Predictions on Preserving Bone Mass in Knee Arthroplasty With Bisphosphonates
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Abstract: Using a computational model of bone adaptation, we investigated the long-term ability of bisphosphonates to minimize proximal bone loss that is associated with stress shielding in the tibia after long-stemmed total knee arthroplasty (TKA). When invoking bisphosphonate effects, the remodeling activity was suppressed, and the resorption size was reduced. Compared with the untreated simulation, bisphosphonate slowed the rate of bone loss after TKA (42% reduction in bone loss at 1 year). Activating the drug 3 months before the surgery reversed bone loss associated with the reduction in such activities as walking, but it did not provide any substantial benefit in the long-term. Late bisphosphonate treatment did not reverse the bone loss that occurred 3.5 years after TKA, although it preserved 3% of bone normally lost without treatment. Key words: stress shielding, TKA, bisphosphonate, finite element analysis, press fit.

Implant failure in total joint arthroplasty may be prevented when adequate fixation of the implant components is achieved after surgery and when this fixation is maintained over the long-term [1]. Although mechanically stiff stems with a large diameter and extensive porous coating (which facilitates bony ingrowth) provide the initial stability and fixation, these attributes, when applied to femoral prostheses in total hip arthroplasty (THA), have been found to be associated with more pronounced periprosthetic bone resorption [2]. Moreover, this bone loss compromises long-term fixation, and loosening of the prosthesis may occur [3].

Bone loss after total joint arthroplasty occurs, in part, because the relatively stiffer implants reduce the physiological loading of the contiguous bone, leading to disuse bone remodeling. The majority of such bone loss typically occurs within the first year after total joint arthroplasty and has been observed by serial x-ray absorptiometry in the proximal femur after THA [4-8]. Similar findings have been observed after total knee arthroplasty (TKA) in the distal femur [9-11] as well as in the proximal tibia [12-15]. Through implant design (eg, peg or stem), material selection (eg, cobalt chrome alloy or titanium alloy), and fixation technique (eg, press fit or cement), attempts have been made to minimize this phenomenon. Although such efforts have improved the longevity of total joint arthroplasty, mechanical-related bone loss (ie, stress shielding) has not been prevented, and multiple revision surgeries are still an eventual-ity, especially for young patients (younger than 50 years) with a reconstructed joint who are...
typically more active and live longer than older patients with a joint arthroplasty.

It is well documented that the drug alendronate increases bone mineral density (BMD) in the femoral neck and trochanter of postmenopausal women with osteoporosis [16–25]. This bisphosphonate drug may be equally effective in preventing or reversing bone loss associated with stress shielding. There is the possibility, however, that bisphosphonates may disrupt the important process of bone apposition to implant surfaces, which ensures adequate fixation, because bisphosphonates can inhibit mineralization (especially at high dosages). Allaying such a concern, Frenkel et al [26] and Mochida et al [27] found that alendronate did not inhibit bony integration to various titanium surfaces and hydroxyapatite-coated femoral stems, respectively, in a dog model.

Whether bisphosphonates can prevent bone loss associated with total joint arthroplasty as they do for postmenopausal bone has been explored in both animals and human beings. Besides stress shielding, bone loss may result from the cellular response (ie, macrophages) to wear debris. Therefore, using a rat model of particulate-induced osteolysis, alendronate was studied and found to prevent or reverse bone loss in the tibia [28] and the femur [29] when polyethylene particles were injected near the implant. Because there was more bone around the implant in the group subjected to particulates and alendronate, although osteoclasts were present, than in the nontreated group (implant only), Millett et al [28] concluded that alendronate reduces osteoclastic activity, thereby reducing bone resorption and preserving bone.

Venesmaa et al [30] and Soininvaara et al [31] investigated whether alendronate could prevent periprosthetic bone loss in the human femur after THA and TKA, respectively. Each prospective randomized trial found that the alendronate-treated group had a significantly smaller decrease in BMD than the calcium only–treated group after 6 months to 1 year of total joint arthroplasty. Similarly, Wilkinson et al [32] found that a single dose of another bisphosphonate drug, pamidronate, significantly reduced proximal bone loss in the femur by 26 weeks after THA compared with a control. Moreover, the drug was not associated with more adverse events (eg, cardiac failure and diarrhea) than normally occur after THA without bisphosphonate treatment.

