

LARGE SETS OF ZERO ANALYTIC CAPACITY

JOHN GARNETT AND STAN YOSHINOBU

(Communicated by Juha M. Heinonen)

ABSTRACT. We prove that certain Cantor sets with non-sigma-finite one-dimensional Hausdorff measure have zero analytic capacity.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider a Cantor set K similar to the $\frac{1}{4}$ -Cantor set of [G70] and [I84]. Fix $p > 2$ and for $n > 0$ define

$$\sigma_n = 4^{-n} a_n = 4^{-n} [\log(n+1)]^{1/p}.$$

Set $K_0 = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ and $K_1 = \bigcup_{j=1}^4 K_{1,j}$, where $K_{1,j} \subset K_0$ is a square of sidelength σ_1 having sides parallel to the axis and containing one of the four corners of K_0 . Next take 4^2 squares $K_{2,j}$ of sidelength σ_2 , one in each corner of each square $K_{1,j}$, and define $K_2 = \bigcup_{j=1}^{4^2} K_{2,j}$. Continuing we obtain $K_n = \bigcup_{j=1}^{4^n} K_{n,j}$, where $K_{n,j}$ is a square of sidelength σ_n . The Cantor set we study is

$$K = K(p) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n.$$

If E is a compact plane set define

$$A(E, 1) = \{f : f \text{ analytic on } E^c, f(\infty) = 0, \|f\|_{L^\infty(E^c)} \leq 1\}$$

and define the analytic capacity of E by

$$\gamma(E) = \sup\{|f'(\infty)| : f \in A(E, 1)\},$$

where

$$f'(\infty) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z f(z).$$

If $\gamma(E) = 0$, then the only function in $A(E, 1)$ is the constant $f \equiv 0$ and in this case E is removable for bounded analytic functions. For more details about analytic capacity see [G72].

Theorem 1. *Let $p > 2$, and let K be the four-corner Cantor set $K(p)$. Then $\gamma(K) = 0$ but K does not have σ -finite one-dimensional measure.*

Received by the editors April 7, 2000.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C20, 28A75.

The authors were supported in part by NSF Grant DMS-0070782.

The proof of Theorem 1 depends on a lemma of Jones [J89] used for a proof different from [G70] that the $\frac{1}{4}$ -Cantor set has zero analytic capacity.

Let $h(t)$ be an increasing continuous function on $t \geq 0$ with $h(0) = 0$, and write $\Lambda_{h(t)}(E)$ for the Hausdorff h -measure of E . Now define an increasing function $h(t)$ so that $h(0) = 0$ and $h(\sigma_n) = 4^{-n}$ for all n . We say $h(t)$ is a **measure function corresponding to the Cantor set K** . For every n define a measure μ_n on K_n by $\mu_n(K_{n,j}) = 4^{-n}$ for all j . Then $\{\mu_n\}$ converges weak-star to a measure μ supported on K and satisfying $\mu(K_{n,j}) = 4^{-n}$. Suppose $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\sigma_n \leq r < \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\sigma_{n-1}$ and let $D(z, r)$ be a disk of radius r and center $z \in K$. Then $D(z, r)$ can meet at most 4 squares of sidelength σ_n . Hence

$$\mu(D(z, r)) \leq 4\mu(K_{n,j}) = 4 \cdot 4^{-n} = 4h(\sigma_n) \leq 4h(r),$$

so that $\mu(D(z, r)) \leq 16h(r)$ for any disk $D(z, r)$. Therefore $\Lambda_h(K) > 0$ by Frostman’s Theorem [G72]. Since

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{h(t)}{t} = 0,$$

it follows that K has non- σ -finite 1-dimensional measure.

If $h(t)$ is a measure function corresponding to K , then

$$\int_0^1 \frac{h(t)^2}{t^3} dt \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(a_n)^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\log n)^{\frac{2}{p}}} = \infty.$$

On the other hand, Mattila [M96] proved that $\gamma(K) > 0$ if K is a Cantor set built with squares of side σ_n and if

$$\int_0^1 \frac{h(t)^2}{t^3} dt < \infty,$$

where h is any measure function for corresponding to K . Mattila’s proof used Menger curvature (see [Me95] and [MMV96]). However, if the Cantor set K has corresponding measure function h satisfying

$$\int_0^1 \frac{h(t)^2}{t^3} dt = \infty,$$

then Eiderman [E98] proved that $\gamma^+(K) = 0$, where

$$\gamma^+(E) = \sup \left\{ \int_E d\mu : \left| \int_E \frac{d\mu(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} \right| < 1, \forall z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus E, \mu > 0, \text{spt}(\mu) \subset E \right\}.$$

Since $\gamma^+(E) \leq \gamma(E)$, our result is a partial improvement of Eiderman’s theorem. Mattila [M96] has conjectured that for Cantor sets of this type $\gamma(K) = 0$ if and only if

$$\int_0^1 \frac{h(t)^2}{t^3} dt = \infty,$$

when h corresponds to K . This latter condition holds if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(a_n)^2} = \infty.$$

If Matilla’s conjecture is true, then together with Eiderman’s theorem it gives Cantor set evidence supporting the more ambitious conjecture that $\gamma(E) > 0$ implies $\gamma^+(E) > 0$.

