases but not enough to cover all the expected increases. A 15% increase in non-resident fee will also add to additional revenue. The budget reduction that came out of the Governor's May revise of \$43 million with a carry over of \$29.5 million, and of that \$6.1 million was absorbed in the Chancellor's office, allocating \$36.9 million in reduction to the campuses, making Cal Poly's share of that \$2.3 million. The budget still subject to legislature action. There are about \$11 billion in reductions mostly in health and welfare, which will cause considerable discussion, as it works its way through the legislation. The budget reductions and funding shortfall in salary increases are considered as one-time reductions.

The first phase of the faculty housing project has passed the environmental report stage, in which the main issue of contention is the traffic impact. An attractive facility concept has been developed, the initial target is that rental units will be available slightly under market value and over time it will be subsidized in comparisons to the community rates. The for-sale homes will be subsidized and available at below market value and will develop equity for the owner but not at the same rate as in the open market. The criteria for eligibility is an issue that must be addressed, therefore we want to consult broadly on the best and fairest way to do that. The primary intention of this project is to attract and retain faculty. The Academic Senate will have the role of advising and counsel in regards to the development of eligibility criteria. The budget for the housing project is made available up front by the Foundation thru the housing authority.

This year the Academic Senate dealt with several issues in an extremely helpful and effective manner. One of those issues that is continually frustrating is the lack of sufficient clarity, in which faculty members receive information in the RPT process and the Senate focusing on this issue is extremely important, so that it is clearer. Another item of particular interest is addressing the issue of commencement and the ability to determine who should be graduating.

- C. Provost's Office: None.
- D. Statewide Senators: None.
- E. CFA Campus President: None.
- F. ASI Representatives: None.
- G. Other:

**Anny Morrobel-Sosa (Academic Programs): Report on the status of resolution AS-574-01 "Resolution on RTP Criteria and Retention of New Faculty.":** A spreadsheet was handed out which includes a summary of the information that was provided by the various departments in response to the request made by the Provost. Provost Zingg requested a summary of the RTP criteria used to evaluate professional growth and achievement and a list of faculty accomplishments within the department that illustrates how the criteria had been fulfill. The Provost asked the Deans, to provide a summary of the college RTP statement on criteria relative to faculty professional growth and development. Contact the Academic Senate Office if you need a copy. A summary analysis containing policy, criteria, and procedures as well as assessments will be provided to the Academic Senate. Morrobel-Sosa will make a recommendation to the Provost, Unny Menon, and Mike Suess, so that during the rest of the Summer and Fall, a follow up memo can be sent to all department chairs and deans, to ensure that the four areas listed in the Academic Senate resolution are addressed.

**Morrobel-Sosa/Wenzl/McCutcheon: (Athletics): These individuals were present to answer questions regarding Cal Poly Athletics. A full report submitted to NCAA can be viewed at <http://www.academics.calpoly.edu/ncaa>.** McCutcheon mentioned that the

report submitted for NCAA, serves as an accreditation for the department and in which Cal Poly was certified without any conditions. The document is a follow up on items identified as areas of concern by Cal Poly at the time the report was submitted. Wenzl reported on the academic progress of the athletes who in order to compete for Cal Poly, they must maintain a 2.0 GPA. At the end of Fall quarter, 540 athletes (308 men, 232 women) were graded and there were 74 men, or 24%, and 90 women, almost 40%, athletes with above 3.0 GPA during fall quarter.

IV. Consent Agenda: None.

V. Business Items:

- A. **Resolution on Implementation of Information Competence:** Grimes, Task Force on Information Competence. Continuation of first reading. Due to new information received by the task force, it was decided not to ask for passing of the resolution at this time, but instead to send it back to committee to address some issues that the faculty has brought to the table which will be considered next year. The resolution was tabled.

VI. Discussion Item(s): None.

VII. Adjournment: meeting was adjourned at 5 p.m.

Submitted by,



Gladys Gregory,  
Academic Senate