Although these studies suggest that bisphosphonates can reduce bone loss after total joint arthroplasty, the question still remains whether this effect can be maintained over the long term. To investigate this in a relatively short time frame, bisphosphonate effects were simulated here with existing computational models of bone remodeling developed for the tibia with long-stemmed TKA [33] and for bisphosphonate treatment [34]. Additional questions that were investigated with this theoretical model were (1) would bisphosphonates given 3 months before surgery provide better protection against bone loss than those given immediately after surgery? (2) do bisphosphonates recover bone that has already been lost to stress shielding? and (3) what are the relative contributions of suppression of remodeling activity and reduction in resorption area to minimizing bone loss?

**Materials and Methods**

**Bone Adaptation Model**

Changes in bone mass after long-stemmed TKA with and without bisphosphonate treatment were simulated using a finite element model of the tibia coupled with a theoretical model of bone remodeling [33]. In the theoretical model, which is described by Hazelwood et al [35], bone loss associated with disuse was assumed to occur when a mechanical stimulus ($\Phi$) dropped below a disuse threshold ($\Phi_0$). In each element of bone, the mechanical stimulus was estimated from the principal strains ($\varepsilon$) of 3 daily loading activities and their respective loading frequency ($R_L$):

$$\Phi = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \varepsilon^i R_{L,i}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

When the mechanical stimulus is less than the disuse threshold, the activation frequency (Ac.I) of basic multicellular units (BMUs), the teams of cells that remove and then replenish packets of bone, were increased in both cortical and trabecular bone. The disuse-related increase in remodeling activity resulted in bone loss caused by an increase in the number of resorption sites. Also, if the porosity was greater than 20% and the bone was in a state of disuse, bone formation decreased relative to resorption in each BMU, thereby causing negative bone turnover or disuse remodeling:

$$\text{Formation} = 0.5 \times \text{Resorption} \left( 1 + \frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

In other words, the bone balance ratio (formation per resorption) at the BMU level was less than 1 when bone was in state of disuse. The model tracked changes in the amount of bone occupying a representative area within each element via the history of activation frequency as BMUs progress.
Table 1. Material Properties for the Components of TKA and for Bone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Young’s modulus (MPa)</th>
<th>Poisson’s ratio</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PMMA</td>
<td>2150</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>Below tray</td>
<td>Lewis et al [38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHMWPE</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>Tibial insert</td>
<td>Lewis et al [38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium</td>
<td>79 000</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>Tray and stem</td>
<td>Lewis et al [38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortical</td>
<td>23 400 (BAF) (^{5.74})</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>BAF &gt; 0.8; Fig. 2A</td>
<td>Turner et al [39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trabecular</td>
<td>14 300 (BAF) (^{1.35})</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>BAF ≤ 0.8; Fig. 2A</td>
<td>Turner et al [39]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: UHMWPE, ultrahigh molecular weight polyethylene.
model by suppressing BMU activation frequency by the percentage of $P$, and then independent of activation frequency suppression, the normal resorption by BMUs was reduced by $3/13$th, corresponding to the reduction in erosion depth observed by Chavassieux et al [42]. In a previous study by Nyman et al [34], these antiresorptive actions were invoked in a representative volume of postmenopausal trabecular bone. With a maximum suppression coefficient of 1.0, a rate of suppression coefficient of 5, and a reduction in the erosion depth by $3/13$th, the simulation predicted an increase in bone mass similar to that observed by dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry over 7 years in the spines of postmenopausal women who were treated daily with 10 mg of alendronate [24]. More specifically, the simulation matched the clinically observed 4% increase in BMD over the first 6 months of treatment. It also matched the decreasing rate of BMD increase between 6 months and 7 years of treatment. Therefore, these coefficients were invoked when simulating bisphosphonate effects in the TKA model.