In [G72] it was incorrectly claimed that $\gamma(K) > 0$ if and only if

$$\int_0^1 \frac{h(t)}{t^2} dt < \infty.$$

Eiderman, however, found a mistake in the proof. In fact the result in [M96] shows that the claim is false. See L.D. Ivanov [I84] for the first example of a Cantor set of non- σ -finite linear measure and zero analytic capacity.

2. TWO LEMMAS OF PETER JONES

We need the following two lemmas from [J89]. The proofs we give are small variations on [J89] and [C90].

Define $\gamma_j^n = \partial cK_{n,j}$, where $cK_{n,j}$ is the square concentric to $K_{n,j}$ with sidelength $c\sigma_n$ and where $c > 1$ is chosen so that the γ_j^n do not overlap. We refer to γ_k^m as a square, although it is only the boundary of a square. Notice that

$$\Lambda_1(\gamma_k^m) = c\Lambda_1(\partial K_{m,k})$$

for the same constant c . We associate to each γ_k^m a “square annulus”

$$(1) \quad A_k^m = \{w : \text{dist}(w, \gamma_k^m) \leq \varepsilon_0 \sigma_m\}$$

and we choose $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ so small that the annuli A_k^m are pairwise disjoint.

Define $\Omega = \mathbb{C} \setminus K$. Since K has positive logarithmic capacity, Green’s function $G(z, \zeta)$ exists for $\zeta, z \notin K$, and harmonic measure $\omega(\zeta, E)$ exists for $\zeta \notin K$ and $E \subset K$. We write $\omega(\zeta, K_{m,k})$ for $\omega(\zeta, K_{m,k} \cap K)$.

We also define the slightly larger “squares”

$$S_{m,k} = \{w : \text{dist}(w, K_{m,k}) \leq \varepsilon_1 \sigma_m\}$$

and set

$$S_m = \bigcup_{k=1}^{4^m} S_{m,k},$$

where $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ is so small that $S_{m,k} \cap A_k^m = \emptyset$. Then $K = \bigcap_{m=1}^\infty S_m$. Green’s function and harmonic measure also exist for the domain $\Omega_m = \mathbb{C} \setminus S_m$. Denote these by $G_m(z, \zeta)$ and $\omega_m(\zeta, E)$ respectively.

Lemma 2. *Let $z \in A_k^m$.*

(a) *There are constants $0 < c_1 < c_2 < 1$, independent of k and m , such that*

$$c_1 \leq \omega_m(z, \partial S_{m,k}) \leq c_2.$$

(b) *If $\zeta \in \Omega$ and $1 \geq \text{dist}(\zeta, K) \geq 2 \text{dist}(z, K)$, then*

$$G_m(z, \zeta) \sim \omega_m(\zeta, \partial S_{m,k}).$$

Proof. For (a) note that there is $c' > 0$ such that there exists a second square annulus B_k^m so that $A_k^m \subset B_k^m \subset \Omega_m$ and $\text{dist}(z, \partial B_k^m) \geq c'\sigma_m$. The lower bound then follows by a comparison with B_k^m . There is $S_{m,j}$ with $j \neq k$ such that $\text{dist}(S_{m,j}, S_{m,k}) \leq c_4\sigma_m$ and the upper bound follows by a comparison with $\mathbb{C} \setminus (S_{m,k} \cup S_{m,j})$, using symmetry and Harnack’s inequality.

To prove (b) note first that as in the proof of (a) there are constants C_1 and C_2 such that by Harnack’s inequality and a comparison

$$C_1 \leq G_m(z, w) \leq C_2$$

for $w \in \partial B_k^m$. Then using the symmetry of Green’s function and (a) for a larger square we obtain

$$C_1\omega_m(\zeta, \partial S_{m,k}) \leq G_m(\zeta, z) \leq C_2\omega_m(\zeta, \partial S_{m,k}).$$

We write $\gamma_k^m \prec \gamma_j^n$ and say γ_k^m is **subordinate** to γ_j^n if γ_j^n has winding number one about γ_k^m . If the winding number is zero, we write $\gamma_k^m \not\prec \gamma_j^n$. For any $f \in A(K, 1)$ and γ_k^m define

$$D(\gamma_k^m) = \sup_{w \in \gamma_k^m} |f'(w)| \sigma_n.$$

We say a square γ_k^m has **condition J** if

$$D(\gamma_k^m) \leq \delta$$

for some previously defined f and $\delta > 0$.