In 2 additional simulations of bisphosphonate treatment starting on the day of TKA, (a) the rate of suppression coefficient was increased to 20 without changing the reduction in resorption and (b) resorption was reduced by $2/7$th without changing the rate of suppression. The former action effectively increases the suppression of activation frequency of new BMUs, whereas the latter further reduces the size of resorption space created by BMUs. This sensitivity analysis was done to investigate the contributions of activation suppression and bone balance, respectively, on inhibiting bone loss associated with stress shielding (ie, mechanically induced disuse bone remodeling). To elucidate the underlying mechanism of bone loss in the model, mechanical stimulus, activation frequency, and bone balance were recorded (as an average within the region of interest) over time for each simulation.

**Results**

Compared with the untreated simulation, bisphosphonate treatment preserved bone mass after TKA (Fig. 2). Furthermore, it prevented the thinning of the cortices that can occur with TKA. Its antiresorptive effects, however, did not completely prevent proximal bone loss associated with stress shielding but slowed the progression of loss (Fig. 2). One year after TKA, there was an average of 42.0% less bone loss below the tibial tray with bisphosphonate treatment given the day of surgery than without bisphosphonate treatment. Activating the drug 3 months before TKA reversed some of the bone loss associated with the reduction in loading activity that typically occurs with osteoarthritic knees, but it did not provide any substantial benefit in the long term. Bisphosphonate given 3.5 years after TKA did not reverse the bone loss that occurred up to that time after surgery, although it slowed the rate of loss (saved 3% of bone normally lost at 6.5 years). Increasing activation frequency suppression potency of a bisphosphonate drug had a greater effect than reducing the resorption size (ie, improving the bone balance) in preserving bone over the long term.

Decreasing the loading activity lowered the mechanical stimulus below the disuse threshold and subsequently caused bone loss (Fig. 2). Reducing bone resorption by invoking bisphosphonate treatment before TKA caused positive bone turnover within the BMU (ie, more bone was added than removed as shown in Fig. 3), and bone loss was temporarily reversed (dotted line in Fig. 2). When the long-stemmed TKA was simulated at 6 months after reduction in loading, bone loss in the model resumed, despite the pharmacological suppression of bone resorption and the return of normal joint reaction forces. In the model of long-stem TKA without drug intervention, significant stress shielding had 2 consequences: (a) increased remodeling activity introduced porosity and (b) bone formation in each BMU decreased relative to resorption. This elevated rate of remodeling subsided over time as the reduction of bone

---

*Fig. 2. The rate of bone loss after TKA below the tibial tray is slower when bisphosphonate effects are simulated than without treatment. Abbreviation: BP, bisphosphonate.*
Bone balance, the ratio of formation area (FAr) to resorption area (Rs.Ar) is less than 1 because the state of disuse caused by the TKA overrides the increase provided by bisphosphonate unless the drug is given 3.5 years postoperatively.

mass increased the strain. With bisphosphonate treatment, the stimulation of disuse remodeling by TKA was suppressed. However, there was still a decrease in bone formation, and although the drug decreased BMU erosion depth, formation remained less than resorption (Fig. 3). In other words, the drug did not overcome the consequences of stress shielding but delayed them.

**Discussion**

The objective of simulating the antiresorptive action of bisphosphonate in a hypothetical bone adaptation model of long-stem TKA was to investigate (1) whether the drug minimizes bone loss associated with stress shielding over the long term, (2) whether giving the drug in advance of surgery provided any additional benefit, and (3) whether the drug could recover bone mass that had already been lost because of stress shielding. Secondary objectives included investigating the relative contributions of activation frequency suppression and resorption size reduction in minimizing bone loss and understanding the underlying mechanisms of bone loss.

Bisphosphonate treatment in the present study minimized bone loss after TKA, reducing bone loss by almost one half below the tibial tray during the 6.5-year period. Bone loss was not completely stopped because (a) remodeling was suppressed but not completely blocked and (b) a disuse state or stress shielding was prolonged compared with TKA without treatment. To clarify, without antiresorptive action, bone strains increased after their initial decrease as bone stiffness was lost to stress shielding. Subsequently, the mechanical stimulus returned to the threshold level, and the bone balance approached one (Fig. 3) as the rate of bone loss slowed. With bisphosphonate treatment, however, the preservation of bone kept the strain on bone at a reduced level. Therefore, despite the reduction in bone resorption relative to normal bone formation, the disuse state imposed a negative bone turnover (ie, resorption was greater than formation).

Despite an improvement in the bone balance ratio (ie, increased positive bone turnover per BMU) when bisphosphonate treatment was given 3.5 years after TKA, there was no significant gain in bone. This was because of the rather low remodeling activity occurring at the time of treatment. There were too few BMUs to cause perceptible increase in bone mass. For a gain to occur, a pharmacological agent would likely need to promote bone formation in addition to suppressing bone resorption.

In the present model, invoking a more sensitive suppression of remodeling activity (a rate of suppression coefficient of 20 instead of 5) caused fewer number of resorbing BMUs, whereas invoking a greater reduction in resorption by osteoclasts (71.4% of normal formation instead of 76.9% of normal formation) caused a more positive bone turnover per BMU. Interestingly, the model predicted that increasing the potency of a bisphosphonate drug further reduces the amount of bone formed at the BMU level (compare the dotted line to the triangle line in Fig. 3) but still reduces the amount of bone loss overall. This was because of the fact that there were fewer BMUs to remove bone with high suppression. Based on the predictions of the present bone remodeling simulations, greater potency of remodeling suppression should be a higher priority than greater potency of resorption reduction when designing bisphosphonate drugs for TKA.

To the best of our knowledge, the effect of bisphosphonates on tibial bone density after TKA has not been studied. Moreover, there are no clinical studies that have measured bone loss below the tibial tray for long-stemmed TKA. Nonetheless, there are 3 clinical observations that support the suitability of the present analytical model. First, several studies observed that the majority of bone loss in the tibia after TKA occurs in the first year and diminishes thereafter [12,14,15,43]. This trend was predicted by the model when simulating TKA.
without bisphosphonate treatment (Fig. 2). Second, the predicted thinning of the cortices by the model (Fig. 1B) has been observed clinically after TKA by Seitz et al [44]. Third, Karbowski et al [12] reported that a tibial endoprosthesis with stem length of 40 mm caused an average 9.26% decrease in tibial bone density below the tray after 9 months of TKA, and Regner et al [15] reported a tibial endoprosthesis with stem length of 60 mm that caused an average 22% decrease in tibial bone density in a similar region. From a mechanical viewpoint, bone loss increases with an increase in stem length [45]. Thus, a greater bone loss than found clinically for shorter stems would occur for a 120-mm stem as the model predicts. Lastly, bone loss did occur in the short term after THA or TKA when patients were given bisphosphonates [30-32], and it was significantly less than in patients who were not treated with the drug. The model also predicted much less bone loss for treated TKA compared with TKAs without the actions of bisphosphonate.

The present findings come from a hypothetical model of TKA bone adaptation, so they should be interpreted in light of the limitations of the model. Such limitations included those related to 2-dimensional finite element models: plane strain assumptions, exclusion of out-of-plane forces, simplification of geometry, and idealization of material behavior. Nonetheless, the model predicts the morphological characteristics of the tibia (cortices at the diaphysis, medullary canal, and cancellous bone in metaphysis) given the few hypothetical loading activities. One limitation in the simulation of bisphosphonate treatment is the absence of the contribution of secondary mineralization to bone mass. Because bisphosphonates slow remodeling activity, there is more time for bone to mineralize, which increases mass, before being replaced with fresh osteoid [46]. This is an additive effect suggesting that the present study overpredicts bone loss with the drug. Another possible limitation is the independence between the fixed reduction in resorption size and suppression of remodeling activity. Unlike activation frequency suppression, resorption reduction was not a function of the number of resorbing BMUs (ie, as the presence of osteoclasts increased, the resorbing efficiency or BMU life span did not decrease proportionally). The consequence of this is unclear because resorption size affects both porosity (hence, stiffness of bone) and microdamage removal (hence, the demand for activation frequency).

The results of the present study suggest that bisphosphonate treatment starting on the day of TKA may slow the progression of bone loss associated with stress shielding. Preserving bone mass over the long term would reduce the likelihood of aseptic loosening, a common cause for revision. There appears to be no advantage of giving the drug in advance to prevent bone loss because of a reduction in loading activity, and starting the administration of the drug several years after surgery may only provide modest benefits. Because of known side effects and a long half-life (>10 years), routine use of bisphosphonate in TKA would require a long-term clinical study.
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