Lemma 3. *Let $f \in A(K, 1)$. For every $\delta > 0$ there exists a $C_0 > 0$ such that for every γ_j^n there exists $\gamma_k^m \prec \gamma_j^n$ such that $m \leq n + C_0\delta^{-2}$ and such that γ_k^m has condition J.*

Proof. Observe that by Harnack’s inequality

$$\sup_{\gamma_{n,j}} |f'(z)|^2 \sim \int \int_{A_k^n} |f'|^2 \frac{dx dy}{\sigma_n^2}.$$

Suppose the lemma is false. Choose ζ with $\text{dist}(\zeta, K) = 1$. Then by Green’s theorem and the above observation

$$\begin{aligned} 4 &\geq \int_{\partial\Omega_n} |f(z) - f(\zeta)|^2 d\omega_n(\zeta, z) \\ &= \int_{\Omega_n} |f'(z)|^2 G_n(z, \zeta) dx dy \\ &\geq \sum_{t=m+1}^n \sum_j \int_{A_j^t} |f'(z)|^2 G_n(z, \zeta) dx dy \\ &\geq C\delta^2 \sum_{t=m+1}^n \sum_j \omega(\zeta, S_{t,j} \cap K) \\ &\geq C'(n - m)\delta^2 \end{aligned}$$

and we have a contradiction.

3. A STOPPING-TIME ARGUMENT

We choose $n_\delta = 4^{Mq}$ where $q > 1$ and $M = \left[1 + \frac{C_0}{\delta^2}\right]$. Then because $p > 2$ in the definition of $a_n = (\log(n + 1))^{\frac{1}{p}}$ we have

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \delta \cdot a_{n_\delta M} = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} (1 - 4^{-M})^{n_\delta} a_{n_\delta M} = 0.$$

By construction, either $\gamma_k^m \prec \gamma_j^n$, $\gamma_j^n \prec \gamma_k^m$, or neither is subordinate to the other. We also write $\gamma_k^m \not\prec F$ if $\gamma_k^m \not\prec \gamma_j^n$ for all $\gamma_j^n \in F$ where F is some family of γ_j^n .

Lemma 4. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$, integer m and two families of sets F_1 and F_2 , such that for some constant c :

- (a) $F_1 = \{\gamma_j^n : \gamma_j^n \text{ has condition } J\}$,
- (b) $\delta\Lambda_1(\bigcup_{F_1} \gamma_j^n) < c\varepsilon$,
- (c) $F_2 = \{\gamma_k^m : \gamma_k^m \notin F_1\}$,
- (d) $\Lambda_1(\bigcup_{F_2} \gamma_k^m) < c\varepsilon$,
- (e) $F_1 \cup F_2$ has winding number 1 about K .

Proof. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $\delta > 0$ so that $\delta a_{n_\delta M} < \varepsilon$ and $(1 - 4^{-M})^{n_\delta} a_{n_\delta M} < \varepsilon$. Fix $m = n_\delta M$.

Now define F_1 to be the set of γ_k^n such that $n \leq m$, γ_k^n has condition J, and γ_k^n is **maximal**, i.e. if $K_{n,k} \subset K_{t,j}$ with $t < n$, then γ_j^t does not have condition J. Then (a), (c) and (e) hold for F_1 and F_2 .

To prove (b) consider $\gamma_j^n \in F_1$. Since $0 \leq n \leq m$ we may replace γ_j^n by 4^{m-n} squares of the form γ_k^m . Consequently,

$$\Lambda_1(\gamma_j^n) \leq 4^{m-n} \cdot \sigma_m = 4^{-n} a_m.$$

Since the $\gamma_j^n \in F_1$ have pairwise disjoint $K_{n,j}$, $\bigcup_{F_1} \gamma_j^n$ has smaller Λ_1 measure than $\bigcup_{k=1}^{4^m} \gamma_k^m$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\Lambda_1\left(\bigcup_{F_1} \gamma_j^n\right) &\leq \delta\Lambda_1\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{4^m} \gamma_k^m\right) \\ &\leq c\delta \cdot 4^m \cdot 4^{-m} a_m \\ &= c\delta a_{n_\delta M} \\ &\leq c\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where c is a universal constant.

To prove (d) we use Lemma 3 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_1\left(\bigcup_{F_2} \gamma_k^m\right) &\leq c(4^M - 1)^m 4^{-m} a_m \\ &\leq c(1 - 4^{-M})^{n_\delta} a_{n_\delta M} \\ &\leq c\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Suppose $f \in A(K, 1)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ are arbitrary. Let F_1 and F_2 be the two families provided by Lemma 4. Let z_k^m be an arbitrary point in γ_k^m . Then

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi |f'(\infty)| &= \left| \sum_{\gamma_k^m \in F_1} \int_{\gamma_k^m} f(z) dz + \sum_{\gamma_k^m \in F_2} \int_{\gamma_k^m} f(z) dz \right| \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{\gamma_k^m \in F_1} \int_{\gamma_k^m} f(z) dz \right| + \left| \sum_{\gamma_k^m \in F_2} \int_{\gamma_k^m} f(z) dz \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{\gamma_k^m \in F_1} \int_{\gamma_k^m} |f(z) - f(z_k^m)| dz + \Lambda_1\left(\bigcup_{F_2} \gamma_k^m\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq c \sum_{\gamma_k^m \in F_1} \int_{\gamma_k^m} \sup_{w \in \gamma_k^m} |f'(w)| 4^{-m} a_m dz + \varepsilon \\
&= c \sum_{\gamma_k^m \in F_1} D(\gamma_k^m) \Lambda_1(\gamma_k^m) + \varepsilon \\
&\leq c\delta \sum_{\gamma_k^m \in F_1} \Lambda_1(\gamma_k^m) + \varepsilon \\
&\leq c\delta a_{n_\delta M} + \varepsilon \\
&\leq c\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Since ε was chosen arbitrarily and c is a universal constant, $f'(\infty) = 0$. Therefore, $\gamma(K) = 0$. \square

5. REMARK

We could obtain a better result if we could improve the estimate in Jones' lemma (Lemma 3). For example, if we could only replace $M = \frac{C_0}{\delta^2}$ by $\frac{C_0}{\delta^q}$ for $q < 2$, then in the theorem a_n could grow like $(\log n)^{\frac{1}{q}}$. As noted above, Mattila [M96] conjectured that $\gamma(K) = 0$ if the Cantor set K has $\sum \frac{1}{(a_n)^2} = +\infty$. Mattila's conjecture would follow from the method here if the Jones' lemma could be established with $M = c \log(\frac{1}{\delta})$ with c constant.

REFERENCES

- [C90] M. Christ, *Lectures on Singular Integral Operators*, Regional Conference Series in Mathematics 77, American Mathematical Society 1990. MR **92f**:42021
- [E98] V. Ya. Eiderman, Hausdorff Measure and capacity associated with Cauchy potentials, Translated in Math. Notes (Mat. Zametki.) 1998. MR **99m**:28015
- [G70] J. Garnett, Positive length but zero analytic capacity, Proc. A.M.S. 24 (1970), 696-699. MR **43**:2203
- [G72] J. Garnett, *Analytic Capacity and Measure*, Lecture Notes in Math. 297, Springer-Verlag, 1972. MR **56**:12257
- [I84] L. D. Ivanov, On sets of analytic capacity zero, *Linear and Complex Analysis Problem Book 3, Part II* (V.P. Havin, S.V. Krushev, N.K. Nikolski, ed.) Lecture Notes in Math. 1043, Springer-Verlag, (1984), 498-501. MR **85k**:46001
- [J89] P. W. Jones, Square functions, Cauchy integrals, analytic capacity and harmonic measure, *Harmonic Analysis and Partial Differential Equations* (J. Garcia-Cuerva, ed.), Lecture Notes in Math. 1384, Springer-Verlag, (1989), pp. 24-68. MR **91b**:42032
- [M96] P. Mattila, On the analytic capacity and curvature of some Cantor sets with non- σ -finite length, Publ. Math. 40 (1996), 195-204. MR **97d**:30052
- [MMV96] P. Mattila, M. S. Melnikov and J. Verdera, The Cauchy integral, analytic capacity and uniform rectifiability, Ann. of Math. 144 (1996), 127-136. MR **97k**:31004
- [Me95] M. S. Melnikov, Analytic Capacity: Discrete Approach and curvature of measure, Math. Sb. 186 (1995), 827-846. MR **96f**:30020

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT LOS ANGELES, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90095

E-mail address: jbg@math.ucla.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA, TUCSON, ARIZONA 85721

E-mail address: syoshino@math.arizona.edu